

**EXHIBIT 10-B**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

In re ) CASE NO: 23-34815 (JPN)  
)  
GALLERIA 2425 Owner, LLC, ) Houston, Texas  
)  
Debtor. ) Wednesday, June 19, 2024  
)  
) 9:00 a.m. to 4:54 p.m.  
-----)

TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEFFREY P. NORMAN  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Debtor: REESE W. BAKER, ESQ.  
Baker & Associates  
950 Echo Lane, Suite 300  
Houston, TX 77024

For 2425 WL, LLC: H. GRAY BURKS, IV, ESQ.  
BurksBaker, PLLC  
950 Echo Lane, Suite 300  
Houston, TX 77024

STEPHEN SATHER, ESQ.  
Barron & Newburger, P.C.  
7320 North Mopac Expressway  
Suite 400  
Austin, TX 78731

For Ali Choudhri,  
pro se: ALI CHOUDHRI  
2425 West Loop South, 11th Floor  
Houston, TX 77027

For the Trustee: R.J. SHANNON, ESQ.  
Shannon & Lee LLP  
2100 Travis Street, Suite 1525  
Houston, TX 77002

For National Bank of ANDREW M. TROOP, ESQ.

1 Kuwait, S.A.K.P., New PATRICK E. FITZMAURICE, ESQ.  
York Branch: Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman  
2 31 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019-6131  
3 CHARLES C. CONRAD, ESQ.  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman  
4 Two Houston Center  
909 Fannin, Suite 2000  
5 Houston, TX 77010-1028

6 Court Reporter: TRACEY CONRAD

7 Transcribed by: Veritext Legal Solutions  
330 Old Country Road, Suite 300  
8 Mineola, NY 11501  
Tel: 800-727-6396  
9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
Transcript produced by transcription service.

1	<u>INDEX</u>				
2	<u>TRIAL WITNESSES</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
3	MICHAEL CARTER	34	67	104	108
4	CHRISTOPHER MURRAY	111	145	179	182
5	ALLEN HOLLIMAN	185	187		
6	ALI CHOUDHRI	195	231	233	
7					
8	<u>TRIAL EXHIBITS</u>				<u>RECEIVED</u>
9	Exhibit 508-7				45
10	Exhibit 501-01				59
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1           HOUSTON, TEXAS; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 19, 2024; 9:00 a.m.

2                               (Call to Order)

3                   CLERK: All rise.

4                   THE COURT: Please be seated. So we are on the  
5 record for Wednesday, June 19th, 2024. It's 9:00 a.m.  
6 There is one matter set on the docket.

7                   I apologize for the air conditioning situation.  
8 We are working diligently to correct it, but sometimes I  
9 can't control what goes on at the courthouse, and this is  
10 one of those situations. All right.

11                   23-34815, Galleria 2425 Owner, LLC. Let me take  
12 appearances first please and we'll just go around the room.  
13 Go ahead.

14                   MR. TROOP: I'm standing up here, Your Honor.  
15 Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew Troop from Pillsbury  
16 Winthrop Shaw Pittman on behalf of National Bank of Kuwait.  
17 I am here today with my colleagues, Charles Conrad, Thomas  
18 Morris, and Kwame Akuffo. We have some people on the line  
19 as well.

20                   As a quick aside, Your Honor, thank you. I meant  
21 to thank you on Monday. But we actually had summer  
22 associates observing the hearing on Monday and taking up  
23 your bandwidth. And we appreciate that.

24                   Our corporate representative and a witness,  
25 Michael Carter, is also here today, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.

2 The objecting parties.

3 MR. BAKER: Reese Baker on behalf of the Debtor.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Baker.

5 MR. BURKS: Good morning. It's Gray Burks, B-U-R-  
6 K-S, on behalf of 2425 WL. Good morning.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.

8 MR. SATHER: Stephen Sather, also appearing on  
9 behalf of 2425 WL, LLC, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Thank you so much.

11 MR. SHANNON: Your Honor, just for the record  
12 since we're here, RJ Shannon on behalf of the Chapter 7  
13 Trustee, Christopher Murray.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Shannon, does the Trustee intend  
15 to take a position relative to plan confirmation?

16 MR. SHANNON: The trustee supports confirmation,  
17 although we did not file anything in support. But we do  
18 support the confirmation, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: You want to be involved in the witness  
20 process at all?

21 MR. SHANNON: Not unless it's the trustee being  
22 questioned, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. All right. Thank  
24 you.

25 All right, Mr. Troop, I think your client is the

1 plan proponent. So you have the burden. So I'll let you  
2 make an opening statement if you want to make one.

3 MR. TROOP: Sure, Your Honor. First I would like  
4 to -- I do have a short opening statement where I suggest to  
5 you how I think the day should go, talk about where we are  
6 on a few things.

7 First, Your Honor, let's just sort of lay the land  
8 in terms of what's in play today. Right? There were  
9 several objections filed, mostly taxing authorities --

10 THE COURT: Which both were withdrawn.

11 MR. TROOP: They've been withdrawn.

12 THE COURT: There are two pending objections right  
13 now I'm aware of though.

14 MR. TROOP: Exactly. That's it. Just two  
15 pending. You speak much faster than I do, Your Honor. So  
16 maybe Mr. Burks (indiscernible).

17 Your Honor, yesterday we spent some time with Mr.  
18 Burks about some things at the hearing. So let me tell you  
19 what we have been able to agree to and what we haven't been  
20 able to agree to.

21 We have agreed that between us there should only  
22 be three witnesses today.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. TROOP: Michael Carter, the trustee, Chris  
25 Murray, and Mr. Choudhri.

1           We also agreed to the admission of all the  
2       exhibits on our respective exhibits lists save two.

3           THE COURT:   So let's go ahead and do that now so  
4       that it's on the record and we can just basically deal with  
5       it.

6           So there's an exhibit list from 531.  Is that the  
7       one you were making reference to?

8           MR. FITZMAURICE:  Your Honor, the bank's exhibit  
9       list at 501.

10          THE COURT:  501.  We're going way, way back, huh?  
11       Okay, so 501.  Any of the exhibit at 501 are exhibits that  
12       we are not admitting?

13          MR. FITZMAURICE:  Yes.  We understand that 2425  
14       objects to Exhibit 23, which is Mr. Carter's declaration,  
15       and Exhibit 18, which we call on the list evidence of the  
16       bank's ability to make its required plan payments.  But  
17       that's the annual report.

18          THE COURT:  All right.  And so let's do one thing  
19       at a time.  So as to 501, Mr. Burks, do you have any  
20       objection to any of the exhibits save and except 23 and 18  
21       which we'll deal with in due course?

22          MR. BURKS:  Only half of 2425 WL -- and I'm  
23       looking at 501 Exhibits 1 through...

24          THE COURT:  501 actually only goes to 22 is what  
25       I'm seeing.



1 MR. BURKS: I have through 26.

2 MR. FITZSIMMONS: So I think, Your Honor, on the  
3 list there are some that are to be filed. And they were  
4 filed in the future. So they may actually have different  
5 ECF references when they actually hit the docket.

6 THE COURT: Okay. So let me just make sure the  
7 record is clear. So I'm looking at the docket right now.  
8 And I'll just show it to you. It makes it easier. Okay?

9 501 starts at Exhibit 1 and then goes through 21.  
10 But 21 references Exhibit 22.

11 MR. FITZSIMMONS: So I think for these, Your  
12 Honor, as I understand the agreement it's all of these save  
13 for, except for number 18.

14 MR. BURKS: So at this point then from the  
15 exhibits that Your Honor has called, to make the record  
16 straight, Exhibits 1 through 17 --

17 THE COURT: And again the problem with that, Mr.  
18 Burks, is Exhibit 1 through 17 doesn't work electronically.  
19 It's got to be by ECF number. So that's the reason I'm  
20 going ECF 501-1, dash two, dash three. They don't  
21 apparently match, which is part of the problem. Yeah.  
22 Maybe whoever is on the record.

23 MR. BURKS: And what am I stipulating to? Do I  
24 have to look at each on the docket?

25 THE COURT: Well, I haven't looked at 501. I

1 don't know. I mean -- but so you get to Exhibit --

2 MR. BURKS: May I have a moment? I see what  
3 you're doing. May I have a moment?

4 THE COURT: Yeah. Uh-huh.

5 MR. BURKS: Mr. Troop will help me.

6 THE COURT: And if you want to look -- I can pull  
7 them up and show you what they are if you want to know.

8 MR. BURKS: The way I understand it works is for  
9 501 for the ECF numbers, Exhibit 1 is 501.1.

10 THE COURT: That's correct.

11 MR. BURKS: Exhibit 2 is --

12 THE COURT: So the docket is going to reflect that  
13 I admit exhibits by ECF number. ECF 501-1, 501-2, 501-3.  
14 The exhibit numbers mean nothing to me.

15 MR. TROOP: Right. So for your --

16 MR. BURKS: I'm with you.

17 MR. TROOP: Okay.

18 MR. BURKS: May I see, Your Honor, will you click  
19 on 501-18?

20 THE COURT: Sure.

21 MR. BURKS: And maybe scroll down?

22 THE COURT: It's going to come. It just takes a  
23 minute to download.

24 MR. BURKS: All right.

25 THE COURT: That is 501-18.

1 MR. BURKS: Can you scroll up?

2 THE COURT: That's the top of the document. If  
3 you want to look at the top, it says 501-18.

4 MR. BURKS: So what I stipulated to was 501-19.

5 May I see 17?

6 THE COURT: Sure. Hold on for one second. Did  
7 you say 17?

8 MR. BURKS: Yes, please.

9 THE COURT: Seventeen looks like it's marked  
10 Exhibit 18.

11 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, I can help clear this up.  
12 Okay?

13 MR. BURKS: Because I don't know...

14 MR. TROOP: There is a disconnect in terms of  
15 between this exhibit list and what actually got filed. The  
16 document that Mr. Burks wants to object to is the document  
17 that is entitled Evidence of Feasibility, Your Honor. And  
18 we'll look for that exact ECF number for you and bring it up  
19 and make it clear on the record that Mr. Burks has not  
20 agreed to the admission of that exhibit.

21 THE COURT: So let's just do this. I'll  
22 conditionally admit everything in 501 except whatever comes  
23 up that we're not going to stipulate to. Okay?

24 And Mr. Burks is grimacing, and I understand his  
25 grimace.

1 MR. BURKS: I don't know what I've just stipulated  
2 to. So I'm looking at a list that's apparently on the right  
3 list.

4 THE COURT: Well, I think it's the right list. I  
5 think it's just the way it's been filed. And the problem is  
6 how we're going to refer to it on the record. So why don't  
7 we --

8 MR. BURKS: All right. Let's try this.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. BURKS: We can stipulate to everything except  
11 in the exhibit entitled Evidence of NBK's Ability to Make  
12 its Required Plan Payments and an exhibit called Carter's  
13 Declaration.

14 With that said --

15 THE COURT: Hold on.

16 MR. BURKS: I know. With that said, may I reserve  
17 the right when I see an exhibit that I --

18 THE COURT: To object to it.

19 MR. BURKS: I didn't know that I was stipulating  
20 to that I object to, that I may object to it. Because this  
21 is a little awkward because I don't know what I'm -- I don't  
22 know what I'm stipulating to.

23 THE COURT: That's fine.

24 MR. TROOP: We're fine with that, Your Honor. I  
25 think conceptually we all understand that (indiscernible)

1     been reserved.

2                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sather, do you have  
3     something you want to say?

4                 MR. SATHER: I was just going to say that those  
5     two documents were filed as one document at 514-2 as an  
6     attachment to the bank's brief.

7                 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So the record is  
8     clear, I am going to admit Exhibits 501-1 to 501-22 with the  
9     exception of anything that references the evidence of NBK's  
10    ability to make plan payments and then the Carter  
11    Declaration plus Mr. Burks reserves the right to object to  
12    any other document that basically comes up during testimony.

13                MR. BURKS: Because 1 through 22 may include --  
14    I'm looking at --

15                THE COURT: I understand. And I reserve your  
16    right to object to it. Okay? So those are now done.

17                Is there other exhibits that Mr. Burks has that  
18    we're going to stipulate to admissibility?

19                MR. TROOP: Your Honor, we will stipulate to the  
20    admissibility of all of the documents. With respect to some  
21    of them, Your Honor, that were filed yesterday, we  
22    understand that they were intended to be used to address  
23    some question as to whether or not the sale was going to  
24    include furniture (indiscernible) equipment that it is  
25    alleged that the trustee doesn't own. And I believe that we

1     agreed yesterday that the asset purchase agreement is  
2     intended only to purchase that which the Trustee can  
3     transfer to us. If the Trustee can't transfer to us --

4             THE COURT: Which is the rule of law anyway.

5             MR. TROOP: Exactly.

6             THE COURT: So --

7             MR. TROOP: No skin off our nose, Your Honor. I'm  
8     not -- I'm just saying I think --

9             THE COURT: Mr. Burks, do you have exhibits that  
10    you want me to put into evidence right now given the  
11    stipulation?

12            MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. I don't know the ECF  
13    numbers.

14            MR. SATHER: We've been using 499, which was  
15    Reese's set. And it would be 1 through 93. Before we get  
16    into all the supplemental ones.

17            MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. At this point I  
18    offer into evidence 2425 WL's Exhibits 499-1, 499-2, 499-3,  
19    499-5, 499-6, 499-7, 499-8, 499-9, 499-10, 499-12, 499-34,  
20    546 -- may see the Docket 541 -- 546. So I understand what  
21    you're doing. And I just want to make sure that I'm  
22    referring to the correct ECF numbers, Your Honor.

23            THE COURT: It's the exhibit list you filed  
24    yesterday.

25            MR. BURKS: So what I called Exhibit 96, is that

1 546-1?

2 THE COURT: I don't know without looking. Bear  
3 with me for one second.

4 MR. BURKS: And, Mr. Troop, you're following along  
5 with me so I can make sure our stipulation is accurate?

6 MR. TROOP: We are keeping up.

7 MR. BURKS: Thank you.

8 THE COURT: That's it right there?

9 MR. BURKS: Yes. That is -- and that's 546-1?

10 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

11 MR. BURKS: All right. So offer into evidence  
12 546-1, 546-2, 546-3, 546-4, 546-5, and 546-6. May I see 6  
13 on the screen just so I know that I've got this right?  
14 That's what I offer at this time, Judge.

15 THE COURT: All right. Then I will admit based on  
16 the lack of objection by Mr. Troop 499-1 through 10, 499-12,  
17 499-34, 546-1, 546-2, 546-3, 546-4, 546-5, and 546-6.

18 MR. BURKS: Reserve the right to of course call --  
19 offer any rebuttal exhibits, Judge.

20 THE COURT: That's fine.

21 MR. BURKS: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Troop, back to you.

23 MR. TROOP: Mr. Baker. I'm sorry.

24 MR. BAKER: On behalf of the Debtor, we would like  
25 to also have the same exhibits that 2425 WL is offering.

1 THE COURT: They are admitted on the record and  
2 you can use them for whatever purpose you would like, Mr.  
3 Baker.

4 MR. BAKER: Thank you.

5 THE COURT: Thank you.

6 MAN 1: Your Honor, there are a number of people  
7 on GoToMeeting who I don't believe had an opportunity to  
8 announce their appearance, including the U.S. Trustee.

9 THE COURT: The only person that I'm currently  
10 seeing is Mr. Choudhri, and I am taking notice of his  
11 position. So we're good to go. Thank you. Ms. Whitworth,  
12 do you want to make an appearance?

13 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor?

14 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, hold on for one second.  
15 Ms. Whitworth, do you want to make an appearance?

16 MS. WHITWORTH: Yes. Good morning, Judge. Jana  
17 Whitworth on behalf of the United States Trustee. Thank  
18 you.

19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

20 MR. TROOP: Thank you.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhuri, I note your appearance  
22 for the record. Do you have something else you want to say?

23 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to  
24 make (indiscernible) and I would like to --

25 THE COURT: Excuse me, sir. I didn't -- go ahead.



1                   MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to  
2     make an appearance and I would like to announce my  
3     appearance and I would like to make an oral motion right  
4     now, Your Honor, for continuance. I have felt very, very  
5     ill yesterday. I'm not in good condition. And I would  
6     refer to ECF number document 442-1, which I presented for a  
7     continuance that I believe was denied, Your Honor. But  
8     since then I have felt very, very ill yesterday and I am not  
9     in good condition. And so I don't want to be forced to go  
10    forward. So I would ask for a very short continuance, Your  
11    Honor. So I would like to make an oral motion of that due  
12    to my stroke that I am -- that I suffered from.

13                  And then second, the doctor on the 6th and 7th of  
14    June and I was advised by the cardiologist that I should not  
15    take on any stress or work until July 7th. I've been in the  
16    hospital fighting for my health, I'm fighting for my  
17    finances. So I would urge for -- an oral motion here for an  
18    emergency continuance of this hearing. That's my first oral  
19    motion, Your Honor.

20                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Choudhri, let me rule  
21    on your oral motion. And let me be clear for the record.

22                  The first problem you have, Mr. Choudhri, is the  
23    fact that we have at this point in time one, two, three,  
24    four, five, six, seven, eight, nine lawyers in the courtroom  
25    who are all billing at very, very high rates. And for you

1 to come in at the last minute and ask for a continuance I  
2 think is unfortunately too little too late.

3 I also will state for the record, Mr. Choudhri,  
4 that you are a little bit like the boy who cries wolf.  
5 Okay? I've seen an emergency motion to continue this  
6 hearing based on a stroke. Two days later you appeared at a  
7 hearing. You appeared at a nine-hour hearing the other day  
8 where you appeared to do just fine where counsel got up and  
9 said that you were suffering so badly that you couldn't even  
10 participate and you participated a great deal. Okay?

11 I hold all those things against you, Mr. Choudhri.  
12 I am going to deny your motion. Thank you.

13 Do you have another motion you want to make?

14 MR. CHOUDHRI: I do, Your Honor. I would like to  
15 make a motion because when the motion for continuance was  
16 made by 2425 WL, as I walked in the courtroom, I was asking  
17 to make a motion for a continuance. Your Honor would not  
18 hear it --

19 THE COURT: I am denying any sort of request for a  
20 continuance at this point in time, Mr. Choudhri. If that's  
21 what you intend to argue or make a motion for, I'm not going  
22 to hear it. Do you have some other motion you want to make?

23 MR. CHOUDHRI: I do, Your Honor. I would make a  
24 motion based on the fact that after that motion for  
25 continuance was filed, Your Honor went outside the record to

1 investigate the motion. And I believe Your Honor is biased  
2 against me and investigating the case outside of the record  
3 that was before you. You had made some comments, Your  
4 Honor, that you had spoken to maybe --

5 THE COURT: I did speak to Judge Isgur about your  
6 appearance in front of him. That's correct. I don't  
7 consider that to be improper our outside the record or  
8 create any sort of problem for me hearing your case.

9 MR. CHOUDHRI: Right, well --

10 THE COURT: And so I will acknowledge on the  
11 record, I did do that.

12 MR. CHOUDHRI: So my mental abilities are limited  
13 --

14 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, I'm going to cut you  
15 off. I've ruled on your motion. We're done. All right?  
16 You may participate in this hearing. I'm willing to let you  
17 participate. I'm willing to let you make whatever arguments  
18 you want to make. I'm not continuing this hearing. Mr.  
19 Troop, back to you.

20 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor --

21 MR. TROOP: Thank you.

22 MR. CHOUDHRI: -- are you denying the motion --

23 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, no. I already denied it  
24 on the record, Mr. Choudhri, for all the reasons I just  
25 said. Okay? Thank you. Thank you.

1 MR. CHOUDHRI: The motion for -- excuse me, Your  
2 Honor.

3 THE COURT: It's denied. Thank you.

4 Mr. Troop?

5 MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor. Why don't we  
6 stay on some procedural housekeeping matters.

7 THE COURT: Sure.

8 MR. TROOP: This morning, Your Honor, there was a  
9 witness list and exhibit list filed by Jetall, which is an  
10 affiliate of Mr. Choudhri. They have not objected. They  
11 don't have an objection on file. And as far as I can tell -  
12 - I mean, I don't know whether they have a lawyer on the  
13 phone or not, but there are entities, Your Honor. That  
14 strikes me as late, inappropriate ambush and the like. And  
15 I would move that that witness list and exhibit list be  
16 stricken.

17 THE COURT: And is that -- I'm not seeing that on  
18 the record. Is it just -- I mean...

19 MR. TROOP: Try 548, Your Honor. ECF 548.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Bear with me for one second.  
21 So let me just be clear. I came in the courtroom at 8:00  
22 and set up my computer system. All right? It loads the  
23 docket at that point in time. So the record is clear, this  
24 is what the docket reflects. It reflects only the 547,  
25 which means it was filed after 8:00 this morning. All

1 right?

2 MR. TROOP: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: I'm going to refresh now and look at  
4 it.

5 There is an exhibit witness list followed by  
6 Jetall Companies on -- after 8:00 this morning at 548. I'm  
7 going to find that it's untimely, that it's late, that the  
8 objection deadline for objections to confirmation have  
9 passed and that Jetall Companies Inc. can't participate in  
10 the confirmation hearing. And so the record is clear, the  
11 Court spent a great deal of time preparing for this hearing.  
12 There were at the time of my review after the objection  
13 deadline four objections to confirmation. 286, which is the  
14 City of Houston objection that has been withdrawn; an  
15 objection by CC2 which was filed late, which I was going to  
16 disallow but was also withdrawn; an objection by the debtor  
17 at ECF 409 and an objection by 2425 WL LLC at 401. Those  
18 are the two objections I plan to hear. All right? Thank  
19 you.

20 MR. TROOP: In that regard, Your Honor, just again  
21 to make the record clear, 2425 WL filed a supplemental  
22 objection on Friday, on 5/26. We have filed a response to  
23 that saying it's both untimely, the three new objections  
24 that were raised, the reasons given, which were we  
25 identified them after the deadline, we identified clearly

1     how there's nothing in there that wasn't known by the  
2     original deadline --

3                 THE COURT: Hold on one second. Let me mute  
4     everybody on the line. Just mute everybody. Thank you so  
5     much.

6                 MR. TROOP: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

7                 THE COURT: I'll come back to the parties online.  
8     Go ahead.

9                 MR. TROOP: And, Your Honor, we identified that it  
10    was all -- everything they needed to know they knew by the  
11    time the original June 3rd objection. They will argue on  
12    one of them with regard to voting that the summary of votes  
13    wasn't filed until June 7th -- on Friday the 10th as I  
14    recall. But it was perfectly clear that the NBK votes were  
15    being voted in favor of the plan. There was no reason to  
16    wait ten days, to the Friday before the original hearing  
17    that was scheduled to file at the end of the day  
18    supplemental objections on things that were at least well  
19    known for ten days. That's just unfair, Your Honor. It is  
20    additional ambush.

21                But I can address the merits as well of that  
22    particular one when you'd like. But effectively I think --

23                THE COURT: So let me just make this ruling on the  
24    record. The deadline for filing objections to the plan was  
25    June 3rd, 2024. All right? If it truly is a supplemental

1 response that simply basically amplifies what's previously  
2 been filed, I am more than happy to hear it. To the extent  
3 that it raises new objections, I'm not going to hear it.  
4 It's not timely. Thank you.

5 MR. TROOP: And again, Your Honor, I misspoke.  
6 That supplemental objection was filed on Sunday, the day  
7 before the hearing and not the Friday before the hearing.  
8 The record will show what it shows, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: It shows what it shows. But again  
10 what's important is the reason the Court sets deadlines is  
11 because it then enforces those deadlines. Thank you.

12 MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor. So with that,  
13 Your Honor, the way I think I would like -- I propose we  
14 proceed today --

15 THE COURT: Go ahead.

16 MR. TROOP: -- is that I'm going to give a very  
17 high-level overview of what we're here for today and what I  
18 at least see as the significant issues for you to decide. I  
19 would yield to the objecting parties and ask them to do the  
20 same at the high level. (indiscernible) witnesses at the  
21 end. Mr. Akuffo, our colleague, will go through the  
22 confirmation requirements and discuss how they've been  
23 satisfied to sort of wrap it up. Then I will probably  
24 address any other issues that have been raised during the  
25 course of the day. Although I guess we have to go last as

1 the moving party. So right before we talk, they get to talk  
2 about what they think about confirmation. Sorry about that,  
3 Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 MR. TROOP: Sorry about that.

6 Your Honor, at a very high level we are here today  
7 on the confirmation of the liquidating proposed by NBK  
8 today. There is -- there is no other alternative available  
9 and all of the confirmation requirements have been  
10 satisfied.

11 Your Honor, you have made perfectly clear that the  
12 issue that appears to be foremost in the Court's mind and  
13 the parties' minds based upon what's been filed so far today  
14 is whether this plan has been proposed in good faith.

15 And in that regard, Your Honor, I think there's  
16 really no dispute about the background here that led to this  
17 case. A loan that wasn't paid, an effort to foreclose.  
18 Multiple, multiple pieces of litigation in state court, a  
19 Chapter 11 filing on the eve of a foreclosure, a dismissal  
20 by Judge Lopez, a refiling again on the eve of the  
21 foreclosure, a motion by NBK to convert the case because it  
22 was very clear that this case, this asset required an  
23 independent fiduciary to manage its going-forward basis.

24 At that hearing, you decided not to appoint -- not  
25 to convert the case, but rather to appoint a Chapter 11



1 Trustee. Mr. Murray became the independent fiduciary. We  
2 were the secured creditor. We are the secured creditor, the  
3 largest secured creditor. Exclusivity terminated and we  
4 needed a plan -- and this is in the colloquial sense -- to  
5 move this case forward to conclusion. And the viable way to  
6 do that was to propose a plan of reorganization since we  
7 were in Chapter 11. We have the right to do so, and we did.  
8 And it is a fair plan, Your Honor. It was proposed in good  
9 faith. You'll hear testimony that as the fiduciary for the  
10 estate, we negotiated with Mr. Murray about the plan. The  
11 plan terms were changed to accommodate some, not all of its  
12 requests, like you would expect in any negotiation. And  
13 then we move forward in a transparent and open manner.

14 We have proposed a plan in good faith that, yes,  
15 provides NBK with releases of estate claims and we have  
16 proposed a plan of reorganization which enforces that  
17 release both through injunctions and a gatekeeping  
18 provision. But the gatekeeping provision, Your Honor, is  
19 not at all implicated by Highland Capital in the decision by  
20 the Fifth Circuit where the issue was whether or not  
21 gatekeeping could be used in that case to protect someone  
22 who was arguably not on the narrow list but nonetheless was  
23 sort of a quasi-fiduciary in a third-party release kind of  
24 way. All claims, any claims related to the Debtor or the  
25 estate were typically post-petition exculpation claims.

1     Emphasize that, Your Honor. The issue in Highland was  
2     exculpation.

3             The plan has been modified to make it clear that  
4     the only party receiving exculpation is the Chapter 11  
5     trustee. I don't think there can be any dispute that the  
6     Chapter 11 Trustee is a fiduciary covered by (indiscernible)  
7     entitled to be exculpated and protected both by injunctions  
8     and by gatekeeping.

9             So the only question is whether on the facts of  
10    this case, which are not dissimilar in some ways to  
11    Highland, having a non-debtor who is very litigious and  
12    arguably trying to pursue estate claims cabined in some way  
13    in terms of this conduct or the company's conduct. Similar  
14    there, but dissimilar because the gatekeeping function as it  
15    relates to NBK is only with respect to released claims.  
16    Released claims against NBK are only estate claims.

17            And the alternative, Your Honor, is that every  
18    time NBK would get sued, they would remove the case to this  
19    Court and seek to enforce the injunction. And here the  
20    process is that parties around the table, around the video,  
21    around anywhere, have to come here and say to you I am  
22    pursuing something that's not an estate claim, not  
23    frivolous, and I get to pursue it. And we can argue in  
24    front of you, and you decide. But at the end of the day  
25    it's only estate claims.

1           And in that regard, Your Honor, doesn't impact  
2     the good faith nature of the plan, doesn't impact anything  
3     else.

4           And back on good faith, Your Honor, the issue is  
5     whether the plan was proposed in good faith. The focus on  
6     that is predominantly on conduct during the Chapter 11 and  
7     in connection with preparing the plan and proposing the  
8     plan. It's not the things that you heard on Monday. It's  
9     not the things that you heard about prepetition conduct.

10           Embedded in here is a settlement of those claims.  
11     And the Trustee testified on Monday and we expect he will  
12     testify again today that in his independent reasonable  
13     judgement, that's a good settlement for the estate. But I  
14     note, Your Honor, and I hope we are careful today, subject  
15     to your rulings of course, that we don't retread a  
16     tremendous amount of ground that was addressed on Monday.  
17     They are two different motions. I understand there were  
18     objections sustained that pushed certain issues to here.  
19     Those issues should absolutely be addressed here.

20           But the fundamental question, which you ruled on,  
21     the Court holds that there must be some sufficient dispute  
22     between the parties. And all the parties are here, Your  
23     Honor. There's no one here who wasn't there who didn't have  
24     a full opportunity that it can find cause for disallowing or  
25     limiting credit bidding. Here it does not find any such

1       cause and denies the motion in its entirety.

2               But you went on, Your Honor, and you also found  
3       the movants, and especially (indiscernible) go to great  
4       lengths to pass blame for their nonpayment to NBK. The  
5       settlement payment. In effect, that he or others could not  
6       pay due to the actions of NBK. You found that on a review  
7       of the documentary evidence, and you could take judicial  
8       notice of all the documentary evidence in this case, does  
9       not believe -- does not believe these allegations. Does not  
10      believe these allegations. There's no credible claim there  
11      to waste our time on today.

12             And so, Your Honor, you may hear us object. A lot  
13      of it moves into that. And we hope now that you will --  
14      just a preview in terms of what our thinking on that would  
15      be.

16             Those seem to us to be the big issues. There  
17      can't be an issue about feasibility, there can't be an issue  
18      about classification -- that is that there are classes.  
19      There are so many things in that list that there cannot be  
20      issues about, Your Honor. So I hope that we focus today on  
21      the ones that are important and legitimately  
22      (indiscernible).

23             THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the  
24      debtor first. Mr. Baker?

25             MR. BAKER: Your Honor, we would request that you

1 allow 2425 and Mr. Burks to go forward first at this point  
2 in time. We are generally filing along with what he is  
3 doing at this point in time.

4 THE COURT: I'm happy to do that if you want to  
5 waive your opening argument. If not, I want to hear it.

6 MR. BAKER: I'll waive my opening argument.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Burks?

8 MR. BURKS: That was interesting. To borrow from  
9 a line from a movie, almost everything that that man said I  
10 disagree with. And here's why.

11 We don't have the burden of proof of confirmation.  
12 The proponent, NBK, does. There are 16 elements that  
13 they're going to have to prove up in 1129. Maybe this plan  
14 conceptually could have been confirmed. Technically, it's  
15 flawed. So flawed that it can't possibly meet the 16  
16 elements of 1129. We talk about what the scope of the  
17 objections are. I think the objection of 2425 WL is pretty  
18 clear. The objection of the Debtor is pretty clear on some  
19 of the technical faults.

20 The first thing that counsel for NBK said was this  
21 is the only option before the Court as far as the Chapter 11  
22 plan. Well, first of all, that was NBK's choice as to what  
23 was in the plan or not in the plan. It's an aggressive plan  
24 with respect to classification, it's an aggressive plan with  
25 respect to releases, and it's an aggressive plan in that he

1 only ballot accepting the plan is by the plan proponent.

2 And we'll get to that in a minute when we talk about

3 1129(a)(10).

4 I don't have the burden to prove that there is one

5 accepting class; NBK does. And the proponent is the only

6 one who accepted it. And so we have the gatekeeping issue

7 of do you even have one accepting class within the

8 definition of 1129(a)(10). The answer is a resounding no.

9 Surprisingly no. Don't know why we don't, but we

10 don't. Maybe if the balloting had been -- the solicitation

11 had been different, I'm not saying you can't get an

12 accepting class other than the proponent. But as we stand

13 here today right now -- or more accurately as of 8:00 a.m.

14 today -- we don't have an accepting class for two reasons.

15 Here's why.

16 The proof of claim on file by NBK has been

17 objected to. It has not been allowed. It has not been

18 estimated. No motion on notice and hearing has been filed.

19 Judge Rodriguez in In re Bressler said that's fatal to

20 confirmation as we stand here right now. Can it be fixed

21 later? I don't know. I don't know why they didn't file the

22 motion to estimate the claim. But we don't have a creditor

23 with an allowed for voting purposes claim on file. That

24 wasn't my choice. There's a lot of counsel in this room

25 that know how to practice bankruptcy law. I can't

1 substitute for them. And I can't relieve them of the burden  
2 of proof of showing that there's one allowed correct. But  
3 there's something else that's happening here. Of course we  
4 research whether or not the proponent of the plan can be the  
5 one -- could be if it has an allowed claim or an allowable  
6 or an estimated claim -- of course we'll research whether  
7 they could be. And the answer in all the six cases we found  
8 is they could be. But here we have a problem. We have NBK  
9 who has a note, has a proof of claim. And in that proof of  
10 claim, they can do really whatever they want with the debt.  
11 They can reduce it, they can eliminate some of it, they can  
12 do anything they want with their lien. And as the proponent  
13 of the plan, they've chosen to do just that. They've  
14 changed their rights. They've exercised their rights under  
15 the note and said here's how we are going to be treated.  
16 That's not an impaired claim. They've exercised their note  
17 rights and set forth in this plan how they will accept and  
18 agree to be paid. They've exercised their note rights.

19 Is it a novel argument? Yes. But is it a  
20 gatekeeping argument that they have the burden of proof to  
21 overcome? Yes. And here's why it's important. They didn't  
22 get any other votes for the plan. That's why it's  
23 important. I don't know if they tried. I don't know why  
24 they didn't. But you're sitting here right now with a plan  
25 that can't satisfy 1129(a)(10). We wish it could maybe.

1           Let's go back to the first thing he told you; it's  
2     the only option you have. Not true. There's nothing that  
3     prevents you from converting this case to Chapter 7. And  
4     that would take care of a lot of the issues here. When we  
5     get to the issues of what's the value of the claims being  
6     released, when we get to the issues, the technical issues of  
7     the plan, all will go away. No one is ever going to be able  
8     to prove that in between the time of today and the time this  
9     case may be converted that the value of the property is  
10    going to go down. It's not going to be able to be put into  
11    evidence.

12           Can another party file a plan? Can NBK file an  
13    amended plan? My point today is if what you had as of 8:00  
14    a.m. today is technically not (indiscernible). There's more  
15    to this. You bet it's an aggressive release. And it's not  
16    just a release...

17           THE COURT: Go ahead.

18           MR. BURKS: It's not a release of just -- or an  
19    exculpation of just the trustee. On Page 8 of the plan,  
20    Paragraph 78, it says released parties means, A, the Chapter  
21    11 Trustee. But it doesn't stop there. B, NBK. C, the  
22    liquidation trustee. D, each related party of each entity  
23    in Clause A through D. Former and current equity holders  
24    and their affiliates and related parties are not released  
25    parties. In other words, Mr. Choudhri or anybody else.



1           So I didn't write the definition of released  
2 parties. And I'm not going to mince words on the Fifth  
3 Circuit caselaw on exculpation versus gatekeeping versus  
4 released parties because a creditor has never been -- a  
5 creditor who is not participating in the reorganization of a  
6 debtor has never been gatekept. I don't know if that's a  
7 word or a phrase. Maybe it is now. Can you be gatekeep or  
8 gatekept if you have absolutely nothing to do with the  
9 reorganization? No.

10           Maybe the Purdue Pharma case might change that  
11 under certain circumstances. The facts of that case are so  
12 different than the facts of this case that there's no way  
13 that that's going to be precedent here.

14           What's happened is, Judge, the exculpation or  
15 release or gatekeeping provision is a technical bar to  
16 confirmation. Can this plan go forward and be confirmed  
17 without it? Sure it can. If the proponent chooses to. But  
18 what they want you to do is not only to confirm a plan, but  
19 to adjudicate today, right now here, three sets of claims  
20 where with all respect certainly we didn't put on evidence,  
21 we weren't trying those cases two days ago. Couldn't have  
22 if we tried. You wouldn't have let us because you ruled  
23 properly on the evidence as to what the issue was before us  
24 two days ago.

25           But today they want you to rule on the merits of

1 all pending litigation against NBK. And if they disagree  
2 with my point, then simply put in the confirmation order  
3 that any limited liability claim against NBK and any pending  
4 adversary proceedings removed or otherwise against NBK are  
5 not included in any injunctions, releases, or exculpations.  
6 If they're not, they're not. We can just say it. And we  
7 may be over very quickly here. If their intent is not to  
8 have this broad, overreaching release, make it clear. If  
9 their intent is to get a plan confirmed by the  
10 classification issues by including classifying claims the  
11 way Mr. Sather says are technically flawed, one vote, one  
12 party, then we've got a problem. We have a technical  
13 problem. I didn't write 1129, but they have to follow 1129.  
14 And they didn't do it here. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Troop, back  
16 to you. I'll hear your first witness.

17 MR. TROOP: I was just going to say I don't think  
18 you expect me to respond, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: No, I don't. I just expect you to  
20 call a witness.

21 MR. TROOP: So we'll call the witness and I'll  
22 turn this over to Mr. Fitzmaurice, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 Mr. Carter, do you want to come forward, please?  
25 Again, if you'll stand at the microphone. I know we just

1 did this the other day. I'll swear you in and then you can  
2 be seated. And I apologize to you for the lack of air  
3 conditioning.

4 Please raise your right hand to be sworn. Do you  
5 swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and  
6 nothing but the truth, so help you God?

7 MR. CARTER: Yes, I do.

8 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. Sir,  
9 you know, the drill. Please speak into the microphone.

10 Mr. Fitzmaurice, I'll turn on the podium if you  
11 want to present from there.

12 MR. BURKS: Excuse me, Judge?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri had his hand raise. I  
15 don't know if he's muted or not or --

16 THE COURT: He is muted. And I don't know why he  
17 would be trying to speak at this point in time.

18 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. I just wanted to  
19 make sure you saw it.

20 THE COURT: I saw it, yeah.

21 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL CARTER

24 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

25 Q Good morning, Mr. Carter. Mr. Carter, are you

1 currently employed at National Bank of Kuwait?

2 A Yes.

3 Q When did you join the bank?

4 A At the end of May of 2018.

5 Q Was that after the bank's loan to the Debtor was made?

6 A Yes.

7 Q I'm not trying to be obnoxious with this question, but  
8 is this your first job out of college? Is your job at the  
9 bank your first job since you graduated from college?

10 A No.

11 Q Have you worked in banking for most of your career?

12 A Yes.

13 Q About how long have you worked in banking?

14 A Since 1988.

15 Q During the course of your career in banking, have you  
16 focused on any particular industry?

17 A Real estate.

18 Q And has that focus on real estate continued during your  
19 time at the National Bank of Kuwait?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Are you generally familiar with the bank's loan to the  
22 Debtor?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And how are you familiar with the bank's loan to the  
25 Debtor?

1 A I am the RM for the loan, Relationship Manager.

2 Q Thank you. When did you assume that role as  
3 Relationship Manager?

4 A Around the end of 2018.

5 Q So from the time that you assumed that role as  
6 relationship manager up until today, have you maintained  
7 that role during that entire period?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And during that period of time is there anybody else at  
10 the bank who serves as relationship manager?

11 A Not as relationship manager, no.

12 Q Can you generally describe for the Court what your  
13 responsibilities are as relationship manager for the loan to  
14 the debtor?

15 A To do annual reviews on the status of the loan and  
16 provide those to the credit committees, monitor the interest  
17 payments and other conforming covenants that are in the loan  
18 agreement.

19 Q You mentioned credit committee. Do you sit on the  
20 credit committee?

21 A No.

22 Q In your capacity as a relationship manager, do you make  
23 recommendations to the credit committee?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Do you prepare reports that are submitted to the credit

1 committee?

2 A Yes.

3 Q As far as you are aware, since the time that you became  
4 the relationship manager for the loan to the Debtor, have  
5 there been any reports concerning the loan submitted to the  
6 correct committee that you weren't involved in preparing?

7 MR. BURKS: Objection. There is no way that he  
8 can know what he hasn't done. Calls for hearsay. Calls for  
9 speculation. He doesn't know -- he is not a member of the  
10 credit committee. He doesn't know what the credit committee  
11 has received, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection and let you  
13 rephrase it and see if you can get it in another way. Thank  
14 you.

15 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

16 Q In the course of your duties as relationship manager  
17 for the bank, when a credit committee makes a decision  
18 relating to the loan, are you generally informed of that  
19 decision?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Has there ever been a decision that you've been  
22 informed of where you were not involved previously in  
23 preparing some kind of submission to the credit committee?

24 A No.

25 Q So has there ever been a decision by the credit

1 committee that you were informed of that you were surprised  
2 about or you had no idea that was happening?

3 A No.

4 Q Have you issued any reports to the credit committee  
5 concerning this bankruptcy case?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Have you made any recommendations to the credit  
8 committee concerning this bankruptcy case?

9 MR. BURKS: Objection. Best evidence rule. If  
10 the recommendations or if the reports are oral, hearsay. If  
11 they are written, I would like to see them in evidence,  
12 please.

13 THE COURT: If they are oral, I don't think they  
14 are hearsay. If they are written, then I think there is  
15 best evidence. I'll sustain the objection on that  
16 particular part. But I'll let him flesh it out. He hasn't  
17 said whether they were written or not.

18 MR. BURKS: Yes, Judge.

19 THE COURT: Thank you.

20 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

21 Q Have you had any discussions with the credit committee  
22 concerning this bankruptcy case?

23 A It has been mentioned in credit reviews. We do monthly  
24 credit reviews for troubled loans.

25 Q Is the loan to the Debtor classified as a troubled

1 loan?

2 A Yes.

3 MR. BURKS: Objection. At this point he is  
4 getting into an area of questioning where he needs a credit  
5 committee member who has got personal knowledge of the  
6 reports that he's talking about right now, Judge.

7 THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection. Go  
8 ahead.

9 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

10 Q I think you answered the question, but there was a  
11 pending objection so --

12 THE COURT: It doesn't make a difference. I heard  
13 it. I overruled the objection. Go ahead.

14 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

15 Q Is the bank's loan to the Debtor in default?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Was the filing of the bankruptcy case an event of  
18 default?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Are you aware of any other defaults under the loan  
21 agreement?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Can you describe any of them for me?

24 A Principally failure to pay interest.

25 Q Let me ask you about that. Did the loan documents call



1 for the payment of principal and interest during the term of  
2 the loan?

3 MR. BURKS: Objection. I don't have enough  
4 foundation to know what this gentleman had analyzed in terms  
5 of the loan documents or this account history. We are  
6 assuming he has looked at the loan documents and the account  
7 history, but he hasn't told us he has. Objection,  
8 foundation.

9 THE COURT: I will sustain the objection as to  
10 foundation. Let's lay a better foundation for the record,  
11 please. Thank you.

12 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

13 Q Mr. Carter, are you familiar with the loan documents  
14 for the loan -- are you familiar with the loan documents  
15 relating to the bank's loan to the Debtor?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Have you reviewed those loan documents?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Have you reviewed them during the course of your  
20 employment as the relationship manager for the bank's loan  
21 to the Debtor?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Are you generally familiar with the terms of those loan  
24 documents?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Do you know when the loan was made?

2 A It was mid-May 2018.

3 Q Do you know what the original term of the loan is?

4 A So five years.

5 Q So if my math is right, mid-May 2018 plus five years is  
6 May of 2023. Does that sound right to you?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you have an understanding of as to whether or not by  
9 its terms the loan matured in May of 2023?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And did it in fact mature in May of 2023?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Do you know whether the loan documents call for the  
14 payment of principal and interest during the term of the  
15 loan?

16 A It was an interest-only loan.

17 Q And how was principal to be repaid under the loan  
18 documents?

19 A The loan amount was \$51,675,000.

20 Q And when was that due under the loan documents?

21 A Mid-May 2023.

22 Q So the full principal balance was due at maturity. Is  
23 that right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And how frequently was the Debtor required to make the

1 interest-only payments?

2 A Monthly.

3 Q Did the Debtor make all those required payments?

4 A No. They stopped in mid-2020. And then there was an  
5 interest reserve that had been established at closing, for a  
6 years' worth of interest. So that was tapped for the  
7 following year until mid-2021.

8 Q And at some point was the interest reserve exhausted?

9 A Yes, mid-2021.

10 Q Do you know if the loan agreement generally required  
11 the Debtor to pay real estate taxes when they were due?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And it in fact required those taxes to be paid?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And in an event of default during the loan agreement  
16 were those taxes not to be paid?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Are you aware of whether the Debtor in fact paid real  
19 estate taxes?

20 A It did not make the 2019 tax payment and made no  
21 further tax payments since then. But it did borrow the  
22 money from a lender to pay those taxes.

23 Q Just to make sure that I understand, did the Debtor pay  
24 real estate taxes due for the year 2019?

25 A No.

1 Q Do you know whether a lien was recorded against the pt  
2 relating to those taxes that were not paid?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Is the filing of that lien an event of default under  
5 the loan agreement?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Were real estate taxes paid for the year 2020?

8 A Not by the borrower.

9 Q Was ultimately a lien recorded against the property for  
10 the tax year 2020 as a result of the failure to pay the tax  
11 -- I mean -- let me start over again.

12 As a result of the debtor's failure to pay real estate  
13 taxes in 2020, was a lien filed against the property?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do you know if the Debtor paid real estate taxes for  
16 the year 2021?

17 A Similarly they borrowed the money from a tax lender and  
18 assigned the county tax lien to that lender.

19 Q And did the Debtor pay real estate taxes for the year  
20 2022?

21 A Same situation.

22 Q 2023?

23 A Same.

24 Q And each one of those failure to pay, are they separate  
25 events of default under the loan agreement?

1 A Yes.

2 Q After the bank exhausted the funds in the interest  
3 reserve, did it do anything to attempt to enforce its  
4 rights?

5 A Filed for foreclosure.

6 Q Was it successful in completing that foreclosure?

7 A No.

8 Q Why not?

9 A The borrower filed for a TRO, temporary restraining  
10 order.

11 Q Did the bank ultimately attempt to resolve these  
12 disputes with the borrower?

13 A We entered into a settlement agreement with the  
14 borrower.

15 Q And are you generally familiar with that settlement  
16 agreement?

17 A Yes.

18 Q I'm going to show you what's -- what was filed at ECF  
19 508-7. I think this document is -- was entered into  
20 evidence on Monday and we neglected to include it in our  
21 discussions earlier this morning, so we'll look at it now.

22 MR. BURKS: Well, excuse me. For the record, it's  
23 been entered into evidence today. I don't -- we're not  
24 going back -- the record on Monday I assume does not apply  
25 to the record here, Judge. It's been admitted into evidence

1 today.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: And I'm not disputing -- my only  
3 point was if I had remembered, I would have asked you to  
4 stipulate to this this morning when we talked about  
5 stipulations for today. And I didn't. So that's why I'm  
6 showing it to him now.

7 MR. BURKS: I thought I stipulated to the  
8 admission of this. And if I haven't --

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'm happy to take that  
10 stipulation now.

11 MR. BURKS: Stipulate into evidence admission for  
12 the document what is at ECF 508-7?

13 MR. FITZMAURICE: Yes, that's right.

14 MR. BURKS: Stipulated that it's admissible,  
15 Judge, for whatever it says.

16 THE COURT: I'll admit 508-7 on stipulation.  
17 Thank you.

18 (Exhibit 508-7 admitted into evidence)

19 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

20 Q Is this document, 508-7, is this the settlement  
21 agreement that the bank entered into with the Debtor and Mr.  
22 Choudhri and (indiscernible) Galleria LLC?

23 A Yes, I believe so.

24 Q Okay. Who is (indiscernible) Galleria LLC?

25 A That was the mezzanine lender.

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, my (indiscernible)  
2 have disappeared and I'm going to ask if my colleague could  
3 open up the document. I am not sure why it's not  
4 projecting.

5 THE COURT: It's the way the PDF is trying to  
6 write. But I can move it over here if you want to move it  
7 to that table. (indiscernible) plug in.

8 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

9 Q While we're doing that, let me ask you an unrelated  
10 question while we get those organized. You know what? Mr.  
11 Akuffo is faster than I am.

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: Kwame, you can go to Section  
13 3.1A, please.

14 THE COURT: Go ahead.

15 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

16 Q Mr. Carer, I'm showing you Section 3.1A of the  
17 settlement agreement. Do you see that in front of you?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And do you see that in the settlement agreement that as  
20 of the effective date of the settlement agreement, the  
21 borrower acknowledges the debt that was owed to NBK?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And it acknowledged that that was owed without the  
24 setoff claim, counterclaim, or deduction of any nature  
25 whatsoever?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And all of those things I just asked you about, all of  
3 those were expressly weighed by the settlement agreement.

4 Is that right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. Can you scroll down just a bit? Thank you.

7 And in the settlement agreement you see that in Section  
8 3.1B the Debtor and Mr. Choudhri and the mezzanine lender  
9 acknowledged existing events of default under the loan  
10 documents?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And that all of those events of default existed, again,  
13 without defendant's setoff -- you can read the language for  
14 yourself.

15 A Mm-hmm.

16 Q Is that right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay.

19 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, at this point I object to  
20 the testimony of this witness. To the extent that he's  
21 reading from what the terms of the settlement terms are.  
22 That's best evidence rule. It says what it says.

23 To the extent that he's testifying as to the legal  
24 effect or the current legal status of this settlement  
25 agreement, he is not the qualified witness for that. There



1 is a litigation on file. He can certainly say what they  
2 intend. He can certainly say what it says. But if he's  
3 giving legal conclusions as to what the current status of  
4 these settlement terms are and the effect of the settlement  
5 agreement as we stand here today, this is a non-qualified  
6 witness. And I object --

7 THE COURT: I think all of your objections, Mr.  
8 Burks, go to weight, which I am very, very aware of. And  
9 we've covered this more than once. So I'm going to overrule  
10 your objection. Thank you.

11 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

12 Q In the settlement agreement, did the bank agree to  
13 compromise the amount of the debt that was owed?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And did it agree to accept a discounted amount in  
16 exchange for release of the loan (indiscernible)?

17 A Yes.

18 Q What was that?

19 A \$27 million.

20 Q And was the Debtor and Mr. Choudhri's other parties,  
21 were they given a period of time within which to make that  
22 payment?

23 A 210 days.

24 Q Okay. Did they? Did they make that payment?

25 A No, they did not.

1 Q Do you know what the settlement provides happens if the  
2 payment is not made?

3 A It allows for the filing of foreclosure.

4 Q After the Debtor and Mr. Choudhri's other entities  
5 failed to make the payment, did the bank -- what did the  
6 bank do?

7 A We filed for foreclosure again.

8 Q And was that foreclosure successful?

9 A No.

10 Q Why not?

11 A The court allowed extension of the payment for 90 days  
12 provided the borrower paid \$80,000 each month toward the  
13 payment.

14 Q Did the borrower make those payments?

15 A Only two of the three payments were made.

16 Q Did the bank proceed with its foreclosure at the end of  
17 that 90-day period?

18 A It filed again, yes.

19 Q And was that foreclosure successful?

20 A No.

21 Q Why not?

22 A The borrower filed bankruptcy.

23 Q Do you know what happened in that bankruptcy case?

24 A It was eventually dismissed.

25 Q And after the dismissal of that bankruptcy case, did

1 the bank seek to exercise its rights under the loan  
2 documents?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Was it successful?

5 A No. There was a second bankruptcy filed.

6 Q Was that this one?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Have you ever heard of an entity called 2425 WL, LLC?

9 A Yes.

10 Q How have you heard about it?

11 A They filed a subordinate mortgage claim about -- it was  
12 three years after the loan originally closed.

13 MR. BURKS: Objection. Subordinate mortgage claim  
14 is a legal conclusion, Judge. I would ask that you strike  
15 that sentence.

16 THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection. Go  
17 ahead.

18 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

19 Q Is 2425 WL's mortgage, the filing of that mortgage, is  
20 that an event of default under the loan documents?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Has the bank proposed a plan in this case?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Can you tell me generally what the plan provides for?

25 A It provides for payment of outstanding real estate

1 claims. It also includes payment of administrative fees and  
2 partial payment of trade creditors.

3 Q Dop you know what the plan provides for with respect to  
4 the Debtor's building?

5 A Yes. There's a credit bid allowed in the plan. And a  
6 deficiency is also one of the categories in the plan.

7 Q Do you know if there's going to be a sale of the  
8 Debtor's building?

9 A Well, there's an auction. That's the process by which  
10 either credit bid or third party bids take place.

11 Q Do you know when that auction is scheduled to take  
12 place?

13 A Friday.

14 Q Has the bank made a bid in connection with that  
15 auction?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what's the amount of that bid?

18 A \$18,600,000.

19 Q Do you know if there are any other bids?

20 A My understanding is there was another bid.

21 Q Do you know what the amount of that other bid is?

22 A I believe it's --

23 MR. BURKS: Objection. This is hearsay, Judge.

24 THE WITNESS: \$20 million --

25 THE COURT: Bear with me.

1 MR. BURKS: There's no basis for him knowing what  
2 the bids are.

3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. The  
4 trustee can testify as to what the bids are. Go ahead.

5 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

6 Q Does the plan provide for the bank being paid  
7 everything it is owed?

8 A No.

9 MR. BURKS: Objection, legal conclusion. That is  
10 a legal conclusion, what is it owed.

11 THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection. Thank  
12 you.

13 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

14 Q If there was a third party who purchases the property  
15 at auction, do you know what the plan provides as to who  
16 gets paid for that money?

17 A Payments go first to the real estate tax liens. And  
18 there is also portions that go to the administrative fees.  
19 And there is a portion that goes to the liquidation trust  
20 for the trustee. The balance then goes to our note.

21 Q You mentioned the real estate taxes. Do you know  
22 whether the plan pays those in full?

23 A Yes. Whatever is legally owed.

24 Q And you said something about administrative expenses.  
25 Do you know whether the plan pays those in full?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And does it?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Is it your understanding that the plan does not --  
5 withdrawn. Does the plan pay anything to general unsecured  
6 creditors?

7 A Well, the plan pays some to trade plans. You know,  
8 contractors who provided work on the building at the 70  
9 percent.

10 Q Does it pay those creditors cash?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Are there other unsecured creditors who --

13 A There's another group of third-party creditors that are  
14 in the class along with our deficiency -- 90 percent of our  
15 deficiency claim (indiscernible).

16 Q And so does that class of creditors receive anything  
17 under the plan?

18 A Well, they would get pro rata share of any recoveries  
19 that the trustee gets that goes into the liquidation trust.

20 Q You mentioned the word class in the context of the  
21 plan. Do you know what that is? Do you know what that  
22 means?

23 A Well, yes. There are eight classes of creditors to the  
24 borrower. And they are each identified and each have  
25 different rights, different recoveries under the plan.

1 Q Does the plan attempt to group creditors together in  
2 those classes?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Do you know as the plan proponent how the bank chose  
5 which creditors go in which class?

6 A Based on their legal status and claim status.

7 Q Are there some creditors who don't get a recovery under  
8 the plan?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And who are those?

11 A Those tend to be subordinate claims by related parties  
12 to the borrower.

13 Q Do you know if the borrower's equity holders receive  
14 anything under the plan?

15 A They don't.

16 Q Why not?

17 A There's insufficient value in the property to pay the  
18 primary secured claim of our loan.

19 Q We talked before about 2425 WL. Do you recall that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you know whether the plan treats 2425 WL as having a  
22 secured claim or an unsecured claim?

23 A Well, unsecured because there's insufficient funds to  
24 cover the first mortgage, which is our mortgage.

25 Q Do you know what the plan provides for if the bank is

1 the successful bidder at the auction? And let me withdraw  
2 that question and I'll ask a different one.

3 In terms of payments to creditors, do you know what the  
4 plan provides for if the bank is the successful bidder at  
5 the auction?

6 A The bank will pay cash to the real estate tax claims,  
7 the administrative costs, and that portion to the trade  
8 creditors as well as a contribution to the liquidation  
9 trust.

10 Q And do you know approximately the amount of the cash  
11 that's required to make all of those payments?

12 A It's approximately \$3.7 million.

13 Q And has the bank committed to make those payments?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And if it turns out those required payments are a  
16 little higher, has the bank committed to make those higher  
17 payments?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Does the bank have the financial ability to make those  
20 payments?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Well, let's assume that the bank is not the successful  
23 bidder at the auction. Do you know in that instance what  
24 the plan provides by way of payments to creditors?

25 A The payments are similar. They get deducted from the



1 amount of the winning bid.

2 Q And what happens to the bank's claim in that instance?

3 A Well, after those other amounts are paid, the remainder  
4 goes to cover the bank's claim.

5 Q And similarly if those expenses are a little higher  
6 than anticipated, the bank understands it's going to take --  
7 it's going to receive a little bit less. Is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And the bank has accepted that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q That's what the plan provides?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Do you know whether the plan that's on file with the  
14 court is the first draft of the plan that was prepared for  
15 the bank?

16 MR. BURKS: Objection, foundation.

17 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Go  
18 ahead.

19 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

20 A There was negotiations between the trustee and NBK's  
21 lawyers on the features of the plan.

22 MR. BURKS: Objection. Question called for  
23 hearsay. Answer is hearsay. This is the wrong witness for  
24 this, Judge.

25 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Thank

1     you.

2                   MAN 1: Your Honor, may I (indiscernible)?

3                   THE COURT: Sure. I just need to change the  
4     connection over here. Hold on for one second. There you  
5     go.

6     BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

7     Q     It's difficult to see on the top of the page given  
8     several layers of blue text, but I'm showing you the  
9     document that's at ECF 501-01 titled Chapter 11 Plan of  
10    Liquidation of the Debtor by National Bank of Kuwait  
11    S.A.K.P. New York Branch.

12                  MR. BURKS: Judge, for clarification and as part  
13    of what I didn't understand on the stipulations, is this the  
14    plan that's being proposed? Is this the final form of plan  
15    that we are here on today?

16                  MR. FITZMAURICE: Yes, it is.

17                  MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge.

18                  THE COURT: Thank you.

19                  MR. FITZMAURICE: So again, Your Honor, I'm having  
20    --

21                  THE COURT: (indiscernible). Do you want me to go  
22    back over to the --

23                  MR. FITZMAURICE: I do, please. So 501-1.

24                  MR. BAKER: And, Your Honor, it doesn't seem like  
25    that's projecting on GoToMeeting.

1 THE COURT: It's not. And I'm aware of that.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I see it here, but I  
3 don't -- just for...

4 THE COURT: I'm seeing it on my screen here, which  
5 means it should be projecting there. But for some reason --

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: I see it on the tables, I just  
7 don't see it on these back here.

8 THE COURT: I'm not sure why it's doing that.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: May I just ask the witness? Do  
10 you see the document?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 THE COURT: It's on. For some reason it's not  
13 working. We'll see if we can fix it. Go ahead.

14 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

15 Q Is that your signature?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And did you sign the plan of liquidation that the bank  
18 is proposing?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And is this in fact a copy of that plan?

21 A Yes.

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I think we've done  
23 this. But nevertheless, move to formally admit into  
24 evidence ECF 501-01, a copy of the plan of liquidation for  
25 the Debtor submitted by National Bank of Kuwait.

1 THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the  
2 admission of 501-01, Mr. Burks?

3 MR. BURKS: I'm sorry, Judge. What?

4 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to 501-01?

5 MR. BURKS: Yes, no objection.

6 THE COURT: It's admitted. Thank you.

7 (Exhibit 501-01 admitted into evidence)

8 MR. TROOP: Excuse me, Your Honor. And I  
9 apologize for interfering. But on a procedural matter, our  
10 witnesses is our corporate rep, gets to be in here as a  
11 trustee. He gets to be in here. I didn't ask are there any  
12 witnesses in the courtroom for 2425?

13 We invoke the rule, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. So at this point in time  
15 the rule has been invoked. So you just need to go outside,  
16 sir. Maybe Ms. Conrad will give you a place to sit.

17 There are a number of people on the line. I think  
18 the only person who you've told me -- I mean, you've told me  
19 there are going to be three witnesses. And I don't think  
20 that person is on the list that we talked about. All right.  
21 So you may be excused, sir. We'll give you a place to sit.

22 Mr. Choudhri is on the phone. I'm assuming you  
23 have no objection to him listening?

24 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, I don't.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. TROOP: I do not assert an objection. I  
2 believe he is a corporate rep.

3 THE COURT: How are you? Good to see you. Yeah,  
4 tell him to bring him up. He can come up.

5 Mr. Choudhri is waiting to be in the courtroom so  
6 I'm going to bring him up. All right, that's fine.

7 MR. TROOP: And I would suspect they would say he  
8 is the corporate rep for both of them.

9 MR. BURKS: He is the corporate representative of  
10 my client. He is the corporate representative of the  
11 debtor.

12 THE COURT: That's fine.

13 MR. TROOP: And I don't think I can invoke the  
14 rule with respect to him, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. Then, Ms. Conrad, do you  
16 want to go open a room? Or you want to get the testimony  
17 going and then you can do it?

18 CLERK: Give me one second.

19 MR. BURKS: And, Judge, do we need -- do you want  
20 for the record to name this witness as being excluded?

21 THE COURT: We'll do it when you call him as a  
22 rebuttal witness. Thank you.

23 MR. BURKS: Yes, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Thank you. All right.

25 MR. BURKS: Excuse me, Judge. Is now an okay time

1 for me to take a restroom break? I am struggling with a  
2 stomach issue.

3 THE COURT: I typically break every two hours. I  
4 was planning a break at 11:00. But, Mr. Burks, if you need  
5 to go to the bathroom, I don't want to stop you from doing  
6 that.

7 MR. BURKS: That's not the way I would have put it  
8 on the record, but thank you for putting it that way.

9 THE COURT: That's basically what you said. All  
10 right, but we'll stand down. We'll stand down for ten  
11 minutes. This will be our 11:00 break. Thank you.

12 CLERK: All rise.

13 (Recess)

14 CLERK: All rise.

15 THE COURT: Please be seated.

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, can Mr. Burks take  
17 one other thing to the witness stand?

18 THE COURT: Mr. Carter? Sure. No problem.

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: And Mr. Burks can do whatever he  
20 wants.

21 MR. BURKS: You're calling me?

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: No, no.

23 THE COURT: I did exactly the same thing, so my  
24 water's here as well.

25 CLERK: It's okay.

1 THE COURT: Again. All right, Mr. Carter. I'll  
2 remind you that you're still under oath. Go ahead, sir.

3 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

5 Q Mr. Carter, what kind of building is the property owned  
6 by the debtor?

7 A It's an office building.

8 Q Thank you. Are you aware of whether the building has  
9 tenants?

10 A I'm not aware of the actual situation.

11 Q Well, whether or not the building has any tenants, do  
12 you know what the plan does with respect to any leases those  
13 tenants have?

14 A It vacates all the leases so we can then review after  
15 and decide whether or not we want them, and any third-party  
16 bidder can decide which ones they would like to retain.

17 Q You testified earlier concerning litigation that was  
18 brought by the debtor. Do you know if the plan does  
19 anything with respect to that litigation?

20 A Litigation by the?

21 Q By the debtor.

22 A The debtor is released.

23 Q Do you know whether the -- under the plan the bank  
24 receives a release of claims by the estate?

25 A Yes. It does.

1 Q And if the bank did not receive that release, would it  
2 fund the amounts it's paying under the plan?

3 A No.

4 Q Can you remind me again what the banks -- what  
5 approximate amount the bank is owed as of the filing of the  
6 bankruptcy case?

7 A I believe it's around 61 or 2 million.

8 Q And in connection with the auction, the bank made a  
9 bid. The bank made a credit bid. Is that correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And what was the amount of that credit bid?

12 A 18 million 6.

13 Q And if the property sold to the bank at that credit bid  
14 in a foreclosure, would there be any money that was left for  
15 unsecured creditors?

16 A No.

17 Q But the bank is paying unsecured creditors something  
18 under the plan, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q In your view, is the bank making those payments  
21 essentially in exchange for the release and getting of  
22 estate claims?

23 A No, we're making those payments because we think it's  
24 fair to those parties.

25 Q Is the bank asking for the estate to pay any legal fees



1 the bank has incurred in connection with the bankruptcy  
2 case?

3 A No.

4 Q Is the bank asking the estate to pay any expenses the  
5 bank has incurred in connection with the bankruptcy case?

6 A No.

7 Q I want to go back to the settlement agreement for a  
8 moment, 508-7.

9 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the exhibit?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: It's on the screen.

11 THE COURT: Let me try something. I'm going to --  
12 I'm just going to see if I can get the screens to come back  
13 so it's on the big ones. I'm sorry. I'm not able to do  
14 that. Go ahead.

15 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

16 Q Under the settlement agreement, in general terms, the  
17 bank agreed to accept less than it was owed. Is that right?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And does the settlement agreement provide what happens  
20 concerning the amount owed to the bank by the debtor if the  
21 debtor fails to perform under the settlement agreement?

22 A Could you ask that again?

23 Q I'll try to ask it better. Do you know if the  
24 settlement agreement says what happens to the amount owed to  
25 the bank if the Choudhri parties fail to make the payment

1 required under the settlement agreement?

2 A They acknowledge the original full amount due.

3 Q And in that instance, is -- do you know if the  
4 settlement agreement allows the bank to exercise all of its  
5 rights under the loan documents?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And to collect the full amount that's owed?

8 A Yes.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: Excuse me one moment, Your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I think we've  
13 addressed this issue, but just for clarity, I move for  
14 admission of document at ECF 508-7, which is the settlement  
15 agreement. I think we've done it, but I just want to...

16 MR. BURKS: So for clarification, I --

17 THE COURT: It was admitted. I admitted it back  
18 originally.

19 MR. BURKS: Is it that? Is it 508-7 or do we have  
20 now two copies of it?

21 MR. FITZMAURICE: No, it's -- 508-7 is the -- is  
22 what I had showed him earlier and that was the version that  
23 we referenced earlier. So it's the same one.

24 THE COURT: It's on the record. It's been  
25 admitted. I'm pulling it up to make sure it is 508-7.

1 Thank you.

2 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

3 Q Mr. Carter, do you know whether the bank voted in  
4 connection with the plan?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Did the bank vote in favor or against?

7 A In favor.

8 Q Do you know how many claims the bank had?

9 A I'm not sure I understand the question.

10 Q Does the bank have one claim in this case or more than  
11 one?

12 A Oh, you mean in terms of the categories. Yes, it has  
13 three.

14 Q However many claims it had that are entitled to vote,  
15 did it vote all of those claims the same way?

16 A No, I believe just two of the three are voting.

17 Q So two of the three claims are voting claims?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And were those two claims voted in favor or against?

20 A In favor.

21 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I know that we just  
22 came back. May I have a short time to confer?

23 THE COURT: Yeah. Take your time.

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you.

25 MR. TROOP: We're going to step away from the mic,

1       aren't we?

2               THE COURT: That's probably a wise idea.

3               MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I'm happy to report  
4       this is one instance where a short break hopefully saves  
5       some time. Pass the witness.

6               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker, you made the  
7       first appearance, but I realize you may not want to go  
8       first.

9               MR. BAKER: I'd like Mr. Burks to go first.

10              THE COURT: Then I'll call on Mr. Burks for cross.

11              MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge.

12              THE COURT: Mr. Burks, are you going to project?  
13       Where are you going to project from?

14              MR. BURKS: I'm going to project from Mr. Baker.

15              THE COURT: All right. Then I'll go to the right  
16       table. Mr. Burks -- Baker can connect at his leisure.

17              MR. BAKER: Fixed it.

18              MR. BURKS: He did it.

19              MR. BAKER: I'm multi-talented, Mr. Burks.

20              MR. BURKS: Who am I to disagree, Your Honor?

21                      CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL CARTER

22       BY MR. BURKS:

23       Q       Hi, Mr. Carter. How are you, sir?

24       A       Good. How are you?

25       Q       I'm doing all right, thanks. Feeling a little better,

1 thanks. All right. So let's go over the provisions of the  
2 plan, and let's start with what you gave your testimony on,  
3 the note in the -- the note. And you testified what exactly  
4 your opinion was as to the terms of the note, correct? Did  
5 the settlement agreement modify the terms of that original  
6 note?

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
8 for a legal conclusion.

9 MR. BURKS: This door is really wide open at this  
10 point.

11 THE COURT: I'll give you a little bit of leeway.  
12 I think the agreement speaks for itself, Mr. Burks. What he  
13 thinks may or may not have any relevance to me, but if you  
14 want to ask the questions and put it on the record, I'm more  
15 than happy to do that.

16 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge. I made the same  
17 objections, frankly.

18 BY MR. BURKS:

19 Q In your opinion, did the settlement agreement modify  
20 the original terms of the note?

21 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor.  
22 Question calls for speculation and the witness' opinion. He  
23 is not here as an opinion witness, as an expert. He's here  
24 to offer factual testimony.

25 MR. BURKS: He gets to answer that.

1 THE COURT: I'll let him answer that question.

2 Thank you. Go ahead.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 A Could you say that again?

5 THE COURT: Let me be clear. Mr. Burks may be  
6 asking you questions that you do not know, and if you do not  
7 know --

8 THE WITNESS: Mm hm.

9 THE COURT: -- the correct responses, you don't  
10 know, okay? But if you do know or you have an opinion, I'd  
11 like to hear it. Go ahead.

12 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge.

13 BY MR. BURKS:

14 Q You testified as to the terms of the note and whether  
15 or not the note was in default and whether or not the  
16 borrower had performed. Do you remember that testimony?

17 A Yes.

18 Q All right. Was your -- and you testified that there  
19 was a settlement agreement, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You testified that you understood and you were familiar  
22 with the terms of that settlement agreement, correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q All right. Did the settlement agreement modify or  
25 change any terms of the original note?

1 A Well, because it's a settlement, it made some changes  
2 to the amount that was due.

3 Q Did it cure all the defaults up to and effective as of  
4 August 22, 2022?

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
6 for a legal conclusion. And also, the document and its  
7 terms speak for themselves.

8 MR. BURKS: Let's see what he knows, Your Honor.  
9 You gave him an awful lot of leeway on direct. I'm  
10 certainly within the scope of direct here.

11 THE COURT: I'll let -- again, and I'll say it  
12 again for the record, the document says that it says, Mr.  
13 Burks, but I don't have any objection to you asking that  
14 question.

15 MR. BURKS: I'm eventually going to -- the only --

16 THE COURT: The question. You don't need to tell  
17 me what you're going to do. I'm happy to hear the  
18 testimony. Go ahead.

19 MR. BURKS: You've ruled. Thank you, Judge.

20 BY MR. BURKS:

21 Q Do you need the question again, sir?

22 A Could you ask it again?

23 Q Sure. By the terms of the confidential settlement  
24 agreement, did all the defaults leading up to the settlement  
25 agreement date of August 22, 2022, were those cured? Were

1 those brought current by the settlement agreement?

2 A Yes. The borrower properly behaved under the  
3 settlement agreement and those claims were waived.

4 Q All right. So let's go to what the settlement  
5 agreement required. The first requirement was a payment of  
6 --

7 MR. BURKS: Scroll down, please.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q -- \$800,000. And I -- I'm telling you that so we can  
10 get to the agreement, sir.

11 MR. BAKER: Where is it?

12 MR. BURKS: Until you see (indiscernible). Keep  
13 going down.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q So the settlement payment of \$801 -- 509 --  
16 \$801,509.42, was that received by NBK?

17 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor, to the  
18 extent that counsel described that as the settlement payment  
19 and mischaracterizes the evidence.

20 MR. BURKS: Actually, I said "was the payment of"  
21 in terms of the settlement payment. We all see what the  
22 settlement payments are. I'm asking was the 801,509.42  
23 received. That's a simple question.

24 BY MR. BURKS:

25 A Yes.



1 Q The Judge has to rule on the objection. One moment.

2 THE COURT: What? Was there an objection? I  
3 didn't hear it.

4 MR. BURKS: Okay.

5 BY MR. BURKS:

6 Q So the 801,509.42 was received by the bank, correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q All right. The settlement payments also include...

9 MR. BURKS: (indiscernible). Wherever. Go back  
10 up. Go ahead and put the three payments of \$80,000.

11 THE COURT: I think that's part of the state court  
12 litigation.

13 MR. BURKS: Okay. Freeze there.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Were any other payments due to the bank under this  
16 settlement agreement?

17 A There was a total settlement of 27 million.

18 Q And when was that due?

19 A That was due within 210 days.

20 Q Did the bank receive 27 million with the  
21 (indiscernible) balance due within 210 days?

22 A No.

23 Q So what did the bank do? Did the bank extend the time  
24 or make any sort of agreement?

25 A We proceeded to file for foreclosure.

1 Q Did you make an agreement to extend the time to receive  
2 the money?

3 A The borrower made a request to the court to extend it.  
4 And there was an agreement to extend it for 90 days with a  
5 payment of \$80,000 a month.

6 Q Mm hm.

7 A Of which only two of the three months were paid.

8 Q So was the first month paid?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Was the second month paid?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Was the third month paid?

13 A No.

14 Q At what point did the bank take the position that the  
15 borrower was in default on the settlement agreement? On  
16 what date or what time?

17 A When they failed to make the third payment.

18 Q And what date was that?

19 A I don't remember specifically.

20 Q All right. Are you aware that there was a lawsuit  
21 filed? The lawsuit's been admitted into evidence, not for  
22 voracity of the claims, but for the fact that the lawsuit  
23 exists and the claims exist. Are you aware of that lawsuit?

24 A No.

25 Q So you're not aware that NBK is a defendant in a

1 lawsuit regarding the enforceability and terms of this  
2 settlement agreement.

3 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor. Lacks  
4 foundation. And if there's a lawsuit, we can look at it.

5 THE COURT: Yeah, I'll sustain the objection.

6 MR. BURKS: Well, I'm asking him if he's aware.  
7 An objection's sustained. I'll reask it.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q When you stated that the debtor or the borrower was in  
10 default of the settlement agreement, were you under the --  
11 were you making the assumption that the bank had not first  
12 been in breach of the settlement agreement?

13 A Yes.

14 Q If I told you, and it's purely hypothetical, but if I  
15 told you as a hypothetical in your experience as a banker,  
16 in your experience as -- dealing with loans that if there  
17 was an agreement that the bank breached first, would you  
18 take the position that the borrower was still in default of  
19 that agreement?

20 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
21 for speculation.

22 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

23 BY MR. BURKS:

24 Q The tax liens that the bank claims are going to be paid  
25 to the bank by -- in terms of the plan. Is that correct?

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
2 speaks for itself.

3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to what  
4 the plan says. And it's in evidence. If you want to show  
5 him and have him read it you can.

6 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

7 BY MR. BURKS:

8 Q Does the settlement agreement affect the rights of the  
9 borrower or NBK with respect to the tax liens?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
11 for a legal conclusion.

12 MR. BURKS: Your Honor -- response, Your Honor.  
13 This door is so wide open regarding what he understands the  
14 effects of the settlement agreement --

15 MR. FITZMAURICE: If he doesn't know, he doesn't  
16 know.

17 THE COURT: Here's my problem. I struggle for the  
18 relevance of what he thinks because what he thinks really  
19 isn't that important to me. It's what I think and how I  
20 rule. It's in evidence. If you want to make an argument  
21 that it does some particular thing for the debtor, that's  
22 fine. But I don't really care what he thinks. How's it  
23 relevant?

24 MR. BURKS: He's declaring the loan in default and  
25 he's testifying as to the validity of the claim, Judge.

1 THE COURT: And so your point is what? You're  
2 going to cross-examine him on that issue?

3 MR. BURKS: And I want -- I think it goes to  
4 weight in the documents.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

6 BY MR. BURKS:

7 Q I want to talk about classification, which is something  
8 you talked about. Do you remember talking to your attorney  
9 about classification of claims? Sort of the grouping. You  
10 described it, I believe, as the grouping of the claims.

11 A Yes.

12 Q You said that 2425 WL filed a second lien on the  
13 property. Is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q You stated that WL is getting zero under the plan. Is  
16 that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And why is WL getting zero under the plan?

19 A They have a subordinated claim and mine is insufficient  
20 to cover the first claim.

21 Q Why is the plan -- if that is true if -- after the  
22 auction if that is true, why does the plan not provide for a  
23 general unsecured claim on WL's second -- 2425 WL's second  
24 lien? Why does it not provide for that as an unsecured  
25 claim?

1 A They are a Category 6.

2 Q So it's because of the way they were classified. Let  
3 me ask it differently.

4 A Okay.

5 Q Is the unsecured portion of 2425 WL's claim in the same  
6 class as the under-secured or unsecured portion of NBK's  
7 lien?

8 A No.

9 Q But NBK's lien is mixed with some other unsecured  
10 creditors, isn't it?

11 A It's mixed with some third-party claimants.

12 Q Okay. Let's assume under your math, you told your  
13 attorney that the value -- you believe the value is  
14 somewhere around 60 -- or excuse me, you said today that the  
15 amount due on the note was about 60, 61. Correct me if I'm  
16 mischaracterizing. Is that what you said?

17 A Say that again? That the...

18 Q What is the current amount due on the first lien note  
19 of NBK?

20 A It's a little over 60 million.

21 Q All right. Let's say -- and you said that the credit  
22 bid was for 18 what?

23 A Six.

24 Q All right. So let's say you have a 41,000 --  
25 \$41,400,000 unsecured claim, okay?

1 A Yes.

2 Q That's mixed in with -- in the plan, that's classified  
3 and mixed in with unsecured -- of an unsecured claim in the  
4 total amount of what?

5 A I don't know the total amount of those other unsecured  
6 claims.

7 Q Small, deminimis compared to NBK's claim?

8 A Yes, they are small.

9 Q What would happen if you took the \$26 million unsecured  
10 claim that you believe that exists of WL on its second lien  
11 and mixed it in with NBK's lien? What would happen?

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Vague as  
13 to what would happen. Also relevance if -- to the current  
14 plan and whether it would be the confirmation standards,  
15 whether there was some other set of facts that doesn't  
16 exist.

17 THE COURT: I think the question is vague. Tell  
18 me how it affects me confirming this plan or not.

19 MR. BURKS: Classification -- unfair  
20 discrimination classification, Judge.

21 THE COURT: I think you can ask that question a  
22 different way rather than the way you're asking it. So  
23 rephrase your question please.

24 BY MR. BURKS:

25 Q Would a \$26 million claim classified in the same group

1 as NBK's probable approximately \$43 million claim, would  
2 that dilute substantially the distribution on that unsecured  
3 claim?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection. Vague as to  
5 "dilute". Vague as to "that claim".

6 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can  
7 answer the question.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 A Well, all of the -- all of that junior subordinate  
10 claim is subordinate to NBK's claim.

11 Q So your position is, is that the unsecured claim  
12 portion of the junior lien is subordinate to the unsecured  
13 portion of the first lien. Is that what you're saying?

14 A Yes.

15 Q All right. Let's talk about leases for a minute. What  
16 happens to the current tenants' leases? You said they were  
17 being rejected, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And where -- how much are the rejection lease claims  
20 being paid?

21 A They don't get a payment.

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
23 speaks for itself as to the treatment that the creditors  
24 receive.

25 MR. BURKS: I can't tell.



1 THE COURT: If he knows he can testify to it. If  
2 he doesn't know, he'll tell you he doesn't know.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 A The tenants don't get a payment.

5 Q They don't get one dime, do they?

6 A No.

7 Q All right. Trade creditors, are those trade creditors,  
8 do they hold liens on the property?

9 A They are various contractors who were doing work on the  
10 property that haven't been paid by the borrower.

11 Q My question is for purposes of this plan. Do they hold  
12 liens?

13 A I don't know.

14 Q And they're getting paid .70 cents on the dollar,  
15 correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And how much are general unsecured creditors being  
18 paid?

19 A The general unsecured ones get the pro rata share of  
20 all the claims in that category.

21 Q And is the approximately \$40 million claim of NBK in  
22 that same class?

23 A Yeah, it's 90 percent of it.

24 Q So the general unsecured claims are mixed in with NBK's  
25 claim, correct?

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.

2 Mischaracterizes the plan.

3 MR. BURKS: Oh, I doubt it. It's a --

4 THE COURT: Well, let's show him the plan then.

5 MR. BURKS: All right. Let's have the plan.

6 Paragraph 12. Page 12, Paragraph G, please.

7 BY MR. BURKS:

8 Q Sir, you recognize this as the plan you've testified  
9 you're familiar with? Or do you need the first page of it?

10 A Yes.

11 Q That is the plan that you're testifying about that  
12 you're familiar with?

13 A Yes.

14 Q To you, what does -- on Page 12, what does Paragraph G  
15 Classified B of the general unsecured claims -- what does it  
16 mean when it says, "Pro rata distribution of general  
17 unsecured claims, provided, however, NBK should be deemed to  
18 have another general unsecured claim equal to 90 percent of  
19 its deficiency"? What does that mean to you?

20 A Pro rata means that whatever is recovered through the  
21 liquidation trust, it would then be divided up based on the  
22 size of the claim. But NBK's claim is reduced to 90 percent  
23 of its unpaid claim at that point.

24 Q So the other general unsecured claims are mixed in with  
25 that 90 percent.

1 A Yes.

2 Q All right.

3 A They're at 100 percent.

4 MR. TROOP: Objection, Your Honor. That  
5 mischaracterizes the plan. The plan doesn't say general  
6 unsecured claims. It says --

7 MR. CHOUDHRI: Objection. One --

8 MR. TROOP: It says other. Other general  
9 unsecured claims. And there's only so much misleading that  
10 counsel ought to be able to do through his testimony because  
11 the plan's pretty clear. 5(a) is trade creditors, trade  
12 general unsecured creditors.

13 MR. CHOUDHRI: Objection.

14 MR. TROOP: There's a definition of other general  
15 unsecured creditors, and then there's Class 6.

16 THE COURT: Okay. And so let's be clear to  
17 everyone. I can read the plan. I could take what this  
18 witness says in light of what the plan says, and it goes  
19 greatly to weight, okay? So Mr. Burks' questions, I don't  
20 see any problems with them. You may have some disagreement  
21 with the form of the question, but I take that answer in  
22 context of the plan that I'm going to review, and it doesn't  
23 bother me in the least. Mr. Choudhri, you may sit down.  
24 You don't get to object. Go ahead.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 Q Paragraph B, treatment of classes of claims in  
2 interest, Paragraph B(g), the second -- just to be clear,  
3 the second lien claim of WL to the extent there's no value  
4 to that lien, it is excluded from B(g), correct?

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Is  
6 counsel asking about Classified B in Subsection G of this  
7 section?

8 MR. BURKS: Yes.

9 THE COURT: You can answer the question, sir.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay.

11 BY MR. BURKS:

12 A Could you repeat that question?

13 Q The (indiscernible) -- the second lien claim of WL is  
14 excluded from Page 12, Paragraph B(g).

15 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. There is  
16 no Paragraph B(g). Misleading.

17 MR. BURKS: Well, (indiscernible) claims is  
18 Capital B and then little (g) is Class B5. I'm looking at  
19 it. The witness is looking at it. We're all looking at it.

20 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask the question and answer  
21 it. I mean, I'm looking at the same thing and I'm taking it  
22 in light of what the plan says. And I -- Mr. Burks, I  
23 wonder why -- the questions you're asking seem to be  
24 undisputed facts, okay? I mean, I'm aware of what the plan  
25 says. I'm aware of the claim classification. I'm really

1 worried about disputed facts. This appears not to be a  
2 disputed fact as to what's in the claims and how they're  
3 being paid.

4 MR. BURKS: I'll answer the question, Judge. This  
5 witness said that this is a fair, equitable, and permissible  
6 plan.

7 THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask him questions  
8 about whether it's fair and equitable rather than how claims  
9 are classified? Because I can tell how claims are  
10 classified. It's in the plan, okay? If you have an  
11 argument to make, you have evidence that relates to fair and  
12 equitable, how the claims are classified perhaps, but I know  
13 how they're classified. Go ahead.

14 MR. BURKS: All right. I'm going to ask him one  
15 more question about classification and then I'll move on.  
16 May I, Judge?

17 THE COURT: Yeah, you can.

18 MR. BURKS: Thank you. Turn to trade creditor.  
19 (indiscernible).

20 MR. BAKER: In the (indiscernible)?

21 MR. BURKS: Mm hm. Go backwards.

22 MR. BAKER: Wait. Do you want me to go back to  
23 definitions?

24 MR. BURKS: No, I want you to go -- I'd like you  
25 to go, Mr. Baker, to trade creditors.

1 MR. BAKER: Which section?

2 MR. BURKS: It's what I'm looking for, treatment  
3 of trade creditors.

4 MR. BAKER: I'm almost there.

5 MR. BURKS: (indiscernible). I'm looking for it.  
6 Scroll up and look for it (indiscernible). It's on the same  
7 page.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q The plan provides, as the judge has said -- and we can  
10 all read it, the plan provides for trade creditors to  
11 receive 70 percent of their allowed claims, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Under any test, do you believe that the creditors, the  
14 other general unsecured claimants in Subclass G will receive  
15 70 percent of their allowed claims?

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.  
17 Mischaracterizes the document. There is no Subclass G.  
18 It's the --

19 MR. BURKS: Paragraphs -- Paragraph G, Class 5B of  
20 the general unsecured claims.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Do you believe that there is any way that they will  
23 receive .70 cents on the dollar?

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls --  
25 the question is misleading. Counsel is making the legal

1 argument about whether that's required in order for the plan  
2 to be fair and equitable.

3 THE COURT: I think he's asking a question that I  
4 already know the answer, but I'll ask you to answer the  
5 question, sir. And then I may ask the questions which I  
6 think you should be asking because I don't think you're  
7 getting there. But go ahead. Answer the question.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 A It's unlikely.

10 Q All right.

11 MR. BURKS: Am I deferring to you now or --

12 THE COURT: You are.

13 MR. BURKS: -- am I proceeding?

14 THE COURT: So if we look at the plan, Mr. Carter,  
15 there are two general unsecured classifications, Class A and  
16 Class 5B. And what I'd like to know is the rationale of why  
17 those claims are split into two separate classes of claims.  
18 If you know, tell me what the rationale is.

19 THE WITNESS: The general rationale is that the 5A  
20 category was third-party contractors that were doing work on  
21 the building and didn't get paid by the borrower at the time  
22 of the bankruptcy filing. While the 5B is entities that  
23 were doing work for the borrower but not the building.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So you're basically --

25 THE WITNESS: Essentially most of them were law

1 firms that were doing claim work on behalf of the borrower.

2 THE COURT: All right. So your rationale is --

3 THE WITNESS: It goes in a different category.

4 THE COURT: And in your mind, that separate  
5 classification is fair and equitable.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask about Class 7, which  
8 is the subordinated claims. They're not getting paid  
9 anything. What's the rationale for not paying the  
10 subordinated claims anything?

11 THE WITNESS: Well, Class 6, 7, and 8 are all  
12 related entities to the borrower.

13 THE COURT: So in effect, you're saying their  
14 insider claims, they shouldn't be paid.

15 THE WITNESS: Not that they shouldn't be paid, but  
16 there are insufficient funds or value in the property to pay  
17 them.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Burks.

19 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge. I forget sometimes  
20 you were a debtors' attorney.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Sir, you stated that there would be -- you stated that  
23 the bank anticipates paying \$3.7 million cash into the plan,  
24 correct?

25 A Yes.



1 Q And you stated that you were -- if you did not receive  
2 the release, if NBK did not receive the release in the plan  
3 -- that's provided in the plan, did I understand you  
4 correctly to say that you would not pay the -- the bank  
5 would not pay the \$3.7 million?

6 A Some of that 3.7 is administrative fees that would need  
7 to be paid anyway. But some parts of it are for trade  
8 creditors, etcetera, that would be voluntary.

9 Q Well, I don't think I asked the question clearly. If  
10 the --

11 A Yeah.

12 Q If the judge rules that he cannot grant a release in  
13 this plan -- and I'm not saying he will or won't, but if the  
14 judge rules he can't grant NBK the release that's in the  
15 plan, will NBK pay the \$3.7 million if the plan's otherwise  
16 confirmed?

17 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
18 for speculation.

19 THE COURT: I'll sustain that objection.

20 BY MR. BURKS:

21 Q In the plan, is the \$3.7 million payment tied to the  
22 release?

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
24 speaks for itself.

25 MR. BURKS: No, he --

1 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

2 MR. BURKS: All right.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q Have you or -- have you made a determination what is  
5 the value against NBK of all the litigation that's pending  
6 that you're aware of? Have you made a valuation of that?

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection. The witness  
8 personally?

9 MR. BURKS: That's where I'm going as my initial  
10 question, yes.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: So then I'll object to the  
12 extent the witness -- the question would ask the witness to  
13 reveal the contents of the attorney-client communications.  
14 I instruct the witness not to do so.

15 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think  
16 that question's better directed to the trustee anyway.

17 BY MR. BURKS:

18 Q Has NBK's loan committee -- did they approve the  
19 payment of 3.7? Did they approve the payment of \$3.7  
20 million through the plan if it's confirmed?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Did they do so on the expectation of the full release  
23 from litigation?

24 A Yes.

25 Q In doing so, did they assign a value to the litigation

1 that they're being released from?

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
3 for speculation and again (indiscernible).

4 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

5 BY MR. BURKS:

6 Q Is this plan being confirmed or proposed with the bank  
7 assigning no valuation to the litigation (indiscernible)?

8 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. It's the  
9 version of the same question that we've been at here a  
10 little while.

11 THE COURT: And I sustained the objection for the  
12 first time, and I'll sustain it again. Thank you.

13 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. So your ruling is  
14 that I don't get to know what the value -- the bank's value  
15 of the litigation is?

16 THE COURT: I just said I think that question is  
17 better asked of the trustee. He's probably in a much better  
18 position to make an evaluation of what it's worth and not  
19 worth.

20 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. I understand. I'm  
21 going to ask the Court to take judicial notice that in the  
22 case the proponent in this plan and the trustee is not.

23 THE COURT: That's fine.

24 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge.

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 MR. BURKS: May I have a moment with my notes,  
2 Judge?

3 THE COURT: Certainly.

4 MR. BURKS: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

5 MAN 1: May I borrow a tissue from the court?

6 THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

7 MAN 1: And (indiscernible) I will not return it.

8 MR. BURKS: Mr. Baker, will you turn to Page 11 of  
9 the plan, please? May I proceed?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: Oh, yes. Thank you, yes.

11 BY MR. BURKS:

12 Q Mr. Carter, will you look on Page 11 of the plan?  
13 There's a summary of classification. I want to know what  
14 other secured claims in Class 1 are. Who are they, please?

15 A Class 1 is the outstanding tax claims.

16 Q Are you aware of proof of claim at ECF Docket 496-1  
17 filed by Arin-Air Inc.?

18 A No.

19 Q Never heard of it?

20 A No.

21 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not the claim of Arin-Air  
22 Inc. is being paid in the plan?

23 A I don't know what that claim is.

24 Q Okay. Let's put it up on the screen and see. Docket  
25 ECF 496-1.

1 MR. BURKS: Mr. Baker, will you slowly scroll  
2 down?

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q And Mr. Carter, I'm doing this so -- I'm trying to see  
5 if you can identify this claim. It purports to be from  
6 Arin-Air Inc. and it purports to have a mechanic  
7 (indiscernible) on it.

8 MR. BURKS: All the way down (indiscernible).

9 BY MR. BURKS:

10 Q Have you seen this proof of claim, Mr. Carter, before?

11 A I don't recall this one.

12 Q It purports to be, certainly on its face --

13 MR. BURKS: Go to Page 1, please.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q -- a secured claim. Isn't that the definition of Class  
16 1, other secured claims?

17 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
18 speaks for itself in how it defines numbers of the classes.

19 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
20 you.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Do you know what class Arin-Air Inc. is included in?

23 A Given that it appears to be a construction company,  
24 it's like in the 5A group. If it's there. I don't know  
25 that one particularly.

1 Q And which group is that?

2 A That's the one that gets 70 percent.

3 Q The date of the lien purports to be 8/11/2023. Do you  
4 recall the date of the second lien filed by 2425 WL?

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor. Calls  
6 for a legal conclusion as to whether or not the -- if there  
7 is a lien that is actually valid and perfected as of that  
8 date.

9 THE COURT: Ask the question again, Mr. Burks.

10 BY MR. BURKS:

11 Q We see that Arin-Air Inc.'s lien affidavit by order or  
12 not was filed on 8/11/2023. Do you recall when the lien of  
13 2425 WL, valid or not, do you recall when that was filed?

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor. Lacks  
15 foundation as to the date of the filing. I don't know if  
16 the witness knows what any of the markings on this document  
17 mean.

18 MR. BURKS: I asked him when it was filed.

19 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can  
20 answer the question. If you recall.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall it --

22 THE COURT: If you don't recall --

23 THE WITNESS: -- when it was filed.

24 BY MR. BURKS:

25 Q All right, sir. Well, I have a question about that,

1 and I'm going to pull up the proof of claim, which has been  
2 previously admitted into evidence so you can take a look at  
3 it. (indiscernible) one moment, sir. I understand that you  
4 don't remember all the claims.

5 MR. BURKS: Is this you (indiscernible)? Let's do  
6 some work on it.

7 MR. BAKER: FR claim?

8 MR. BURKS: Of the (indiscernible).

9 MR. BAKER: Yeah. I would have to look there.

10 MR. BURKS: (indiscernible).

11 MR. BAKER: He's there. Go up. Keep going up.

12 MR. BURKS: And on a slightly lighter note, I do  
13 miss the days of paper a little bit.

14 MR. BAKER: 12. No, I'm sorry. (indiscernible).  
15 Here it is.

16 MR. BURKS: Yeah, there it is. 7. Go down to the  
17 middle of the page.

18 BY MR. BURKS:

19 Q So the date of the lien affidavit of Arin-Air was  
20 8/11/23 irrespective of this enforceability. And the --

21 MR. TROOP: Object -- I'm sorry, Your Honor.

22 MR. BURKS: Can I ask the question?

23 MR. TROOP: Well, no, because it's about what  
24 you're talking about. It's not admissible.

25 MR. SATHER: Your Honor, this --

1 THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa. One at a time. One  
2 at a time. I'll let you go, Mr. Sather, but let him talk  
3 first. Don't interrupt him. Thank you. Go ahead.

4 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, I do not believe that the  
5 Arin-Air proof of claim has been admitted into evidence. If  
6 it's not been admitted into evidence, you're entitled to  
7 take judicial notice of the fact that it is on the claims  
8 register, but you can't take anything about it as being true  
9 for a fact that's been asserted. There's no foundation for  
10 that. And therefore to the extent that this line of  
11 questioning is trying to elicit something between the truth  
12 of a document that is not admitted for the truth, it can't  
13 be admitted for the truth of it and another document. But  
14 the line of questioning should be prohibited, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, I'll let you respond to  
16 that. Go ahead. And I'll let Mr. Sather respond as well.

17 MR. BURKS: So you can do -- I'm asking the Court  
18 to take judicial notice of Docket Number 496-1, which is the  
19 proof of -- excuse me.

20 THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice of the  
21 claims register in 2334815 Galleria 2425 (indiscernible).

22 MR. BURKS: And all I'm asking is the Court to  
23 take judicial notice that the claim includes (indiscernible)  
24 with the date. Whether it's valid or not is for you to  
25 decide when you write your opinion on this (indiscernible).



1 THE COURT: Okay. So what's your question to this  
2 witness then?

3 MR. BURKS: My question is comparing the date of  
4 lien on (indiscernible) for Arin-Air from 8/11/2023, whether  
5 valid or not, is it before or after the trust date, whether  
6 valid or not, in 2425 WL from Proof of Claim 7.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, that has so much  
8 speculation in it, it's not even that funny. So I'm not  
9 going to allow that question. But I can look at the claims  
10 here to strike and compare dates. Thank you.

11 MR. BURKS: All right. I ask -- hold on, please.  
12 Your Honor, I ask the Court to take judicial notice of Claim  
13 7-1 --

14 THE COURT: I've already taken judicial notice of  
15 the entire claims register, Mr. Burks.

16 MR. BURKS: I ask the Court to take judicial  
17 notice that the date of trust purports to be recorded on  
18 8/11/2021.

19 THE COURT: Scrolling down, that's an official  
20 copy, right?

21 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: It's unofficial.

23 MR. BURKS: On the proof of claim it purports to  
24 be recorded on 8/11/2021.

25 THE COURT: What claim is that, Mr. Burks?

1 MR. BURKS: This is Claim 7, the claim on 2425 WL.  
2 Go to the first page where it says the date on it.

3 THE COURT: Bear with me for one second. I'm  
4 looking at it. I'm pulling it off ECF.

5 MR. BURKS: Let me see it (indiscernible). You're  
6 going to put it on the screen, Judge?

7 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to look at it. But I  
8 can put it on the screen. It's already on the screen.

9 MR. BURKS: Mm hm. All right. Keep going. Stop.

10 THE COURT: You want me to take judicial notice of  
11 the fact that it was recorded on 5/11/2021? Is that what  
12 you're asking?

13 MR. BURKS: That the proof of claim purports to  
14 claim that it was recorded on 5/11/2021.

15 THE COURT: The recording information seems to  
16 indicate that it was filed on 5/11/2021. That's at the top  
17 of Page 5 of 18. I'll take judicial notice that.

18 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Your Honor. May I ask the  
19 Court to take judicial notice of Docket Number 496-1, the  
20 proof of claim of Arin-Air Inc.?

21 THE COURT: I've already taken judicial notice of  
22 the entire claims register, Mr. Burks. I don't need to.

23 MR. BURKS: Will you take judicial notice that the  
24 lien affidavit purports to be recorded on 8/11/2023?

25 THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice of the

1 recording stamp dated 8/11/2023, Claim 17-1 on Page 4 of 9.

2 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q Mr. Carter, on that class of trade creditors, they are  
5 getting paid 70 percent of their allowed claims. Are you  
6 aware of any of those claims that have recorded mechanic's  
7 and (indiscernible) liens?

8 A No.

9 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, I may be complete with my  
10 cross of this witness. May I -- Mr. Ali Choudhri did ask  
11 for me to speak to him. May I take --

12 THE COURT: That's fine.

13 MR. BURKS: -- 60 seconds or more? How much time  
14 may I have? 90 seconds?

15 THE COURT: I'll give you as much time as you  
16 need, Mr. Burks. I don't want to cut anyone off from asking  
17 questions as long as they're relevant. So go ahead.

18 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge. I'd rather handle  
19 it this way.

20 BY MR. BURKS:

21 Q Go back to the very beginning of the plan. You talked  
22 a little with your client -- with your attorney, sorry. You  
23 talked with your attorney about the negotiation and proposal  
24 of the plan. Did NBK negotiate the terms of this plan with  
25 the Chapter 11 trustee?

1 A No.

2 Q Did NBK provide the terms of the plan to the Chapter 11  
3 trustee?

4 A Our lawyers did the initial draft.

5 Q Okay. Do you know -- for purposes of this hearing, do  
6 you know if the Chapter 11 trustee asked for a cap on credit  
7 bidding?

8 A They did.

9 Q Excuse me?

10 A They did.

11 Q And was a cap on credit bidding provided in the plan?

12 A We declined that request.

13 Q All right. Did the Chapter 11 trustee request more  
14 funds be paid in for the release of claims?

15 A That was a suggestion they made.

16 Q Is -- and did you? Did you agree to provide more than  
17 the estimated 3.7 note?

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The  
19 question is misleading. The first question was what was  
20 initially requested, and now it's what is ultimately  
21 provided. And counsel is asking whether what was initially  
22 requested -- there's a logical connection between the two,  
23 and he hasn't established that they're in fact --

24 THE COURT: Let's ask a little more detail, Mr.  
25 Burks.

1 MR. BURKS: Fair enough. I agree. Sorry.

2 BY MR. BURKS:

3 Q You're aware of the cash payments in the -- that are  
4 provided for -- required of the bank if they get a release  
5 and the plan's confirmed, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And your estimation is that it was about -- it's going  
8 to be more or less \$3.7 million.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And the board's approved that.

11 A Yes.

12 Q Did the trustee ask for more than that amount of \$3.7  
13 million?

14 A I'm not aware of any specific request of an amount.

15 Q Did the trustee ask for more? Not an amount, more than  
16 \$3.7 million.

17 A Well, that's an estimate. It could be more.

18 Q Did the trustee ask for more than \$3.7 million?

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection. Asked and answered.

20 THE COURT: And I'll sustain the objection.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Has NBK's board approved more than \$3.7 million?

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.

24 Mischaracterizes the witness' testimony.

25 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

1 BY MR. BURKS:

2 Q Do you know --

3 MR. SATHER: Your Honor, if I may, I believe the  
4 two counsel from NBK are making objections. I would request  
5 that they be limited to a single counsel per witness.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Fitzmaurice will make objections.  
7 Go ahead.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q Do you know how many negotiating sessions there were  
10 between NBK's attorneys and the Chapter 11 trustee or the  
11 Chapter 11 trustee's attorneys? I'm not asking you for what  
12 was said, but do you know how many negotiation sessions were  
13 made?

14 A No.

15 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lacks  
16 foundation.

17 THE COURT: He answered the question. I don't  
18 need to rule on the objection. Go ahead.

19 BY MR. BURKS:

20 Q You negotiate deals and workouts on loans, don't you?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Isn't it true that NBK in its negotiations constantly  
23 told the Chapter 11 trustee if you want the cash, I got to  
24 have credit bidding?

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lacks

1 foundation.

2 MR. BURKS: He either knows or he doesn't.

3 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

4 BY MR. BURKS:

5 Q If you want the cash, we've got to have credit bidding.  
6 Was that a point that the bank made with the trustee?

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: So objection, Your Honor, to the  
8 extent that the question calls for the witness to reveal the  
9 contents of attorney-client privileged communications for  
10 which I instruct him not to do so.

11 MR. BURKS: I asked him what the bank's position  
12 was. I was careful not to dance in between the bank and the  
13 attorneys.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Well, what he asked was what did  
15 the bank's lawyers say to the trustee.

16 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to  
17 privilege. Thank you.

18 BY MR. BURKS:

19 Q Did the bank -- not the bank's lawyers, did the bank  
20 take the position that no credit bidding equals no \$3.7  
21 million in the plan?

22 A We agree with that concept, yes.

23 Q Isn't it true that the bank said no release of claims,  
24 no release of liability, no \$3.7 million?

25 A We agreed with that concept, yes.

1 Q Is there any other plan on file right now for the  
2 Chapter 11 trustee to consider?

3 A Not that I know of.

4 Q So it's your plan or the highway, correct?

5 A From my understanding.

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.  
7 Argumentative.

8 THE COURT: That's argumentative, Mr. Burks.

9 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. Only 30 seconds to  
10 confer with counsel to make sure that --

11 THE COURT: Go ahead.

12 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, I'm checking my notes to  
13 make sure that I've asked what I need to ask and then I'll  
14 pass the witness. Thank you, Your Honor. Pass the witness.

15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker?

16 MR. BAKER: No additional questions, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr.  
18 Fitzmaurice?

19 MAN 1: Your Honor? May I ask a few, Your Honor?

20 THE COURT: No, you may not. Thank you.

21 MAN 1: I thought I misunderstood maybe in the  
22 beginning of the hearing you said that I would be able to  
23 ask --

24 THE COURT: You may sit down, sir. Thank you. Go  
25 ahead.



1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, briefly, before I  
2 begin, have we invoked the rule? I just want to make sure I  
3 understand who the rest of the -- other than the marshals in  
4 the back, the -- I understand who the rest of the folks are  
5 in the courtroom who are sitting behind the bar.

6 THE COURT: I --

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: Mr. Steidley is counsel for  
8 2425. I want to make sure he's here in that capacity, not  
9 as a witness given we've had lots of lawyers called as  
10 witnesses.

11 THE COURT: The rule's --

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: And he was previously a witness.

13 THE COURT: The rule's been invoked. If they  
14 violate the rule, it's on the people who are sitting at that  
15 table right over there. They know what the rule is. And if  
16 it's invoked and it's violated, then they don't get to  
17 testify. It's simple. Thank you.

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL CARTER

20 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

21 Q Mr. Carter, do you know whether the Chapter 11 trustee  
22 has filed an objection to 2425 WL's proof of claim?

23 MR. BURKS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I'm invoking  
24 the rule on Mr. Steidley. Somebody might call him.

25 THE COURT: He's already been in the witness --

1 he's already been -- he's already heard testimony. He can't  
2 testify.

3 MR. BURKS: All right.

4 THE COURT: Thank you.

5 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

6 Q I'll repeat the question, Mr. Carter. Do you know  
7 whether the Chapter 11 trustee has filed an objection to the  
8 2425 WL proof of claim?

9 A Not that I know of.

10 Q Okay. Counsel was asking you questions about the  
11 classification of claims in the plan. Do you recall that?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And one of those questions was about Class 7  
14 subordinated claims. Do you recall that?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Just in general --

17 A Oh.

18 Q -- that he asked you questions about that topic.  
19 That's all I'm asking for now.

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Do you know whether there are in fact any  
22 creditors in Class 7?

23 A Not that I know of.

24 Q Okay. Do you know whether the trustee has filed a  
25 complaint seeking to equitably subordinate certain claims

1 that have been filed in this case by affiliates of Mr.

2 Choudhri?

3 A Not that I know of.

4 Q Okay. There were some questions that were asked of you

5 about a proof of claim filed by Arin-Air. Do you recall

6 those questions?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And do you recall that Mr. Burks was asking whether you

9 knew anything about whether that claim was in fact secured?

10 Do you recall those questions?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. Do you know whether that claim filed by Arin-

13 Air, if it's allowed, is senior in priority to the bank's

14 claim?

15 A Not that I know of.

16 Q Okay. If it is senior in priority to the bank's claim,

17 do you know what happens to it under the plan?

18 A I believe it goes into Category 2.

19 Q And do you know what -- do you recall what the

20 treatment is to those claims?

21 A It's 100 percent payment.

22 Q Okay. Do you know whether the period of time for the

23 estate to object to claims, whether that period of time has

24 passed?

25 A I don't know that specific.

1 Q Did you specifically, you, Mr. Carter, did you  
2 negotiate any terms of the Chapter 11 plan of liquidation  
3 with the Chapter 11 trustee?

4 A No.

5 Q Do you know whether any other bank employee negotiated  
6 the terms of the plan on behalf of -- well, with the Chapter  
7 11 trustee?

8 A No.

9 Q Do you know whether counsel for NBK negotiated the  
10 terms of the Chapter 11 plan with the Chapter 11 trustee?

11 A That's my understanding, yes.

12 Q The bank has previously sought to foreclose its  
13 mortgage against the property. Is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And in the event of a foreclosure, do you have an  
16 understanding as to what would happen to any lien that comes  
17 after the banks?

18 A They would be wiped out --

19 Q Would they --

20 A -- if the bank is not fully repaid.

21 Q And if there are any parties who hold any unsecured  
22 claims, would they receive any benefit in the event of a  
23 foreclosure?

24 A Not if the bank is not fully repaid.

25 MR. BURKS: Objection. Calls for speculation as

1 to what would be brought in at a foreclosure.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: The witness' answer I think  
3 resolves the question. He said unless -- not if the bank  
4 wasn't fully repaid.

5 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Thank  
6 you. Based on the response.

7 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

8 Q Thank you, Mr. Carter.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: No further questions, Your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Burks?

12 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL CARTER

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Mr. Carter --

16 MR. BURKS: Put the plan up please. It's 23.  
17 It's 194.

18 BY MR. BURKS:

19 Q Bear with me, Mr. Carter. I want to ask you a question  
20 about the plan without being -- I believe we're on page --  
21 the first page. You're the representative of the bank,  
22 correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q A deposition was taken of an officer of the bank who  
25 had knowledge of this case. Within the scope of that

1 deposition -- and was that taken of you?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Are you --

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, objection as beyond  
5 the scope. I think he's limited to what the redirect was.

6 THE COURT: It is. He is limited, but he's asked  
7 about a deposition. That could be impeachment. I'll let  
8 him go. But if it's beyond the scope you can raise your  
9 objection again. Go ahead.

10 MR. BURKS: I would never do that, Judge.

11 THE COURT: I don't believe that for a minute, Mr.  
12 Burks.

13 MR. BURKS: I tried to keep a straight face.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Mr. Carter, you recognize this document, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q All right. Who signed this?

18 A Well, if you scroll down I could tell you.

19 Q Thank you.

20 A Yes, it's me.

21 Q And the National Bank of Kuwait signed it through its  
22 representative Michael Carter, correct?

23 A Yes.

24 MR. BURKS: Mr. Baker, scroll down.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 Q Did the law firm sign it? I don't see their signature.

2 Did the law firm sign it?

3 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, we'll stipulate that  
4 the bank signed and the law firms didn't.

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. It's -- I'll  
6 take the stipulation. Thank you.

7 BY MR. BURKS:

8 Q Is your statement that you had no knowledge of the  
9 negotiation of the terms of this plan?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.  
11 Mischaracterizes the witness' testimony.

12 MR. BURKS: I asked him if it was.

13 THE COURT: I think it mischaracterizes his prior  
14 testimony. Ask a different question.

15 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

16 BY MR. BURKS:

17 Q Do you know the terms back and forth of the  
18 negotiations of this plan that you signed?

19 A Generally. Generally, yes.

20 MR. BURKS: Nothing further, Judge.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Baker?

22 MR. BAKER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr.

24 Fitzmaurice?

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: Nothing further for Mr. Carter,

1 Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Carter, you're  
3 excused. You may step down. Thank you. All right. Mr.  
4 Fitzmaurice, next witness.

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, National Bank of  
6 Kuwait calls the Chapter 11 trustee Christopher Murray.

7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Murray, come on  
8 forward. I'll swear you in. Please raise your right hand  
9 and be sworn. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the  
10 whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

11 THE WITNESS: I do.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat.

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER MURRAY

14 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

15 Q Good morning, Mr. Murray.

16 A Good morning.

17 Q Were you in the courtroom earlier this morning when the  
18 Court took appearances?

19 A I was.

20 Q Did you hear Mr. Shannon tell the Court that the  
21 Chapter 11 trustee supports confirmation of the bank's plan?

22 A Yes, I did.

23 Q Do you support confirmation of the bank's plan?

24 A I do.

25 Q Why?



1 A It is -- if confirmed, will lead to a superior outcome  
2 for unsecured creditors and other parties in interest than  
3 the alternative.

4 Q Is there presently an alternative?

5 A No.

6 Q Was there previously an alternative?

7 A There were other plans filed but ultimately withdrawn.

8 Q In your role as Chapter 11 trustee, did you evaluate  
9 the benefit to the estate from -- at the time when there  
10 were in fact competing plans?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And did you make a determination as to which of the  
13 competing plans was superior from the estate's purposes?

14 A Yes.

15 Q I should say from the estate's perspective.

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. What was that determination?

18 A I determined that the NBK plan was more likely to be  
19 confirmed and therefore in the creditor's best interest.  
20 And in that sense, superior to the competing plans.

21 Q What factors did you consider in determining whether or  
22 not you thought -- that you thought the bank's plan was more  
23 likely to be confirmed than the plan proposed by the debtor?

24 A I considered feasibility in terms of ability to fund.  
25 That was critical. I considered what -- whether there'd be

1 enough money for admins. I considered permission to use  
2 cash collateral, which was essential to keep the business in  
3 an 11. I considered what recovery to unsecured creditors  
4 might be. I considered how causes of action would be  
5 preserved or not. And I considered my assessment of  
6 confirmability of the plan. There might be other things,  
7 but those are what come to mind right now.

8 Q And after consideration of all of those factors, you  
9 made the determination that the bank's plan was superior to  
10 the debtor's plan. Is that right?

11 A Well, yeah. I'd say that I think it was superior to  
12 not having a plan.

13 Q Did you make a determination as to whether you thought  
14 the debtor's plan was confirmable?

15 A I did.

16 Q Did you make a determination as to whether you thought  
17 the debtor's plan was feasible?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What was that determination?

20 A I didn't believe it was feasible.

21 Q Why did you believe the debtor's plan was not feasible?

22 A Lack of funding primarily, but also the de facto veto  
23 that the bank would have absent adequate assurance payments  
24 and adequate protection, which I didn't see the feasibility  
25 of.

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, may I project?

2 THE COURT: Sure.

3 MAN 1: (indiscernible)?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Please. Thank you.

5 MAN 1: Thank you.

6 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

7 Q Mr. Murray, I'm showing you Document ECF 302. Do you  
8 recognize this to be the third amended plan that was  
9 proposed by the debtor jointly with 2425 WL?

10 A Yeah, that's what it says.

11 Q Do you recall whether the funding source was for the  
12 payments that the debtor was required to make under this  
13 plan?

14 A I don't. I don't remember.

15 MAN 1: I think you're having your Adobe problem  
16 again.

17 MR. FITZMAURICE: Oh, I am. That's unfortunate.  
18 Here I was, Your Honor. I thought I was organized. I had  
19 all my documents open. All my -- for my witnesses ready to  
20 go. I apologize.

21 THE COURT: There are reasons why we hate Adobe,  
22 and this is one of them.

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: So Your Honor, I'm going to ask  
24 my colleague to open the document at ECF 302, which is the  
25 debtor's third amended plan. I will unplug. And I'm going

1 to ask you, Mr. Akuffo, to scroll down to the end. Yep. Up  
2 a little bit to the first page of the term sheet.

3 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

4 A Okay. I see that.

5 Q Do you recall reviewing this summary of key terms of  
6 and conditions for debtor-in-possession term loan facility?

7 A Yes. Yes.

8 Q Do you know whether this was, in fact, the source of  
9 funding for the payments the debtor was required to make  
10 under its third amended plan?

11 A Yes, I think that's what they were proposing to fund  
12 their plan with. Yes. Yeah.

13 Q Did you make an assessment as to whether or not funding  
14 would in fact be available?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And what was that assessment?

17 A I doubted it would be.

18 Q And what were the factors that led to that conclusion?

19 A Well, the history of the debtor generally being unable  
20 to secure funding even for its settlement agreement, the  
21 inability to fund its first bankruptcy case, its inability  
22 to show a commitment to funding at any point during the  
23 case. Those things generally, but also a proposed DIP  
24 lender term sheet is not a loan.

25 Q Do you know whether this term sheet contemplated a loan

1 that would prime the bank's lien?

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'll ask you, Mr. Akuffo, to  
3 scroll --

4 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

5 A I don't remember this one specifically. I remember  
6 thinking --

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: Go up a little bit.

8 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

9 A Yeah.

10 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, I object to any further  
11 answer. The document speaks for itself and he has answered  
12 that he doesn't remember.

13 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I'm allowed to use  
14 essentially anything to try to refresh the witness'  
15 recollection, which is what I'm trying to do.

16 THE COURT: That's fine. I'll overrule the  
17 objection.

18 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

19 Q Mr. Murray, I'd ask you to look at the sort of middle  
20 portion of your screen. Does that refresh your recollection  
21 as to whether or not --

22 A I see now it's a priming DIP.

23 Q Okay. Did you make an assessment as to whether you  
24 thought a priming DIP would be available to the debtor in  
25 this case?

1 A I did.

2 Q And what was that assessment?

3 A That they would not be able to meet the requirements to  
4 prime.

5 Q Do you know whether the debtor sought to support its  
6 payment obligations in connection with its fourth amended  
7 plan with this same term sheet?

8 A I don't remember.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: So I'm going to ask my colleague  
10 to pull up Document Number ECF 366. Sorry, 366.

11 MAN 1: 365.

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: 366 is what I'm looking for. Go  
13 to the first page, please.

14 MAN 1: (indiscernible).

15 MR. FITZMAURICE: I don't. Thank you.

16 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

17 Q Mr. Murray, I'm showing you the document at ECF 366.  
18 Do you recognize this to be the disclosure statement the  
19 debtor filed in connection with its fourth amended joint  
20 plan with 2425 WL?

21 A Yes, I do.

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: So I'll ask my colleague to move  
23 to Page 47 of 129.

24 MR. BURKS: Is this the fourth amended  
25 (indiscernible)? What's --

1 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

2 Q Do you see there, Mr. Murray, the same summary key  
3 terms of the conditions for debtor-in-possession term loan  
4 facility?

5 A Yes, it looks like the one we just looked at.

6 Q All right. And does this refresh your recollection as  
7 to whether the same contemplated financing from Legalist was  
8 used by the debtor to support the payments for  
9 (indiscernible) it made under its fourth amended plan?

10 A I mean, not this specifically, but I recall that the  
11 funding source in those plans was the same. Or would've  
12 been the same had they had the funding.

13 Q Thank you.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: And Mr. Akuffo, if we could go  
15 to ECF Number 377 please.

16 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

17 Q Mr. Murray, do you recognize this to be the debtor's  
18 fifth amended plan?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And this was the last plan the debtor had on file?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And this is the plan that was ultimately withdrawn?

23 A That's my understanding, yes.

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: I don't have the page number.  
25 Can you scroll down for me?

1 MAN 1: Yeah, he's looking for the term sheet.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you.

3 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

4 Q Mr. Murray, do you see again in the same May 3, 2024  
5 summary of key terms under the conditions for debtor-in-  
6 possession term loan facility?

7 A Yeah. It looks like the same term sheet, yes.

8 Q So is it your understanding that the debtor was again  
9 contemplating using the same facility in support of the  
10 payments under the fifth amended plan?

11 A Yeah, it was the Legalist funding. Yes.

12 Q Are you aware of whether Legalist ever approved its  
13 funding?

14 A No, I'm not.

15 Q Do you know of -- has anyone told you that Legalist did  
16 not in fact approve funding for the plan?

17 MR. BURKS: Calls for hearsay. Has anyone told  
18 you?

19 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

20 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

21 Q Do you know whether or not Legalist ever in fact  
22 approved funding contemplated by the debtor's plan?

23 MR. BURKS: Objection. Calls for hearsay. He  
24 already stated that he's not aware.

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: But the last question was



1 whether somebody told him. This is whether he's aware.

2 MR. BURKS: Two questions ago was, was he aware.

3 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. He can  
4 answer the question. Thank you. If you're aware.

5 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

6 A I know we had asked for information to confirm that --  
7 whether there was funding. I never saw any kind of  
8 confirmation. So...

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'm going to ask my colleague to  
10 pull up a document at ECF 527-3.

11 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

12 Q Mr. Murray, you've got in front of you a document  
13 that's ECF 527-3, a June 13, 2024 email. That purports to  
14 be an email from someone named Brian Rice to me. Do you see  
15 that?

16 A I see it.

17 Q Reading this email, does that refresh your recollection  
18 as to whether or not Legalist ever approved the finance --  
19 the contemplated financing for the debtor?

20 MR. BURKS: Objection. The rules of evidence do  
21 not allow that witness' recollection to be refreshed by a  
22 hearsay document.

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: In fact, they specifically do,  
24 Your Honor. I'm allowed to show him anything.

25 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

1 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

2 A I mean, it doesn't refresh my recollection. I don't  
3 think I've seen this email.

4 Q Okay. Thank you.

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: You can take that one down.

6 Thank you. Actually, sorry. Just one more. ECF Number  
7 474.

8 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

9 Q Mr. Murray, showing you what's -- the document that's  
10 been docketed at ECF Number 474.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Just scroll up to the top so we  
12 can see that please. Thank you.

13 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

14 A I recognize this.

15 Q And is this the debtor's withdrawal of its fifth  
16 amended plan?

17 A Yeah, right before a show-cause hearing on the plan,  
18 yes.

19 Q Do you know what that show-cause hearing was intended  
20 to address?

21 A I recall that the court ordered the disclosure of  
22 documents evidencing availability of funding. Something  
23 like that. And I remember waiting for that day. Then it  
24 came and went and there was no proof of funding that was  
25 ever filed.

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Okay. You can take this one  
2 down. Thank you.

3 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

4 Q Mr. Murray, are you aware of whether or not the debtor  
5 has asserted claims against the National Bank of Kuwait?

6 A Yes.

7 Q In the course of your duties in this case as the  
8 Chapter 11 trustee, have you investigated those claims?

9 A Yes.

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'm just going to grab a  
11 document from the table if that's okay.

12 THE COURT: That's fine. Feel free.

13 MR. FITZMAURICE: Always at the bottom of the  
14 pile.

15 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

16 Q So Mr. Murray, I'd like to discuss with you the things  
17 that you did to investigate the debtor's claims against the  
18 bank. Did you discuss the nature of those claims with the  
19 debtor's counsel?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Now the debtor has more than one counsel. Is there --  
22 can you let us know who you spoke to?

23 A At different points I spoke to Mr. Baker, I spoke to  
24 Mr. Choudhri personally, spoke to Ms. Hayward, spoke with  
25 Mr. Alexander. At some point I think we spoke, but Mr.

1 Sather. I don't think I discussed it ever with Mr. Burks.  
2 Might have discussed it with Ms. Brown, but I don't remember  
3 that. But there were lots of discussions with the debtor  
4 and its representatives about the claims.

5 Q Do you have in your mind a rough estimate of the time  
6 that was spent by you having conversations with the debtor  
7 and/or its representatives relating to the claims asserted  
8 against the bank?

9 MR. BURKS: Objection, Your Honor. Ambiguous. I  
10 need to know is he talking about his time personally or his  
11 counsel's time combined with his or his counsel's time.

12 THE COURT: I understood the question to be his  
13 time, so I'll take it such. Mr. Murray, go ahead.

14 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

15 A Personally probably a dozen hours.

16 Q Are you aware of whether or not your counsel had any  
17 separate conversations with any of those folks that you were  
18 not involved in?

19 A I believe they had lots of conversations, yes.

20 Q And do you know approximately how much time your  
21 counsel spent talking to those folks about the debtor's  
22 claims against the bank?

23 A I don't know.

24 Q Rough order of approximation more or less than -- of  
25 the time that you spent? If you know.

1 MR. BURKS: Objection. Asked and answered I don't  
2 know.

3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
4 you, Mr. Burks.

5 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

6 Q Did you discuss the claims with Mr. Choudhri?

7 A Yes.

8 Q On one occasion, more than one occasion?

9 A At least three in person and there were other  
10 communications too. I don't know how many.

11 Q Did you review any pleadings filed by the debtor  
12 against the National Bank of Kuwait?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Did you review any pleadings relating to claims filed  
15 by Mr. Choudhri against the National Bank of Kuwait?

16 A Yes, I think so.

17 Q Did you review any pleadings relating to any claims  
18 filed by 2425 WL against the National Bank of Kuwait?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Mr. Murray, do you know who James Pope is?

21 A I don't know him personally. I think he entered an  
22 appearance as debtor's counsel.

23 Q Did you talk to him at all about the claims against the  
24 bank?

25 A He might've been on one of the early phone calls, but I

1 don't remember specifically.

2 Q There was an attorney who testified here on Monday  
3 named Jim Wetwiska. Do you recall that?

4 A Yes. Yes.

5 Q Did you speak with Mr. Wetwiska about the claim against  
6 the bank?

7 A I did, yes.

8 Q There was another attorney who testified here on Monday  
9 named Jerry Alexander. Do you recall that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And did you speak with Mr. Alexander about the claims  
12 against the bank?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Did you speak with anyone -- so again, in the context  
15 of your investigation into the claims against the bank, did  
16 you speak with anyone that's not affiliated with the debtor?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Can you recall anyone that you spoke with who wasn't  
19 affiliated with the debtor?

20 A Well, I spoke with your team. I know my counsel  
21 interviewed Mr. Cauldwell. I and my counsel spoke with a  
22 woman named Azeemeh Zaheer and her counsel. And that's who  
23 I can recall right now.

24 Q Without revealing anything that you discussed with the  
25 contents of any communications, the specific contents of any

1 communications you had with your attorneys, did you in  
2 general discuss counsel's meeting with Mr. Cauldwell with  
3 counsel?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And I apologize. I don't recall whether you said you  
6 attended the meeting with Ms. Zaheer or not.

7 A I was at most of the meeting with Ms. Zaheer. Then I  
8 had to leave.

9 Q Okay. Again, without revealing the contents of any  
10 communications with counsel, did you discuss with them the  
11 portions of the meeting that you missed?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Whether formally or informally, did you request  
14 document production from the debtor?

15 A Yes, we did.

16 Q And were any documents provided?

17 A I don't think they ever produced anything in the formal  
18 discovery.

19 Q But informally did the debtor provide you with any  
20 information? Withdrawn. Informally, did the debtor provide  
21 you with any documents?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Do you recall what those were?

24 A I recall there was a letter and an email I think from  
25 Mr. Alexander. There might've been a letter or email from

1 Mr. Wetwiska. At some point there was a PowerPoint  
2 presentation I think. I think that was about the claims  
3 against the bank that we paged through at Mr. Choudhri's  
4 desk early on. There might've been some other papers, but  
5 that's all I remember was informally produced.

6 Q And that description of the discovery, is that the same  
7 if I ask you about Mr. Choudhri and the debtor and 2425 WL?

8 A Yes. I don't the WL entity provided anything apart  
9 from what Mr. Choudhri provided.

10 Q In connection with assessing the estate's claims  
11 against the bank, did you review the settlement agreement?

12 A Yes.

13 Q In connection with assessing the estate's claims  
14 against the bank, did you form a view as to the  
15 enforceability of the settlement agreement?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what was that view?

18 MR. BURKS: Objection. This is a multi-layered  
19 question based on his entire investigation. The --  
20 everything that is attorney-client privilege with respect to  
21 NBK, unless the trustee has waived it, is privileged. WL --  
22 these are settlement negotiations regarding the description  
23 of the settlement agreement, parties' views of them. 2425  
24 WL does not waive the settlement privilege.

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: So I'm not asking about any of



1 that. I'm asking about the confidential settlement  
2 agreement that was entered into between the debtor Mr.  
3 Choudhri, and Naissance Galleria on the one hand and the  
4 National Bank of Kuwait on the other hand. The agreement  
5 that is in evidence, that's the agreement that I'm talking  
6 about. And 2425 is not a party to that. So I'm not sure  
7 what the basis of the objection is.

8 THE COURT: Go ahead. Make your objection again.

9 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, the debtor does not waive  
10 -- agree to waive the privilege. I'm going to object.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Mr. Baker has no right to assert  
12 the debtor's privilege. There's a Chapter 11 trustee in  
13 this case.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Baker, you can't assert any  
15 privilege to the debtor. That's clear. You can't. Okay?  
16 So Mr. Burks, tell me your objection one more time.

17 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. The -- NBK's  
18 attorney has gotten the trustee to state a wide variety of  
19 things in conversations and documents that he's looked at.  
20 And then based on his analysis of everything, he's being  
21 asked to form a legal conclusion as to the validity of the  
22 settlement agreement based on all the conversations, almost  
23 of which are privileged settlement communications, Judge.

24 THE COURT: I disagree. I really do. You can  
25 answer the question. I'll overrule the objection.

1 MR. BURKS: Based only his view of the settlement  
2 agreement?

3 THE COURT: I overruled the objection, Mr. Burks.  
4 You don't get to reargue the objection. Please sit down.  
5 Thank you. Go ahead. You can answer the question.

6 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

7 Q Do you recall the question?

8 A I think it was what my -- ask again.

9 Q Yes. After -- as a result of the investigation that  
10 you performed in connection with reviewing the estate's  
11 claims against the bank, did you form a view as to the  
12 enforceability of the settlement agreement?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And what was that view?

15 A That it was likely enforceable.

16 Q In conducting your investigation, were you looking for  
17 factual support for the concept that the settlement  
18 agreement could be rescinded?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Did you find any?

21 A No.

22 Q Are you aware that Mr. Choudhri and the debtor assert  
23 claims against the bank that relate to events that occurred  
24 after August 22nd of 2022?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And I'll just represent to you that's the date of the  
2 settlement agreement. We can look at it, but the document's  
3 in evidence. Did they provide you any factual support for  
4 those claims?

5 A They told me what their allegations were, yes.

6 Q Did they provide you with any documentary evidence in  
7 support of those claims?

8 A No.

9 Q Did you form a view as to the factual support for those  
10 claims?

11 A Yes.

12 Q What was that?

13 A That it was weak to non-existent.

14 Q In connection with your investigation into the estate's  
15 claims against the National Bank of Kuwait, did you form a  
16 view as to the voracity of Mr. Choudhri?

17 A Yes.

18 Q What was that?

19 A I did not think I could rely on the voracity of things  
20 Mr. Choudhri told me.

21 Q Have you read the plan that NBK has filed in this case?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Do you understand that the plan reflects a compromise  
24 or settlement of estate claims against the bank?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Do you think that settlement should be approved?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Do you think that settlement is in the best interest of  
4 the estate?

5 A Yes, absolutely.

6 Q Do you think that the funding the bank is providing  
7 under the plan provides more benefit to the estate than  
8 pursuing those claims?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Have you -- during the course of your investigation,  
11 did you form an opinion as to the value of the estate's  
12 claims against the bank?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Did you form a view as to whether that value was more  
15 or less than the value the bank is providing under the plan?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Is it more or less?

18 A I'd say much less.

19 Q Mr. Murray, does the plan incorporate an auction of the  
20 property?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Is that auction going forward?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Does that mean that there's more than one qualified  
25 bidder?

1 A Yes.

2 Q The bank is a qualified bidder?

3 A Yes, by definition.

4 Q Pursuant to the stalking horse agreement?

5 A Yes, and I think bid procedures motion to -- for order,  
6 yeah.

7 Q So I'm going to ask you questions about the auction.

8 To the extent that there is confidential information that  
9 you feel you can't reveal, then please just tell me that.

10 But other than the bank, how many qualified bidders are  
11 there?

12 A There is one other bidder.

13 Q And who is that?

14 A It is -- I always say it wrong. I think it's QB Loop  
15 or QB Investments Loop. It's an entity that's represented  
16 by Simon Mayer.

17 Q How much is their bid?

18 A Their bid is \$21 million.

19 Q The property is -- was being marketed by Hilco. Is  
20 that correct?

21 A I should say their qualifying bid is \$21 million. We  
22 have not had the auction.

23 Q And the property is being marketed by Hilco. Is that  
24 correct?

25 A Yes.

1 Q You were here the other day and there was a lot of  
2 questions and testimony concerning the Hilco marketing  
3 process. Do you recall that?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And there were questions and testimony concerning the  
6 Hilco brochure. You recall that?

7 A I do.

8 Q And there was reference in the Hilco brochure to a  
9 minimum overbid amount?

10 A Yes.

11 Q What is the minimum overbid amount?

12 A It was 19,750,000 I think. It starts with a 19.

13 Q And I apologize for the stupidity of this question, but  
14 21 million is more than 19,750,000, correct?

15 A Yes, it is.

16 Q Okay. Do you know whether Mr. Choudhri has any  
17 connection to QB Loop?

18 A I do.

19 Q And does he?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And what is that?

22 A Mr. Mayer told me that Mr. Choudhri's mother is one of  
23 the participants in the investor group that that group  
24 represents. Also, Mr. Anwar Qadeer, who I think organized  
25 that group, had told me -- I sense an objection coming.

1 MR. BURKS: Yeah, I'm --

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Hasn't come yet though.

3 MR. BURKS: Objection. No personal knowledge.

4 Complete hearsay. He has no personal knowledge of what the

5 -- what, if any, connection there is between Mr. Choudhri

6 and Q whatever. And it's your role, frankly.

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'd like to respond to that

8 objection.

9 THE COURT: Which one?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: The objection that it lacks

11 personal knowledge.

12 MR. BURKS: Hearsay and relevance.

13 THE COURT: I think it's relevant. I certainly

14 think it's relevant. I'll overrule that objection. Whether

15 it's hearsay or not is a different issue.

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: I'll ask a different question,

17 Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Thank you.

19 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

20 Q Did anyone tell you that Mr. Choudhri has a connection

21 to QB Loop?

22 MR. BURKS: Hearsay.

23 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

24 A Yes, he did.

25 Q Mr. Choudhri did.

1 A Yes.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Statement by a party opponent,  
3 Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the  
5 objection.

6 MR. BURKS: All right.

7 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

8 Q What did he tell you?

9 A During our lunch break on Monday --

10 MR. BURKS: Objection, hearsay.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: It's --

12 THE COURT: Again, it's -- I'll overrule the  
13 objection. Go ahead.

14 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

15 A During our lunch break on Monday, he -- I forgot  
16 exactly what he said, but I said, "Why didn't you make a  
17 bid." And he said, "I did. QB Loop is me."

18 Q Mr. Murray, when the bank filed its proposed plan of  
19 liquidation on the docket, was that the first time you had  
20 seen it?

21 A I'm sorry. Repeat the question.

22 Q Sure. When the bank filed the proposed plan of  
23 liquidation of the debtor on the docket, was that the first  
24 time you had seen all that?

25 A No.



1 Q So you had seen it prior to that.

2 A Yes.

3 Q Do you know whether bank's counsel provided your  
4 counsel with direct copies of the plan?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Do you know whether the respective counsel, yours on  
7 the one hand, the bank's on the other, discussed proposed  
8 revisions to the plan?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you know whether your counsel made suggested  
11 revisions to the plan?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Do you know whether the plan that's on file reflects at  
14 least some of the suggestions that you or your counsel made?

15 A Not as many as I could like, but yes. At least some.

16 Q Do you know if the original draft plan that you or your  
17 counsel received from the bank provided for a recovery from  
18 Choudhri's or the debtor's former counsel?

19 A I think initially --

20 MR. BURKS: Go ahead.

21 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

22 A One of the drafts had debtor's former counsel not  
23 considered a trade creditor and I think maybe classified as  
24 an insider or otherwise subordinate to the trade class, but  
25 I don't remember exactly.

1 Q Did you or your counsel ask the bank to classify those  
2 creditors in a manner that they would receive some value  
3 under the plan?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Does the plan so classify those creditors?

6 A Yeah. I thought that exclusion of debtor's prior  
7 counsel from trade -- I didn't see how that was fair to that  
8 creditor group that they provided services for the debtor.  
9 And their side ultimately acquiesced and included them in  
10 the trade clause.

11 Q Do you know whether the debtor's former counsel are in  
12 the Class 5A trade class or if they are in another class?

13 A I don't. I don't remember.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Let's -- can we open the --  
15 let's look at the plan.

16 MAN 1: It's the only number. Sorry.

17 MR. FITZMAURICE: You need to plug in I think.  
18 That's what I got. It's all right. Yeah, and just a little  
19 bit so we can see 5A.

20 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

21 Q So looking at the descriptions here, Mr. Murray, on  
22 Page 12 of the bank's plan, does this refresh your  
23 recollection as to where the debtor's former counsel are  
24 classified?

25 A No, I think I need the definition of trade general

1 unsecured.

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Can we scroll up, please, to  
3 definition of trade general unsecured?

4 MR. BURKS: Objection to the answer to that  
5 question. The witness has demonstrated that he does not  
6 know, and then the document speaks for itself under the Best  
7 Evidence Rule.

8 THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection.

9 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

10 Q So Mr. Murray --

11 A I think you need to --

12 Q -- looking the definition of trade unsecured creditors  
13 -- excuse me, looking at the definition of trade unsecured  
14 claims --

15 MR. FITZMAURICE: Keep going down so that the  
16 chart shows.

17 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

18 A I don't see her firm on here. Can I see the --

19 Q Was it other general unsecured?

20 MR. FITZMAURICE: Can we see the definition of  
21 general unsecured claims?

22 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

23 A Yeah, it must be that unless her firm is still listed  
24 on the insider claims that are subordinated. Do you have  
25 that definition? The --

1 Q What's that?

2 A -- subordinated claims. Yeah. This -- yeah, I was  
3 misremembering. It was originally subordinated and then it  
4 wasn't I think was how we resolved that.

5 Q Okay. So do you know whether debtor's former counsel  
6 is -- receives value under the plan?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And that's as a result of a request that you made to  
9 the bank?

10 A Yes, that's right.

11 Q In your work as the Chapter 11 trustee in this case,  
12 have you made an assessment of any claims that have been  
13 filed against the estate?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Did you make an assessment of the claim that was filed  
16 by 2425 WL?

17 A Yes.

18 Q You objected to that claim.

19 A I did.

20 Q What's the basis of that objection?

21 A I've got to look at the objection. I don't remember.  
22 I'm pretty sure it was subordination.

23 MAN 1: Page 402?

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Yeah, I'm not sure I've looked  
25 at that since we found it.

1 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

2 A If you could just scroll down --

3 MR. FITZMAURICE: Mr. Akuffo, I'll just ask you to  
4 scroll through --

5 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

6 A -- and I can try to read it.

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: -- slowly please.

8 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

9 A Try to remember exactly what the basis (indiscernible).

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: So let's stop there.

11 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

12 A Oh, right. Okay.

13 Q So Mr. Murray, does reading the document here at ECF  
14 402 refresh your recollection as to the basis of the  
15 objection that you filed to the 2425 WL claim?

16 A Yeah. I think we take the position that the asserted  
17 debt and its perfection and its security interest are not  
18 valid for enforcement.

19 Q Does the trustee take the position that there is in  
20 fact no debt owed by the estate to 2425 WL?

21 A Yes. Yeah. I think it was a fake debt.

22 Q I'm sorry. It was a fake debt?

23 A Fake debt.

24 Q Thank you. Have you objected to any other claims --

25 A Yes.

1 Q -- so far? Do you recall any other claims that you  
2 objected to?

3 A I think we -- that are filed.

4 Q That are -- and I'm only asking about the claim  
5 objections that have been filed as of the day, the 19th of -  
6 -

7 A Yeah. I think -- of what we filed, I think we objected  
8 to Mr. Choudhri's personal claim by Jetall Capital, and then  
9 we filed an adversary against Jetall Companies. Which I  
10 think also had filed a claim and the objection is in the  
11 adversary.

12 Q And do you recall what the basis of the adversary  
13 proceeding against Jetall Companies is?

14 A There's a few. There's preferences, fraudulent  
15 transfers, breach of fiduciary duty I'm pretty sure.

16 Q Does the adversary seek equitable subordination?

17 A Yes, I think so. To the extent there was a secured  
18 claim by Jetall Companies.

19 Q Mr. Murray, do you know whether the plan of disclosure  
20 statement filed -- withdrawn. Do you know whether the  
21 voting procedures in connection with the (indiscernible)  
22 plan of liquidation of the debtor called for balance to be  
23 delivered to you or your counsel?

24 A I know I got a solicitation package. I don't know  
25 where I was on the procedures there though.

1 Q Do you know whether ballots were in fact delivered to  
2 you? Whether completed ballots were delivered to you.

3 A Oh, yes. I thought you were talking about the  
4 solicitation. No, the completed ballots, yes.

5 Q Yes, apologize for my -- for the bad question.

6 A Well, not to me. I think Mr. Shannon received those.  
7 Or at least he collected them. I might've been copied on  
8 the email.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: So I'm going to ask Mr. Akuffo  
10 to pull up a document at ECF 428.

11 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

12 Q Did your counsel file this ballot summary?

13 A Yes.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Can you scroll down please? Go  
15 back to the -- yep, right there.

16 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

17 Q Does this reflect -- accurately reflect the votes, the  
18 ballots( that were received -- let me start again. I  
19 apologize. Does this accurately reflect the ballots that  
20 were received by your counsel?

21 A Yes.

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I'd ask the Court to  
23 accept into evidence the document at ECF Number 428.

24 THE COURT: All right. I'll take the ballot  
25 summary into evidence at 428. Thank you.

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, might I take a  
2 moment and see --

3 THE COURT: Sure. Feel free.

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you.

5 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, may I be excused? Just --  
6 I have --

7 THE COURT: Sure. I plan on breaking in just a  
8 minute.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, if it helps speed up  
10 the break, I have no further questions for Mr. Murray at  
11 this time.

12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Murray, why don't you  
13 step down? Now would be a good time to break. Now here's  
14 my only concern. I have someone checking on it as it is. I  
15 don't know whether you want to break for lunch or not. The  
16 problem is I can't guarantee that we can everyone rescreened  
17 to come back into the courthouse. They're checking on that  
18 now. Assuming that they can, and if you can go back out and  
19 then get rescreened coming back in, you guys want to break  
20 for lunch?

21 MR. SHANNON: I think it makes sense.

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: If we can, Your Honor, then yes.  
23 Otherwise I think we'll plow through.

24 CLERK: He's going downstairs to check.

25 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll check. In a just



1 minute we'll have Mr. Baker come back. As long as you can  
2 rescreened I'm willing to give you a break. At least you  
3 guys can go across the street and get in air conditioning.  
4 That would be a huge benefit I'm sure. I don't have that  
5 ability unfortunately. So let's see. And what I'll do is  
6 I'll switch when we come back. I'll come back out and I'll  
7 tell you what we're going to do relative to breaking. I  
8 want to give you a lunch break. I may be limited in what I  
9 can do based on screening, okay? So I'll step down for a  
10 few minutes.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 CLERK: All rise.

14 (Recess)

15 CLERK: All rise.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, I think that you are up.

17 MR. BURKS: I think I'm up and I'm feeling very  
18 much better than I was before break. Thank you, Judge.

19 THE COURT: You're welcome.

20 MR. BURKS: May I gather my notes and then take  
21 the podium?

22 MR. BAKER: Mr. Burks, I'm disappointed you don't  
23 have a second Astros tie.

24 MR. BURKS: I was thinking of you this morning and  
25 I almost ... I have a close family member who works for the

1     Astros and I have plenty of ties. I'm looking for my work  
2     copy of the plan and I'll be right at the podium, Judge.  
3     Got it.

4                 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, you want to project, you  
5     ever project from a particular placed podium?

6                 MR. BURKS: From the podium, Mr. Baker?

7                 THE COURT: Mr. Baker has to help.

8                 MR. BURKS: Should I wait for Mr. Troop, Your  
9     Honor?

10                THE COURT: I think that Mr. Fitzmaurice is more  
11     than capable of handing the matter, so go ahead.

12                MR. BURKS: All right, Judge. Thank you, Your  
13     Honor.

14                CROSS EXAMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER MURRAY

15     BY MR. BURKS:

16     Q     Hi, how are you? Still all right?

17     A     Yes. Glad you're feeling better too.

18     Q     Thank you very much. Let's go back a little bit.

19     Starting with, I'm going to go last to first

20     (indiscernible). There's an auction coming up. It's on  
21     Friday, correct?

22     A     Yes.

23     Q     How many qualified bids are there?

24     A     There are two.

25     Q     Who are they?

1 A It's QB Loop Investments, I think that's their full  
2 name, and the bank.

3 Q All right. QB Loop, there was a statement you said  
4 that Mr. Saunders said that is him, that is he, whatever  
5 your statement was. Do you remember that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Have you done any investigation as to who owns Q --  
8 what is QB Loop?

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, compound?

10 THE COURT: One question at a time.

11 BY MR. BURKS:

12 Q Who owns QB Loop?

13 A I don't remember who all owns it. It's a group of  
14 investors.

15 Q So, next question is, what is QB Loop?

16 A I think it's a group that was put together for the  
17 purpose of bidding on the building.

18 Q So, but you don't know that. That's your surmise?

19 A No, that's what they told me, I mean in sum and  
20 substance. I did have conversations with, first with Anwar  
21 Qadeer, who is an attorney who said he represented that  
22 group. I'd also spoken with Leonard Simon at one point, who  
23 said he spoke on behalf of that group. And most recently,  
24 Simon Mayer has been representing that group and I've spoken  
25 to him about it.

1 Q But Mr. Ali is not an owner in this investment group?

2 A I don't know.

3 Q If you know whether or not Mr. (indiscernible) is an  
4 investor in that group?

5 A I don't think he is directly an investor. I think Mr.  
6 Mayer told me that he was not directly an investor, but that  
7 his mom was.

8 Q That his who was?

9 A His mother.

10 Q His mother. Did (indiscernible) comply with all the  
11 requirements so far with (indiscernible) instructions?

12 A I think so, yeah.

13 Q And can they put down earnest money?

14 A They did.

15 Q How much?

16 A There's \$2.1 million in escrow.

17 Q All right. So, irrespective of who they know or don't  
18 know, or who's involved in the group, they're a qualified  
19 bidder. Cash?

20 A Oh, absolutely.

21 Q The auction was supposed to take place, I believe,  
22 initially, subject to (indiscernible) yesterday at one  
23 o'clock. Is that correct?

24 A I think that's the earliest it could have happened.

25 Q And why did it not happen yesterday?

1 A I wanted more time to evaluate this.

2 Q And --

3 A Well, I had -- that's not the full answer. The other  
4 answer is I lost the entire day Monday doing the hearing.  
5 So, the time I would have spent on that was spent doing  
6 that.

7 Q (indiscernible)

8 A Yes.

9 Q So, are you taking anymore bids?

10 A Not at the present time, no.

11 Q Do you intend to take more bids at the (indiscernible)?

12 A I don't know.

13 Q When is the auction going to be held, where you sit  
14 now, what time and day?

15 A I've scheduled it for Friday at one o'clock, local  
16 time, Central.

17 Q And where is that taking place?

18 A We're going to do it virtually.

19 Q And who, who can sign in or link in to watch the  
20 bidding process?

21 A I don't think I've determined that yet, probably just  
22 the parties who are qualified.

23 Q All right. So, for example, can Mr. Choudhri be muted  
24 and watch?

25 A I suppose anybody could watch the auction. I don't

1 have a problem with that. I, I don't want any interference  
2 with the auction.

3 Q Exactly. So, you can, if you do it remotely, you can  
4 have a situation where no one can see Mr. Choudhri, no one  
5 can hear Mr. Choudhri, right?

6 A I suspect we could do that. I know Hilco is going to  
7 handle the electronic logistics, so I don't know exactly  
8 what system they use. But I imagine that's possible, and if  
9 so, I wouldn't mind anyone watching.

10 Q Thank you. I think Mr. Choudhri would like to watch  
11 it.

12 A Yeah, I would have no problem with that.

13 Q I, personally, would rather watch the Astros game than  
14 that but ... (indiscernible) still going backwards. You made  
15 decisions regarding the validity of the Choudhri claims,  
16 correct?

17 A Are you talking about his proof of claims?

18 Q No, I'm talking proof of claims right now, yes.

19 A Okay, yes.

20 Q And you objected to it.

21 A Yes, I objected to -- yes.

22 Q And you made decisions regarding three sets, or three  
23 pieces of litigation also, correct?

24 A I think so.

25 Q Right. And of those three pieces of litigation, is it

1 fair to say, or isn't it true, that you're basically  
2 releasing them to MPK for 3.7 million or less?

3 A Releasing the Choudhri claims or -- I misunderstand.

4 Q So, there are three pieces of litigation. It's the two  
5 lawsuits that have been admitted into evidence, and it's the  
6 (indiscernible) claim, that (indiscernible) --

7 A Okay, you're saying the claims against the family?

8 Q Yes.

9 A Right, okay.

10 Q And you're releasing those to the extent you can,  
11 correct?

12 A If the plan is confirmed, they're released as part of  
13 the plan, yes.

14 Q Right. And what is the consideration that the estate  
15 receives for those releases of those three actions?

16 A I consider it to be everything the estate gets from the  
17 plan. So, that's the funding that comes in that will cover  
18 the admin expenses, the carveout, essentially, that funds  
19 the trade creditors and the money that's for the general  
20 unsecureds. And also, there's some seed funding for the  
21 litigation trust. That's the ... most of it, yeah.

22 Q And Mr. Carter has testified that he thought that was  
23 about 3.7 million. Is that your understanding?

24 A I think he said that's his estimate of how much cash  
25 the bank would have to put in if their credit bid of 18.6

1     prevailed. That's what I understood him to stay.

2     Q     And is that your understanding?

3     A     Yeah. I mean, I don't know exactly what the total  
4     admins will be, so it's just an estimate, but that sounds  
5     about right.

6     Q     So, it's fair to say the bank gets the right to credit  
7     bid for 18.6, they've got a full release of everything  
8     that's out there, and (indiscernible) 3.7.

9     A     Not everything. They get a release of the claims the  
10    estate has; other parties might have claims.

11    Q     Unless the release in the plan is written the way I  
12    read it, right? I'm reading it as a full release. Are you?

13                 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
14    speaks for itself. Your Honor will interpret its breadth  
15    and scope and terms.

16                 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
17    you.

18    BY MR. BURKS:

19    Q     You said you spoke to Jerry Alexander, correct?

20    A     Yes.

21    Q     And what did you speak to him about?

22    A     We spoke to him about the lender liability claims.

23    Q     Did he tell you what his damages model was on that  
24    lender liability claim?

25    A     I ... numbers, I don't remember that, no.



1 Q All right. So, you don't know what he was assessing  
2 the value of his action?

3 A I mean, I know he thought it was potentially very  
4 valuable, big number, but I don't remember a number.

5 Q Thirty-five million? Does that sound familiar?

6 A It doesn't, but it could be.

7 Q All right. And have you ever tried a lender liability  
8 claim yourself?

9 A As an attorney?

10 Q Yes.

11 A No, no I ...

12 Q Are you --?

13 A I've objected to bank claims before, but I've not ...  
14 lender liability, no.

15 Q Fair enough. Are you aware that ... let's say it  
16 differently. Isn't it true that Jerry Alexander has a  
17 reputation for being an accomplished lender liability claims  
18 attorney?

19 A Honestly, I had not heard of him before this case.

20 Q So, you don't know what his reputation is?

21 A That's right, I don't.

22 Q You now know.

23 A I've been told that people hold him in high regard and  
24 that he's succeeded in one lender liability case. That's  
25 pretty much the extent of it.

1 Q All right. But that was after the plan was filed.

2 A I don't know when I came to know that, but that was  
3 certainly after I met him and tried to find out who he was.  
4 I don't remember chronologically, whether that was before or  
5 after the plan.

6 Q Did you speak with Jeff Steidley, an attorney?

7 A I don't think so.

8 Q All right. Are you aware that Jeff Steidley is the  
9 attorney who has filed a State Court lawsuit that's been  
10 removed and subject to remand, regarding the enforceability  
11 and the settlement agreement?

12 A That sounds right, but I don't really remember one way  
13 or the other.

14 Q Have you spoken to -- you haven't spoken to him?

15 A I don't think so.

16 Q All right.

17 A Personally, I don't remember talking to him.

18 Q Do you know what his theories are on the lawsuit  
19 regarding enforceability and regarding damages on the  
20 settlement? Do you know what his theories are?

21 A I'm not sure I understand them very well.

22 Q You don't understand them?

23 A No.

24 Q Okay. James Wetwiska, have you spoken to him?

25 A Wetwiska?

1 Q Is that how you pronounce his name?

2 A That's how you pronounce his name, Wetwiska.

3 Q Mr. Wetwiska, have you spoken to him?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And what did you speak to him about?

6 A Same thing. Oh, no, I didn't speak to him about the  
7 theories of why the settlement agreement wouldn't be valid.  
8 I talked to him about the bank's conduct that might underlie  
9 claims.

10 Q And is there another claim that's currently on file  
11 against National Bank of Kuwait that the ... is, in fact, on  
12 file, that you considered?

13 A I'm not sure what you're referring to.

14 Q All right. Let me be less vague on that, because that  
15 was pretty vague. Are you aware that Mr. (indiscernible)  
16 filed an adversary proceeding, pending in this Court, for  
17 the equitable subordination of MPK's lien to the purported  
18 second lien of 2425 WL?

19 A Yes, I remember. Yes.

20 Q All right. Well, that was the other action I was  
21 talking about. Are you familiar with that action?

22 A As I sit here today, not in detail, no.

23 Q So, if I asked you what was the basis of that  
24 complaint, for that ... for the claim of subordination, could  
25 you tell me?

1 A Yeah, I can tell you my recollection generally.

2 Q Yes.

3 A It was that the bank behaved badly and their lien  
4 deserves to be subordinated because of that.

5 Q You stated that you concluded, and you objected to the  
6 claim of 2425 WL, of which that cause of action is  
7 predicated, obviously. You objected to it, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And you objected to it, as I understand, because, isn't  
10 it true that you think the lien is fictitious?

11 A I think (indiscernible) was fictitious?

12 Q And therefore the liens are fictitious.

13 A Yeah.

14 Q Right now, is that lien on file?

15 A I don't remember.

16 Q Has it been voided?

17 A I don't know. I don't remember.

18 Q Okay. And what was your basis for determining that the  
19 lien was voidable and/or the (indiscernible) debt was  
20 fictitious? What did you base that on?

21 A It was based on some irregularities in the documents  
22 that appeared that the debt instruments were created after  
23 the debt would have existed, and it contradicted some other  
24 documents in the case, is my recollection.

25 Q And was the lien reported and is it still reported?

1 A I don't know. I don't remember that.

2 Q All right. You spoke with Mr. Ali Choudhri, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And I think you testified that you find him to be, in  
5 all ways, not credible. Is that correct?

6 A I didn't say that.

7 Q How do you find him to be? Do you find him to be  
8 credible?

9 A I was asked about the veracity of what he says, and I  
10 says, I said I do not think I can rely on the veracity of  
11 the things he says. I don't know if he's lying all the  
12 time, none of the time. But I have doubts when he says  
13 things, that they are true.

14 Q I understand. Did you receive an email, personally, or  
15 from your counsel, where Mr. (indiscernible) or Mr. Choudhri  
16 figured -- and I'll let you answer yes or no; I'm using the  
17 word tendered on purpose -- when Mr. Choudhri tendered  
18 \$700,000 cashier's check to buy claims from you against MPK?

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection to the use of the term  
20 tender. It calls for a legal conclusion as to whether that  
21 standard has been satisfied.

22 THE COURT: I'll let it be used in the generic  
23 sense of tender. Go ahead, answer the question.

24 BY MR. BURKS:

25 A I saw an email that had, as an image attached to it,

1 what looked like a cashier's check for \$700,000.

2 Q All right. And what did you do with that tender --  
3 that letter -- I'll re-ask. What did you do with the email  
4 that had -- well, tell me what the email was. It was a copy  
5 of, it was a copy of a cashier's check for how much?

6 A It was \$700,000 was the amount on that image of a  
7 check.

8 Q And what else came to you with the image of the check?

9 A I think it was a proposal from Mr. Choudhri, or one of  
10 the entities, I don't remember, but to buy the claims of the  
11 estate against the bank.

12 Q For how much?

13 A It was an offer for \$700,000.

14 Q Right. Did you respond?

15 A I don't know if I responded definitely to it yet. I  
16 mean, I haven't accepted that.

17 Q Have you rejected it?

18 A I don't know if I have. I don't, actually don't think  
19 I have yet.

20 Q Do you believe that it's a valid tender of money?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q If it was, would you be inclined to accept it?

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, calls for  
24 speculation.

25 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection,

1 speculation.

2 MR. BURKS: All right. May I approach, please?

3 THE COURT: No. I mean, you want to tell me why -  
4 - typically, we don't approach the witness.

5 MR. BURKS: I have the original check and I'm  
6 asking if (indiscernible) the original cashier's check --

7 THE COURT: There's the ELMO right there, you can  
8 show it to me. Thank you. It's right there.

9 BY MR. BURKS:

10 Q Sir, do you see on the screen what's in the projector,  
11 ELMO projector?

12 A Yes, and that's the image that was in the email. I  
13 think the email image was black and white, but that looks  
14 like what I saw.

15 Q All right. So, the document that I put down here, can  
16 we agree, that kind of looks like an official cashier's  
17 check, payable to you.

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection. Objection to the use  
19 of the term cashier's check. I think that lacks foundation.

20 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. It looks  
21 like a check, obviously. You can ask the next question.

22 BY MR. BURKS:

23 Q Does this appear to be an original official check  
24 written on, written by Metro City Bank?

25 A Yeah. It looks like a check and that's what it says.

1 Q Who is the payee?

2 A Me.

3 Q Yeah. For how much?

4 A It's \$700,000.

5 Q Purpose, it reads -- can you read the purpose?

6 A It says, "Purchase of estate claims against MPK."

7 Q You now -- dated June 14th, 2024, correct?

8 A I see that.

9 Q Now, do you believe now that Mr. Choudhri seriously  
10 intends to pay you, would like to pay you \$700,000 for the  
11 purchase of the claims against MPK?

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, lacks  
13 foundation as to Mr. Choudhri's intent. His name appears  
14 nowhere on this image.

15 MR. BURKS: I actually asked him does he now  
16 believe.

17 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Burks. Ask the  
18 question again.

19 BY MR. BURKS:

20 Q You stated (indiscernible), apparently I need more  
21 predicate, Judge. You stated that this appears to be the  
22 document from which, the image you received, with that email  
23 offer.

24 A Yes.

25 Q All right. Based on seeing this official check, right



1 now in Court, are you, do you now believe that Mr. Choudhri  
2 is serious about paying you \$700,000 cash to buy the claims  
3 of MPK?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, lacks  
5 foundation as to official check and Mr. Choudhri. I mean, I  
6 see the words on there, but I think counsel is using that  
7 for a different purpose. And also, as to Mr. Choudhri,  
8 whose name does not appear anywhere on the image.

9 MR. BURKS: Response.

10 THE COURT: Go ahead.

11 MR. BURKS: So, Mr. Murray just testified that  
12 this is the, appears to be the document from which the image  
13 included in this settlement offer from Mr. Choudhri, and  
14 he's testified to that; it was from Mr. Choudhri or one of  
15 his entities. And this is the image that was included with  
16 it.

17 THE COURT: I'll just note for the record, I'll  
18 let him answer the question, and I'll just note for the  
19 record that the remitter is not Mr. Choudhri but BPH  
20 Acquisition, LLC, whoever that happens to be. All right?  
21 Go ahead.

22 BY MR. BURKS:

23 Q Do you now believe that Mr. Choudhri is serious about  
24 paying you \$700,000 for the claims against MPK?

25 A I believe he's serious about wanting the claims. I

1 have no idea if this check is real or not. I have no reason  
2 to think it's not, but until I deposit it and it appears in  
3 my account, I ... I don't know how to answer the question  
4 other than that.

5 Q Sure, fair enough. Is it your understanding that  
6 confirmation of the plan today would preclude you from  
7 accepting an offer of \$700,000 to buy those claims?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay.

10 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, may I take this off of the  
11 ELMO?

12 THE COURT: Yeah sure. It's off. I turned it  
13 off. Don't lose it.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Going back to your causative action, did Mr. Wetwiska  
16 tell you, or give you a damages model for the action, which  
17 is model for the action that he'd like to bring in terms of  
18 enforcing the suit, which is about the settlement agreement?

19 A I don't --

20 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection. I think that lacks  
21 foundation.

22 THE COURT: I'll let you answer the question, go  
23 ahead.

24 BY MR. BURKS:

25 A I don't remember getting a damage model from Mr.

1 Wetwiska.

2 Q All right. Do you have a general idea what he thinks  
3 of the claim after your conversation with him?

4 A No, no different from my recollection of what Mr.  
5 Alexander said. Big damages, but I don't remember a number.

6 Q There he speaks in terms of huge damages, correct? All  
7 right. And let the record reflect that the witness nodded.

8 A In the affirmative.

9 Q Have you read the lawsuit, which is at ECF -- actually,  
10 if you've read it. I don't want to ask you to testify  
11 (indiscernible) at this point. Have you read the lawsuit  
12 which is at ECF ... or maybe I lost it (indiscernible) ... ECF  
13 502-6. Is the second amended (indiscernible) petition, and  
14 it's the one that (indiscernible) talked to you about. It's  
15 the one that Jeff Steidley talked to you about, correct?

16 A I don't remember talking to Mr. Steidley. I'm pretty  
17 sure I reviewed that pleading though.

18 Q All right. Are you aware that that complaint has two  
19 very distinct causes of action within it?

20 A I really don't remember the pleading.

21 Q All right, fair enough.

22 A I remember it exists, I don't remember it.

23 Q Are you aware, or do you know, that that pleading  
24 involves two tax liens?

25 A That sounds, I think that sounds right.

1 Q All right. Are you aware that the Plaintiffs' position  
2 is, is that if the settlement agreement failed, that the tax  
3 liens would be returned to Ali Choudhri?

4 A Yeah, my ... yes.

5 Q All right. Doesn't the claim, though, provide for the  
6 tax liens to be satisfied through the plan, and paid off?

7 A Yes.

8 Q If the tax liens are owned by Mr. Ali Choudhri, why  
9 isn't he entitled to the value of those tax liens?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, calls for  
11 speculation. Also, calls for a legal conclusion as to the  
12 results of that lawsuit.

13 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Does the lawsuit sue MPK for the value of those two tax  
16 liens?

17 A I don't remember the prayer in the lawsuit.

18 Q All right. But it's of record. (indiscernible) can  
19 just read it. What's the amount owed on those two tax  
20 liens?

21 A Well, I'm a little confused because there's lots of tax  
22 liens (indiscernible).

23 Q There are two tax liens that are being paid through the  
24 plan.

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor,

1 mischaracterizes the plan.

2 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q The two tax liens that are the subject of the  
5 litigation, the complaint at ECF 502-6, what are the amounts  
6 of those two loans?

7 A I don't remember.

8 Q Would it surprise you if their face value of \$4 million  
9 combined?

10 A Sure.

11 Q Okay. Let me move along. When you were negotiating  
12 with the bank, in the manner that you testified, did you  
13 have any other option than what the bank was offering and  
14 agreeing to?

15 A It depends on what point in time you're talking about.

16 Q The point in time in which the plan that's filed today,  
17 that we're considering, was filed.

18 A At that time, I recall somebody on the Debtor's side  
19 was also either talking about or had filed another plan.  
20 So, there was that. I understand there was an exclusivity,  
21 so there might have been other plans. I mean, early on I  
22 didn't know.

23 Q Were there?

24 A Other than the five or six filed by the Debtor's side,  
25 no.

1 Q Right. And you talked about -- and it's a big document  
2 (indiscernible). And you said, hey, I want a cap on credit  
3 (indiscernible), correct?

4 A I know, I know I wanted one, and I know we talked about  
5 that with the bank and asked if they would do that.

6 Q Did you have any bargaining power to get that  
7 accomplished?

8 A Yeah, some.

9 Q So, why wasn't it accomplished? Why wasn't there a  
10 cap? You wanted it.

11 A I wanted all general unsecureds to get paid in full. I  
12 didn't get that either.

13 Q Did you agree that ... did you want more money for the  
14 release?

15 A I wanted as much money as I could get. At different  
16 times I asked for more.

17 Q And what was your bargaining power, or leverage, to get  
18 you (indiscernible)?

19 A Well, a couple of things. I mean, I have the claims  
20 against MPK, that if, for nothing else, has some nuisance  
21 value to the bank; no bank wanted a release, so they have to  
22 give you something for that.

23 Q Nuisance value?

24 A Yeah.

25 Q Nuisance value?

1 A I said if nothing else. I think they're worth more  
2 than nuisance value. I don't think they're worthless  
3 claims. I don't think they're worth as much as you think  
4 they're worth, or (indiscernible).

5 Q Did Mr. Alexander offer to try the case over on  
6 (indiscernible)? Did he tell you he'd do it?

7 A He asked me to hire him, yes.

8 Q And what were the terms of his proposed engagement?

9 A He said he would do it on, I think, full contingency, I  
10 think a third. But I don't ... I think it was a third.

11 Q What about Mr. Steidley or Wetwiska? Do you know what  
12 their terms of employment or engagement are?

13 A I don't remember anything about Steidley. I'm pretty  
14 sure Wetwiska said he was uninterested in representing the  
15 estate.

16 Q All right. Did Steidley, so you don't recall Steidley  
17 offering to represent the estate and do it for a full  
18 continency, no money down?

19 A I don't remember one way or the other.

20 Q Okay. Now, the (indiscernible) subordination agreement  
21 case, there is a pending motion in front of Your Honor, Jeff  
22 Norman, I guess His Honor, Judge Norman, and that's filed by  
23 Mr. Steve Sather.

24 A That's the claim you were talking about earlier?

25 Q Right.

1 A Okay.

2 Q And that's filed by my co-counsel for 2425 WL, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And there's a motion pending to allow the Creditor,  
5 2425 WL, to bring this, correct?

6 A I don't know the current status of the motions  
7 (indiscernible).

8 Q Did you file the suit?

9 A Mr. Sather's suit?

10 Q Yes.

11 A No, I --

12 Q Did you file the cause of action?

13 A No.

14 Q All right, so you didn't file equitable subordination  
15 cause of action.

16 A Wait --

17 Q Did you file a complaint for equitable subordination?

18 A Which lien, against who? There's a lot of liens in  
19 this case and there's a lot of claims that they should be  
20 subordinated.

21 Q Did you file a complaint for equitable subordination of  
22 the MPK lien, subordinate to 2425 WL?

23 A Did I file a claim to subordinate the bank's lien? No,  
24 I don't think so.

25 Q But there's, my co-counsel for 2425 WL did, correct?



1 A Right.

2 Q And do you oppose him bringing that lawsuit?

3 A No.

4 Q Will he be able to bring it if this plan is confirmed?

5 A I don't know.

6 Q It's your claim you're releasing, correct?

7 A If it's my claim and I'm releasing it, he can't bring  
8 it. I think he has a theory that he has some sort of  
9 independent standing or independent claim, and I don't  
10 really care about it in our creditor dispute that is, you  
11 know, (indiscernible).

12 Q Right. Fair enough. What's the value of that  
13 subordination claim in your bid?

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, foundation.

15 THE COURT: I'll sustain it if it's foundation.

16 If you want to lay a foundation, please do.

17 BY MR. BURKS:

18 Q In approving this claim, and say that you approve this  
19 claim, that you formed a damages model or an effect, a model  
20 of the effect of this suit, against MPK, what's the value of  
21 that suit?

22 A I didn't approve of them. I said, as I sit here today  
23 I supported it as the only plan that's available. So, you  
24 want to know if I ... an advantage model of the subordination  
25 claim?

1 Q Or an effect model, yes, sir.

2 A I considered the effect but ...

3 Q (indiscernible) wins MPK is subordinate to 2425 WL  
4 (indiscernible), right?

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, calls for  
6 speculation.

7 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q Are you aware that the requested relief in the  
10 complaint is to put the 2425 WL lien ahead of the MPK lien?  
11 Are you aware of that?

12 A Not specifically, no, but it sounds like the goal of  
13 the subordination claim.

14 Q And did you consider that in determining whether to  
15 sell all your claims for \$3.7 million?

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, you know,  
17 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony --

18 MR. BURKS: I actually asked him what he  
19 considered.

20 THE COURT: I'll sustain their objection. I think  
21 it does misclassify the testimony. Ask another question.

22 BY MR. BURKS:

23 Q Is the ... so, how does the subordination suit filed by  
24 Mr. Sather, impact your decision to accept \$3.7 million?

25 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, lacks foundation.

1 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

2 BY MR. BURKS:

3 A I don't know.

4 Q Fair enough. How many breach of contract cases have  
5 you personally tried?

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, relevance, Your  
7 Honor. It's a confirmation of the bank's plan.

8 MR. BURKS: He's making a business decision and  
9 I'm trying to understand the foundation for the basis of  
10 that decision. His business decision is to support the plan  
11 and his business decision was to agree --

12 THE COURT: He's already testified as to why his  
13 business decision supports the plan. If you want to attack  
14 that testimony, you can. But I think that that's not a  
15 proper question and I'll sustain the objection.

16 BY MR. BURKS:

17 Q You've read the second amended (indiscernible)  
18 petition; it's the one on the lawsuit involving the tax  
19 liens, and also the validity of the settlement agreement.  
20 You've read that, correct?

21 A Yeah, I'm sure at some point I've read it, I just  
22 wanted (indiscernible).

23 Q Sure. And you testified as to the investigation and  
24 inquiry you made regarding that, right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Have you ever tried a case like that?

2 MR. FITZMAURICE: Same objection, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
4 you.

5 MR. BURKS: May I have a moment to make sure that  
6 I've asked all the questions I intended to ask?

7 THE COURT: Certainly, Mr. Burks.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q On the plan, you've been very forthright as to what's  
10 happening, I believe, on Friday, and I appreciate it,  
11 especially if you can have it muted, where people can  
12 actually, like Mr. Ali Choudhri, can watch the bidding  
13 process. You've talked about the bidding, the auction  
14 that's going to occur on Friday, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q If the cash bid is higher than the highest credit bid,  
17 are you going to take the cash bid or the credit bid?

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, calls for  
19 speculation about some event that theoretically might happen  
20 in the future.

21 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Go  
22 ahead.

23 BY MR. BURKS:

24 A Probably, but there's other things that might happen,  
25 so I can't say for sure, but generally speaking, yes, I'll

1 take the higher bid.

2 Q Any bid you accept, of course, is tradition  
3 (indiscernible). They've only paid you \$2 million. So, if  
4 another bid is the highest bid, they'd still have to pay the  
5 balance and lose it, right?

6 A Oh, yeah.

7 Q Sure.

8 A They'd (indiscernible) close.

9 Q If the credit bid is not successful, what is your  
10 understanding regarding the bank's right to releases? Do  
11 they still get the release?

12 A If the plan is confirmed, yes. The releases come from  
13 the plan, is my understanding.

14 Q Right. If their credit bid is unsuccessful, then they  
15 still owe you \$3.7 million.

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
17 speaks for itself, and "Owe you \$3.7 million,"  
18 mischaracterizes the nature of the plan.

19 THE COURT: I think it does. Ask the question a  
20 different way, perhaps.

21 MR. BURKS: Yeah, all right.

22 BY MR. BURKS:

23 Q If the credit bid is not successful, does MPK still owe  
24 you (indiscernible) liquidating trust \$3.7 million?

25 A Sort of. And my --

1 Q Go ahead, because I don't know the answer to this.

2 A There is money from the sale of the bank's collateral  
3 that some cash bidder will pay at closing. And that money  
4 will, under the plan, go first to the tax liens, then to the  
5 admins. And that's money that, in a sense, is the proceeds  
6 of the bank's collateral, so they are kind of paying it.  
7 That's why I say sort. Do they have to come out of pocket?  
8 There might be some strange scenario where that happens,  
9 because I think they're backstopping the admins under the  
10 plan, whether there's a credit bid or not. But that seems  
11 really unlikely, because their credit bid is high enough  
12 that the cash bid would recover those expenses. That's how  
13 I understand the bid.

14 Q (indiscernible) isn't it true that you made a decision  
15 not to market test the value of those three lawsuits that  
16 I've been talking about in (indiscernible)? (indiscernible)  
17 for sale (indiscernible).

18 A I have not pursued an auction of the causes of action.

19 Q Why not?

20 A Because the value I get from trading those for a  
21 release as part of the plan, in my view, is a much better  
22 and more solid outcome for the estate and its creditors.

23 Q But not (indiscernible) is right, correct?

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, lacks  
25 foundation.

1 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
2 you.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q If the damage models that you've testified to that you  
5 understand being huge -- and I did that so I can get back to  
6 it -- it's a huge ... if the damage models are right though,  
7 wouldn't the amount you covered be considerably higher than  
8 \$3.7 million?

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: So, Your Honor, lacks foundation  
10 as to damage models, also mischaracterizes the witness's  
11 testimony and is otherwise misleading.

12 THE COURT: Let's say what he did say. He said he  
13 hadn't seen any damage models. So, for you to ask him about  
14 damage models he hasn't seen, he didn't testify the way your  
15 question, basically, is formulated. So, I'll sustain he  
16 objection. If you ask in a different way you can. I know  
17 what he testified to, but he testified that he hadn't seen  
18 any damage models; they weren't shared with him.

19 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Judge.

20 BY MR. BURKS:

21 Q You investigated the causes of action, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q You got to release them -- on the one hand, here we go,  
24 I'm holding my left hand out -- on the one hand, you're  
25 going to release them for \$3.7 million, right?

1 A Right. I continue to disagree with the way you're  
2 describing this but --

3 Q How would you describe it?

4 A Under the plan, I'm releasing the claims. What I get  
5 under the plan, is what I get under the plan. Somebody said  
6 it, and likely to be 3.7 million; in a credit bid scenario  
7 it could be a different number, that's why -- but when you  
8 say 3.7, I understand you to mean what the estate gets out  
9 of this plan. And yes, I'm releasing the claims under the  
10 plan.

11 Q In a credit bid scenario, will you actually get \$3.7  
12 million cash, or will you get considerably less?

13 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. The plan  
14 speaks for itself.

15 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection, it speaks  
16 for itself. We're don't have to argue what the plan says,  
17 because the plan is -- it says what it says, Mr. Burks, and  
18 I can read.

19 BY MR. BURKS:

20 Q Whatever the plan says here, the alternative would be,  
21 though, to either try and market those causes of action  
22 independently, correct. Would that be an alternative?

23 A I suppose that's possible, sure.

24 Q And what would you expect to get from that?

25 A Less.



1 Q Why?

2 A Because I don't think the claims are worth much to  
3 anyone other than the party, because they're worth a lot,  
4 and that party made an offer. That's why the claim was in  
5 an amount that was less than what I believe the estate gets  
6 out of the claim.

7 Q But there's another alternative sir, is there not?  
8 Don't release them and let these attorneys, who are offering  
9 to do it on a full contingency, try the case. Isn't that an  
10 alternative?

11 A It is something else that could happen, yes.

12 Q What is the truest way to determine the validity of a  
13 piece of litigation? Is it to try it?

14 A If the only thing you care about is rolling the dice  
15 and seeing if you hit snake eyes, that's a thing you can do.  
16 That's not what I'm doing here. I'm trying to make  
17 decisions that are in the best interests of the estate.

18 Q If you don't sell it for \$3.7 million, those three  
19 actions, have you formed an opinion, if you went forward  
20 with them, have you formed an opinion as to how much MPK  
21 would offer to settle?

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor,  
23 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony of the plan.

24 THE COURT: I'll sustain he objection.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 Q Can you form a valid business decision without  
2 determining what you could get in settling if you brought  
3 the claims yourself?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor,  
5 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. He's testified  
6 that he did, in fact do that.

7 THE COURT: I'll sustain he objection.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q So, based on the objections of MPK's counsel, the only  
10 thing that we have for your consideration, is that true, is  
11 releasing the claims to MPK for \$3.7 million? I mean,  
12 that's it.

13 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, as to the  
14 predicate of the question, that it somehow benefits the  
15 estate and entirety gestalt of objections by MPK's  
16 (indiscernible).

17 MR. BURKS: I'm going to ask him what his opinion  
18 is, because it's his judgment that he's testified about.

19 THE COURT: I think he's testified as to what his  
20 judgment was and how he got there. I think the question  
21 mischaracterizes his prior testimony. So, if you want to  
22 ask another question, Mr. Burks, you can, but I've listened  
23 to all of his testimony. I'm listening to your questions.  
24 I think your questions are not a true indication of what he  
25 previously testified to. And I can read from my notes back

1 to you what I think he said, because they're right here, but  
2 I don't think that's my job, okay.

3 MR. BURKS: It's not your job and (indiscernible).

4 THE COURT: So, ask a question within the law.

5 Thank you.

6 MR. BURKS: I've asked all the questions in that  
7 area, Judge. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 BY MR. BURKS:

10 Q So, the final question is, just so I understand, your  
11 business judgment is that there is no need to market test  
12 the sale or the litigation of these claims, because \$3.7 is  
13 the most you think you can get.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor,  
15 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

16 THE COURT: It does mischaracterize the testimony.  
17 Ask another question. He never testified to that.

18 MR. BURKS: (indiscernible) no further questions,  
19 Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Baker?

21 MR. BAKER: No questions.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Shannon, I'll come to you, just  
23 because you said you might want to participate.

24 MR. SHANNON: No questions, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Fitzmaurice.

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER MURRAY

3 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

4 Q Mr. Murray you were asked questions about the equitable  
5 subordination claim filed by 2425 WL against the bank. Do  
6 you recall that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you recall that the bank filed a motion to dismiss  
9 the complaint in that case?

10 A I don't. But that sounds right, but I don't really  
11 remember what the status of that litigation is.

12 Q Similarly, do you not recall whether or not that motion  
13 to dismiss was granted?

14 A I just don't remember, no.

15 Q Do you know whether 2425 WL has filed a motion, has  
16 filed an application with the Court seeking to assert estate  
17 claims?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And you oppose that application, correct?

20 A Yes, I do oppose it.

21 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I'm going to give it  
22 another shot.

23 THE COURT: Sure, go ahead, you're connected.

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you.

25 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

1 Q So, Mr. Murray is showing me the document at ECF 534.

2 A Yes.

3 Q That's the objection you filed to the 2425 WL  
4 application to pursue estate claims?

5 A Yeah, I recognize that.

6 Q Mr. Burks was asking you questions about a \$700,000 --  
7 let's just call it a check for purposes of these questions --  
8 -- do you recall those questions?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you know the amount of the tax liens that have been  
11 filed in this case?

12 A The ones filed, the ones by the taxing authorities, not  
13 the ones that were purchased or traded later, yes.

14 Q Do you know about the approximate amount of those?

15 A It's over two million. I don't know exactly.

16 Q So, that's more than 700,000.

17 A Yes.

18 Q And does the indicated plan call for those tax liens to  
19 be paid in full?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Is there also a tax lien against the property that's  
22 currently held by ... and we'll call it Caz Creek or  
23 (indiscernible)?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Do you know the approximate amount of that tax lien?

1 A I don't. It's more than 700,000, to be sure, but I  
2 don't remember.

3 Q And do you know if that tax lien is paid off in full  
4 under the bank's plan?

5 A Yes, it is.

6 Q The bank's plan also pays off administrative expenses  
7 in full, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q It makes a cash payment to a certain amount of secured  
10 creditors. Is that right?

11 A Yes, to cash creditors.

12 Q And it funds a liquidation trust?

13 A Yeah, that was the second (indiscernible).

14 Q As compared to \$700,000, do you have a sense of the  
15 magnitude, as a comparison, of the payments the bank is  
16 making under the plan?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Is it about five times as much?

19 A Well, it's 3.7 or more versus 700, so, yeah a little  
20 more than five times, at least.

21 Q Counsel was asking you whether you spoke to an attorney  
22 named Jeff Steidley. Do you recall those questions?

23 A I do.

24 Q Did Mr. Choudhri or anyone on any side ask you to talk  
25 to Mr. Steidley?

1 A I just don't remember. I don't remember that name and  
2 those discussions.

3 Q In connection with the determinations that you've made  
4 in this case, without telling me the contents of it, have  
5 you sought legal advice?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And does the legal advice that you've received from  
8 your counsel form part of the basis for the conclusions that  
9 you've reached?

10 A Yes, very much.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Nothing further. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Burks?

13 RE-CROSS EXAMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER MURRAY BY

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Just to be clear, the tax liens that he was talking  
16 about, the various liens he was talking about, if the  
17 property is sold, whether it's sold to a cash bidder or to a  
18 stalking horse, credit bearer, or another credit bearer,  
19 they still don't get paid, or do they?

20 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor, calls for  
21 speculation as to the results of, for example, negotiation  
22 that the bidder might, a successful bidder might have with  
23 the taxing authority.

24 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 A Yeah, I think the tax liens have to get paid at a  
2 closing on the building regardless of whether it's sold a  
3 cash buyer or be accredited.

4 MR. BURKS: Nothing further, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Baker?

6 MR. BAKER: No questions.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Shannon?

8 MR. SHANNON: No questions, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Fitzmaurice?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: Nothing further, Your Honor.

11 Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Murray. Thank you for  
13 your patience. You may step down.

14 MR. MURRAY: Thank you, sir.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Fitzmaurice.

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, you know, the bank  
17 rests.

18 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Mr. Baker, do  
19 you have witnesses?

20 MR. BAKER: I defer to Mr. Burks.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, do you have a witness?

22 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, at this time ... Judge, at  
23 this time, 2425 WL calls Mr. Holliman.

24 THE COURT: Is he the gentleman that's in the  
25 waiting room?



1 MR. BURKS: Yes.

2 THE COURT: All right (indiscernible). He's a  
3 rebuttal witness, I assume?

4 MR. BURKS: Excuse me?

5 THE COURT: He's a rebuttal witness, I assume?

6 MR. BURKS: I'm putting on my case in chief.

7 THE COURT: How come I was told that I only had  
8 three witnesses and now I've got someone else?

9 MR. BURKS: He's a rebuttal witness for my case in  
10 chief. I'm sorry.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, may we inquire,  
12 rebuttal as to what?

13 MR. BURKS: So, it's been represented that the  
14 plan was noticed out and this is a creditor who received no  
15 notice and no (indiscernible) to vote on.

16 THE COURT: Okay, fine. Sir, if you'll come to  
17 the podium. (indiscernible) I will swear you in. Please  
18 raise your right hand to be sworn. Do you swear or affirm  
19 to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the  
20 truth, so help you God.

21 MR. HOLLIMAN 1: Yes.

22 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated sir,  
23 right here. Make sure you speak into the microphone. Mr.  
24 Baker you may proceed at your leisure.

25 MR. BURKS: Mr. Burks.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, sorry.

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ALLEN HOLLIMON

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q Hi, thanks for waiting. I bet that was a lot of fun.

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q What is your name, sir?

7 A My name is Allen Holliman.

8 Q And what do you do, sir?

9 A I am the owner of the(indiscernible) company that 2425  
10 (indiscernible).

11 THE COURT: I didn't catch it, sir, so please say  
12 that answer again. You are what?

13 THE WITNESS: I am the owner and CEO of Nationwide  
14 Security, which is (indiscernible) 2425 (indiscernible),  
15 suite 300.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 BY MR. BURKS:

18 Q Do you have any knowledge of a company called Galleria  
19 2425 Owner?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q And what knowledge do you have? How do you know this  
22 company? How do you know the company Galleria 2425 Owner?

23 A I provide security services for the building.

24 Q And do you have a contract with someone to do that?

25 A Yes, we do.

1 Q With whom?

2 A With the Galleria 24.

3 Q With Galleria 2425 Owner?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Did you file a proof of claim in this case?

6 A We have not, as of yet.

7 Q All right. Are you owned, in your mind, are you owed  
8 money by the estate? By the creditor?

9 A Absolutely.

10 Q Did you receive a plan ballot voting ... let me look ...  
11 did you receive a notice regarding voting on the plan?

12 A Yes, from Galleria.

13 Q From Galleria. And did you receive notice from anybody  
14 else?

15 A I have not.

16 Q Did you submit a ballot?

17 A No, I have not.

18 Q When did you receive the ballot from Galleria?

19 A Early May.

20 Q Early May?

21 A Early May, if I recall correct.

22 Q Did you receive anything in the mail from MPK or the  
23 chapter 11 trustee regarding this plan?

24 A Not that I recall.

25 MR. BURKS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Bear with me for one second.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Give me the full name of your company  
4 again, sir.

5 THE WITNESS: Nationwide Investigations and  
6 Security Inc.

7 THE COURT: So, Nationwide Security 2425 West Loop  
8 South 300, Houston, Texas 77027.

9 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

10 THE COURT: That's your mailing address.

11 THE WITNESS: Correct.

12 THE COURT: Go ahead.

13 MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 CROSS EXAMINATION OF ALLEN HOLLIMAN

15 BY MR. TROOP:

16 Q Mr. Holliman, good afternoon.

17 A Good afternoon.

18 Q Mr. Holliman, I'm not sure I understood what you said.  
19 You said you received a package in early May, with regard to  
20 (indiscernible). Correct?

21 A If I can recall, yes.

22 Q Early May. And you said that that package that you  
23 received was from the Debtor, Galleria Owner 2425, not from  
24 National Bank of Kuwait?

25 A Yeah, I haven't heard from the Bank of Kuwait.

1 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, I'm going to bring up on  
2 the screen, through Mr. (indiscernible).

3 THE COURT: Thank you (indiscernible).

4 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, this is the -- go to the  
5 top, please, of the document ...

6 MAN 1: Sir, this is ECF 501 (indiscernible).

7 MR. TROOP: ECF 501-15, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 BY MR. TROOP:

10 Q Mr. Holliman, you said the name of your company is  
11 National Security?

12 A No, Nationwide.

13 Q Nationwide Security.

14 A Investigation.

15 Q Thank you.

16 MR. TROOP: Could you scroll down to the attachment  
17 with the addresses?

18 BY MR. TROOP:

19 Q Do you see this highlighted in blue, sir?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Is that your address, 2425 West Loop, Suite 300?

22 A That's my address.

23 Q Houston, Texas 77007.

24 A Correct.

25 Q And you said you received a package at the beginning of

1 May. Correct?

2 A From my recollection.

3 MR. TROOP: Can you go to the top of the document,  
4 please? Could you scroll down just a little bit.

5 BY MR. TROOP:

6 Q Do you see that it was National Bank of Kuwait,  
7 paragraph three, that mailed the solicitation package in  
8 early May? There, right there, number three?

9 A Three ...

10 Q On May 6th.

11 A On May 6th, (indiscernible) National Bank, okay, yes, I  
12 see that.

13 THE COURT: (indiscernible) down a little bit.

14 MR. TROOP: I'm sorry. Could you please bring up  
15 the plan, 2425 in the Debtor's certificate of services  
16 (indiscernible)?

17 THE COURT: Who's doing it?

18 BY MR. TROOP:

19 Q You said you received it in early June, right? That's  
20 what you said?

21 A I said early May, from my recollection.

22 Q Actually, that's not what you said, sir. Early May,  
23 that's right, that's correct, that was our plan. I  
24 apologize. Did you receive a second package in early June?

25 A I don't recall.

1 Q You don't recall. Do you see that this would have been  
2 service made by the Debtor, Galleria 2425 Owner LLC, and the  
3 other plan proponent, 2425 WL LLC? The plan you said you  
4 got. You said you go the Debtor's plan, right?

5 A I got the Debtor's plan. Okay.

6 Q You see, this was mailed on May 30th, correct.

7 A Correct.

8 Q Like a month after you said you got the package, which  
9 would have been consistent with when MPK sent you a package.  
10 Correct?

11 A (indiscernible) MPK, I recall the Debtor's package but  
12 I don't recall (indiscernible).

13 Q And can that be because you looked at the name of the  
14 case and it said Galleria 2425 Owner at the top?

15 A That's what it says.

16 Q Do you have the package that you received with you?

17 A I don't have it with me, no.

18 Q But if you received a package in early May, did it have  
19 a ballot in it?

20 A I don't recall.

21 Q Could you tell me who asked you to come here to be a  
22 witness today?

23 A The attorneys from Choudhri (indiscernible).

24 Q And they asked you. Did Mr. Choudhri talk to you about  
25 whether you received a package before you talked to the

1 attorneys?

2 A No.

3 Q No. How did they get your name?

4 A They should have a name. I operate the security in  
5 that building.

6 Q But how did they think to call you about whether you  
7 got this or not?

8 A I couldn't answer that question.

9 MR. TROOP: Okay. What else? I don't think  
10 anything else, Your Honor. No further questions for this  
11 witness.

12 THE COURT: All right, (indiscernible). Sir, when  
13 did you become aware of this bankruptcy filing the first  
14 time?

15 THE WITNESS: I can't recall that. It's been  
16 going on for a while, so probably, I think maybe a year ago  
17 (indiscernible) paperwork had come through. But that's all  
18 that my office (indiscernible), from my attorney.

19 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Burks?

20 MR. BURKS: Nothing further, Judge.

21 THE COURT: All right, thank you, sir. You may  
22 step down. Mr. Burks, next witness.

23 MR. BURKS: He's not here yet. Let me see, my  
24 witness list because I'm constantly losing the damn thing.  
25 Put this up on the screen, please, 12.



1           Your Honor, rather than call Mr. Choudhri at this  
2     time, what I think I would like to do, is put some  
3     documents, court filed documents, that have been admitted  
4     into evidence.

5           THE COURT: I can let you do that in argument if  
6     it's already in the record. But what I'd like to do is I'd  
7     like to conclude testimony. So, if you want to rest that's  
8     fine. But this is the time to call witnesses, live  
9     testimony. That's what I would like for you to do. I'm  
10    surprised we're not hearing from Mr. Choudhri. I really  
11    wanted to hear from him. But if you don't want to call him,  
12    that's fine.

13          MR. BURKS: Well, I want to call him, but he's  
14    ill. I don't know where he is. He's on the screen, he's ...  
15    I know that he is ill. Excuse me. I know that he has told  
16    me he is very ill.

17          THE COURT: He is on the phone.

18          MR. BURKS: All right.

19          THE COURT: And if he'll show up on video, I'm  
20    happy to have you examine him. If he doesn't want to show  
21    up or doesn't want to testify, that's fine too. You can  
22    rest. And I'm happy to give you a few minutes, if you would  
23    like, to try and contact him to get him by video.

24          MR. BURKS: You've read my mind. May I do so?

25          THE COURT: Yes. So, let's do this: It is 2:42.

1 I'll come back at 2:50. That gives you eight minutes to try  
2 and get (indiscernible).

3 MR. BURKS: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

5 CLERK: All rise.

6 (Recess)

7 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Burks, what's the  
8 status?

9 MR. BURKS: Your Honor, 2425 WL calls Mr. Ali  
10 Choudhri.

11 THE COURT: He is not online or on the phone. He  
12 was when I left the bench. He's left. You should hear a  
13 ding if he calls in.

14 MR. BURKS: Is he on the headphones?

15 THE COURT: No.

16 MR. BURKS: I will wait for him to come on for as  
17 long as --

18 THE COURT: No, I'll wait a few more minutes, and  
19 then he's not going to testify.

20 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor. Was that something  
21 (indiscernible), Judge?

22 THE COURT: Excuse me?

23 MR. BURKS: Was there --

24 THE COURT: Oh, there's a phone call. There he  
25 is. He's been unmuted. He needs to show up now by video.

1 MR. CHOUDHRI: Hello?

2 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, I can hear you. Can you  
3 hear me?

4 MR. CHOUDHRI: Judge Norman?

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, I can hear you.

7 THE COURT: Okay, I need you to appear by video,  
8 and I'll swear you in, sir, and you can testify.

9 MR. CHOUDHRI: Okay, I'm having a problem with my  
10 video. Can you give me just a minute?

11 THE COURT: I'll give you a few more minutes.  
12 I've already given you a few minutes, but I'll give you a  
13 few more.

14 MR. BURKS: Let me see. Do we have  
15 (indiscernible) deposition?

16 MR. BAKER: Yeah.

17 MR. BURKS: Which one is it?

18 MR. BAKER: It's 49922 or 23.

19 MR. BURKS: Yeah, all right, okay.

20 MR. CHOUDHRI: Thanks for your patience.

21 THE COURT: Just so the parties are aware, if you  
22 want to share documents with Mr. Choudhri, which I'm  
23 assuming that you are going to do, that will have to occur  
24 through GoTo Meeting. So just be aware of that fact.

25 MR. BURKS: Where's our exhibit list?

1 MR. BAKER: (indiscernible).

2 MR. BURKS: Yeah, it's all the same.

3 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Choudhri, can you hear  
4 me? Mr. Choudhri, can you hear me?

5 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes.

6 THE COURT: All right, I'm going to ask you to  
7 raise your right hand, sir, and be sworn. Do you swear or  
8 affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but  
9 the truth, so help you God?

10 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes.

11 THE COURT: All right, go ahead, Mr. Burks.

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ALI CHOUDHRI

13 BY MR. BURKS:

14 Q Sir, will you please state your -- state and spell your  
15 name for the record?

16 A Ali Choudhri.

17 Q And how do you spell your last name, sir?

18 A C-H-O-U-D-H-R-I.

19 Q Are you the -- what is your position in 2425 WL?

20 A Equity.

21 Q What is your position in Galleria 2425 Owner?

22 A Equity.

23 Q All right, I'm going to start with some housekeeping

24 matters, Mr. Choudhri. I'm going to put up ECF Docket

25 Number 502-34. And I'd like you to tell me when you can see

1 it, because I'm not sure what technological (indiscernible).

2 There's no 502-34, Hilco sale brochure.

3 A I'm sorry?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: One moment, sir.

5 MR. BURKS: One moment, sir. We're putting up an  
6 exhibit for you to look at. So the Exhibit 30 -- 499-34 is  
7 somewhere.

8 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, I apologize. Before  
9 we begin the questioning of Mr. Choudhri, looking at it on  
10 the screen, it's not clear to me that that's a real  
11 background or --

12 THE COURT: It's a virtual background. Mr.  
13 Choudhri, will you turn off your virtual background? You  
14 have to project your GoTo Meeting, Mr. Choudhri. Anything  
15 you do internally, we can see in the courtroom, he can't  
16 see. You've got to be connected to GoTo meeting. You've  
17 got to share through GoTo Meeting. Because you can project  
18 it all over the courtroom, but he's not going to see it. So  
19 Mr. Choudhri, in case you didn't understand my instructions,  
20 any sort of virtual background blurring needs to be turned  
21 off. Thank you.

22 THE WITNESS: Can you see this?

23 THE COURT: I can see you, yeah. That's fine, but  
24 you can't --

25 THE WITNESS: Okay, (indiscernible).

1 THE COURT: -- no virtual backgrounds.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

3 MR. BURKS: And Mr. Choudhri, we're waiting for  
4 ECF 499-34, the Hilco sale brochure, to come up, and then  
5 we're going to go to 499-12 in one moment, sir.

6 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I apologize. I'm  
7 connected and I'm clicking on it. It says "Can't share your  
8 screen. We apologize, but we're experiencing difficulties  
9 with the video contents and are unable to share your  
10 screen." I've never had that happen before.

11 THE COURT: Yeah, it sometimes -- and that's the  
12 reason why we -- okay, let's see if I could make it work.

13 MR. BAKER: You want me to try --

14 THE WITNESS: I can't see with these.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, we'll be with you in a  
16 second.

17 THE WITNESS: Okay, sorry.

18 THE COURT: I get the same error message, Mr.  
19 Burks. We can't share documents.

20 MR. BURKS: So we can see it, but he won't. Is  
21 that correct?

22 THE COURT: That's correct.

23 MR. BURKS: Oh.

24 THE COURT: Yeah, there we go. All right, so I'll  
25 try and pull up documents for you. What do you want to pull

1 up?

2 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize.

3 I mean, I don't know what I can do about it, but I do

4 apologize. Docket 499-34, Your Honor, ECF 499-34 and 36.

5 Mr. Choudhri, hang on there. We're about to,

6 apparently, about to get this done.

7 Mr. Choudhri, the document, ECF 499-34, is on the

8 screen. Is it big enough for you to see it?

9 And Judge, I don't know if you can click and open

10 it bigger. I just don't know how it works.

11 THE COURT: All I can do is this.

12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, I see it.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, you can drag on your

14 screen and basically make us very small and your exhibits

15 very, very large.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay, yes, sir. I can. Thank you,

17 Your Honor.

18 MR. BURKS: Let me know when you've got it to

19 where you can read the document, Mr. Choudhri.

20 THE WITNESS: I can't scroll down, but I can zoom

21 in and out. I'm not able to scroll down.

22 THE COURT: You can't scroll? All you can do is

23 make it bigger or smaller?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see it.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 Q Mr. Choudhri, obviously, you're aware --

2 A (indiscernible).

3 Q -- of the proceedings going on in the bankruptcy case  
4 of Galleria 2425 Owner. Is that correct?

5 A Huh? Say that again.

6 Q Are you aware that there's an auction taking place this  
7 Friday regarding the --

8 A Yeah. (indiscernible).

9 Q -- building in this bankruptcy case? And do you know  
10 who marketed the property for auction?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Who?

13 A Hilco.

14 Q Look at what has been put on the screen as Docket  
15 Number 499-34. It purports to be the Hilco Real Estate  
16 sales document.

17 A Yes, I see this.

18 Q All right, do you know whether or not Hilco is  
19 marketing the building or the building with all the --

20 A Can you speak up?

21 Q Sure, can you hear me now?

22 A Just if you can speak up a little, please.

23 THE COURT: I don't think he can get any louder,  
24 Mr. Choudhri. You need to get off your speaker phone. Hold  
25 your phone up to your ear. Go.



1 THE WITNESS: Hello?

2 MR. BURKS: Can you hear me now?

3 THE WITNESS: Better. Yeah, much better.

4 MR. BURKS: All right, thank you. And the judge  
5 has let you put the phone up to your face, so you're in good  
6 shape, sir.

7 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you,  
8 Judge.

9 BY MR. BURKS:

10 Q Looking at the exhibit up on the screen, have you seen  
11 that whole document before?

12 THE COURT: Do you want me to scroll through it?

13 MR. BURKS: Please, so we can see.

14 THE WITNESS: Yep, please. I have -- that's the  
15 one with an extra zero. I think it's got an extra zero on  
16 the 18.6 million. That's the one. I've seen it. Yeah,  
17 I've seen this.

18 BY MR. BURKS:

19 Q Do you know whether this brochure is auctioning or  
20 offering for auction the building or the building and the  
21 furniture?

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, objection. The  
23 Trustee can only sell the things the estate owns. That's  
24 what's going to be sold pursuant to the auction and approved  
25 or not by Your Honor at the sale hearing.

1 MR. BURKS: That's not what I asked.

2 THE COURT: Well, I'll let you respond to the  
3 objection. The objection basically is the Trustee can only  
4 sell, and you and I know that he can only sell whatever  
5 ownership interest he has.

6 MR. BURKS: Right.

7 THE COURT: So what's --

8 MR. BURKS: Well, what I want to make sure is, I'm  
9 going to establish what he has an ownership interest in or  
10 not, through Mr. Choudhri.

11 THE COURT: Well, I -- why is that important to  
12 plan confirmation?

13 MR. BURKS: Because the plan is offering the --  
14 whatever Hilco is advertising, and Hilco is advertising the  
15 building and the furniture. I want to put on testimony that  
16 the furniture is not the estate. It's just Mr. Choudhri's  
17 or one of his enemy's.

18 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Thank  
19 you.

20 THE WITNESS: I (indiscernible) --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, there's no question for  
22 you, so you don't get to talk. Thank you.

23 MR. BURKS: There's no question. There's no  
24 question.

25 Put on 499 as well.

1 THE COURT: Are you asking me? Because he can't  
2 do it.

3 MR. BURKS: I am asking you. I apologize. And he  
4 just gave me that look like "Don't ask me. Ask him."

5 THE COURT: We're going to do it a different way.  
6 It'll make things a little quicker.

7 MR. BURKS: I'm used to the tap screen, so I'm not  
8 going to embarrass myself like I did the other day. Judge  
9 said "Mr. Burks, you just tap that screen and expect a  
10 result?"

11 THE WITNESS: I see the thing about  
12 (indiscernible).

13 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Choudhri. We'll be with  
14 you in just a second.

15 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

16 MR. BAKER: Back, 2425. Yes, 2425.

17 MR. BURKS: Is that what we want?

18 MR. BAKER: Yeah.

19 THE COURT: All right, this should be easier.  
20 What is it that you want to look up, pick up, Mr. Burks?

21 MR. BURKS: I appreciate what you're doing. 499 -  
22 - well, Your Honor...

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: So Your Honor, it appears that  
24 the witness has materials in front of him that he's flipping  
25 through or otherwise looking at, so we would object to that.

1 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Choudhri, you may not  
2 look at anything other than what's presented on the screen.  
3 Let me ask you two questions. Do you have any paperwork in  
4 front of you?

5 You're muted, Mr. Choudhri.

6 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I have a 499 --

7 THE COURT: I need you to set that all aside,  
8 please.

9 THE WITNESS: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? Is there anyone else in the  
11 room with you?

12 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay, so I don't want to see any  
14 paperwork in front of you, besides you. Move it all away  
15 from you. Thank you.

16 I apologize. When all my bandwidth is taken with  
17 GoTo Meeting, everything else becomes super slow for me,  
18 which is a reason we don't typically present exhibits for  
19 parties.

20 MR. BURKS: Makes sense.

21 THE COURT: All right, 499-12, is that correct?

22 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: That's 499-12. I'm not sure that's  
24 what you want.

25 MR. BURKS: Let me see.

1 THE COURT: Is it two pages?

2 MR. BURKS: I think it is.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. BURKS: I can't read it, though.

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: No, second page.

6 MR. BURKS: Second page?

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: On the second page.

8 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri, move onto the second  
9 page, please, on Page 2.

10 THE COURT: What number do you want to go to that  
11 I can make it bigger for him?

12 MR. BAKER: Very top.

13 MR. BURKS: It's very top --

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't see this.

15 MR. BURKS: Very top of the second page.  
16 (indiscernible).

17 THE WITNESS: Is this document (indiscernible)?  
18 Yeah.

19 BY MR. BURKS:

20 Q Does the Debtor own any office equipment or  
21 furnishings?

22 A No.

23 MR. BURKS: All right, Your Honor, you can take  
24 all these ones off, please.

25 BY MR. BURKS:

1 Q Mr. Choudhri, did you make an offer to buy the claims  
2 of the Chapter 11 Trustee against NBK?

3 A No. Yes, on behalf of 2425 WL, LLC.

4 Q And what was the last amount of the offer you made to  
5 the Trustee?

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.

7 THE WITNESS: \$700,000.

8 THE COURT: Hold on, one second. What's the  
9 objection?

10 MR. FITZMAURICE: There's lack of predicate, lack  
11 of foundation as to the response of the -- of who the offer  
12 was on behalf of. We've seen an offer of fifty. The first  
13 offer on behalf of some other entity, not on -- nothing  
14 related to any of the -- like Mr. Choudhri has testified to.

15 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Go  
16 ahead. I mean, I've seen the check. Everyone's seen the  
17 check. Go ahead.

18 THE WITNESS: I'm (indiscernible) it was on behalf  
19 of -- I made it on behalf of 2425 WL, LLC, Barron  
20 Newburger's the law firm that Steve Sather's with. And Mr.  
21 Sather (indiscernible) while Mr. Sather (indiscernible) made  
22 the last offer for \$700,000.

23 MR. BURKS: Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, I'm going to ask you to  
25 do a couple of things. I need to see your face when you're

1     testifying. I don't have a problem with you doing it  
2     remotely, but you need to be looking at the camera. Get  
3     your hand off your face. Thank you so much. Go ahead.

4     BY MR. BURKS:

5     Q     Have you received -- and is the phone -- have you  
6     received a rejection or an acceptance in that offer?

7     A     Yes.

8     Q     And what was it?

9     A     Rejection.

10    Q     I have in my pocket a check. It's a cashier's check, a  
11    bank check. It's called a bank check. Those are good  
12    funds. Do you want me to return those to you now that  
13    you've received the rejection?

14           MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lacks  
15    foundation. Mischaracterizes the nature of the document we  
16    looked at earlier. There's no evidence as to whether the  
17    purported check represents "good funds."

18           THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

19           THE WITNESS: So --

20           THE COURT: You don't get to answer the question.  
21    There's no question before you, Mr. Choudhri. Thank you.

22           THE WITNESS: All right.

23           MR. BURKS: Is there a way for him to see...

24    BY MR. BURKS:

25    Q     So I'm holding what purports to be an original,

1 official check, written on the bank of Metro City Bank.

2 What can you tell me about that check?

3 MR. BURKS: And is there a way that he can...

4 THE COURT: No, unfortunately not.

5 THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with this check.

6 BY MR. BURKS:

7 Q Can you see it?

8 A Yes, I'm familiar with this check.

9 Q All right, what is it?

10 A It's a cashier's check payable to Christopher Murray, a  
11 trustee. And in the memo, it's "purchase of claims  
12 (indiscernible) against NBK," which is the latest -- or  
13 offers made with proof of funds. And then, Mr. Shannon  
14 asked for proof of funds and provided them earlier, and then  
15 he -- and then to eliminate any further issues to the bank  
16 statement as holder that he had, which had \$7 million in it.  
17 And then he got a cashier's check payable to the trustee for  
18 \$700,000. And Mr. Shannon said Mr. Murray rejects this  
19 offer and he's going to (indiscernible) Chapter 7 trustee as  
20 the Chapter 7 Trustee. And the same reason that Mr. Shannon  
21 said that Mr. Murray rejects this offer, we asked why. He  
22 said it was attorney/client privilege.

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. He went  
24 very, very far beyond the question. It also appears that  
25 Mr. Choudhri's looking off camera and perhaps reading



1 something. (indiscernible) --

2 THE COURT: I don't think so, but I'm going to --  
3 first of all, bear with me --

4 THE WITNESS: I'm not reading anything, Your  
5 Honor.

6 THE COURT: -- Mr. Choudhri, bear with me.  
7 There's a -- well, there's a question in front you. You can  
8 answer it.

9 So Mr. Burks, I want to make sure that I recall  
10 that that check is dated June 14th, 2024. Is that correct?

11 MR. BURKS: June 14, 2024, written on Metro City  
12 Bank.

13 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. I'll strike the  
14 part of his testimony that basically asked whatever you  
15 asked him, because he went on for quite a while.

16 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, I'm going to ask you to  
18 listen to the question and answer it succinctly. You don't  
19 get to give narratives. Thank you.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Mr. Choudhri, who is QB Loop?

23 A QB Loop Property, LLC is a limited liability company  
24 that a number of investors and its general partners  
25 (indiscernible).

1 Q Do you have any interest, ownership interest, in that  
2 entity?

3 A No.

4 Q Do you have anything invested in the funds personally,  
5 in that entity?

6 A No, other than verify the (indiscernible) who used the  
7 funds when I made the offer on behalf of myself to purchase  
8 the note and lien, and they're the financier to advance the  
9 funds to acquire the note and lien. And (indiscernible) had  
10 made offers to buy 2425. They made offers (indiscernible) -  
11 -

12 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri, let me cut you off. I'm  
13 going to strike anything after "no." Okay?

14 Go ahead. Ask the next question.

15 MR. BURKS: Am I the only one who's having trouble  
16 understanding enough?

17 THE COURT: I don't think so. Do want earphones?

18 MR. BURKS: Do you understand him?

19 WOMAN 1: He's muffled.

20 THE COURT: He's muffled, but that's because he's  
21 on a speaker phone.

22 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri, will you please get off  
23 the speaker phone and hold the phone up?

24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm having a problem with  
25 my phone. I'm having a problem with my -- I'm having a

1 problem with my phone. I could come back to court if that's  
2 easier.

3 MR. BURKS: No, sir. Were you here on the phone -  
4 - no.

5 BY MR. BURKS:

6 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether -- are you aware  
7 that the cash -- final cash collateral order entered by this  
8 court is up on appeal? Are you aware of that?

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls  
10 for speculation.

11 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question?

12 MR. BURKS: I'm sorry, Mr. Choudhri, why don't --

13 THE COURT: Foundation?

14 MR. BURKS: Foundation?

15 THE COURT: Yeah, is he aware --

16 MR. FITZMAURICE: And also relevance to  
17 confirmation, Your Honor.

18 MR. BURKS: Well, it's been (indiscernible).

19 THE COURT: Is the appeal part of the exhibits  
20 have been admitted?

21 MR. BURKS: Right, 546-98 and 99.

22 THE COURT: All right, so what's the relevance?

23 MR. BURKS: The relevance is, is that is he aware  
24 that the cash collateral order is not final with respect to  
25 anything that may have an impact on the plan?

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: So Your Honor --

2 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to  
3 relevance. There's no said pending appeal, so at this point  
4 in time, I'm not bound by anything the appeals court does.

5 MR. BURKS: Right, that's not why it was being  
6 offered. I'm going to move along.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 BY MR. BURKS:

9 Q Mr. Choudhri, you've been here on the phone most of the  
10 day today, correct?

11 A Yes, I've been listening in.

12 Q All right, are there any concerns that you have right  
13 now about the confirmation process?

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.  
15 Relevance to any concerns that Mr. Choudhri has. What  
16 relevance do they have to confirmation?

17 THE COURT: I'm more than happy to hear from Mr.  
18 Choudhri about his concerns. Okay? But to allow him to  
19 give a narrative about what his concerns are, Mr. Burks,  
20 doesn't work for me.

21 MR. BURKS: All right.

22 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to address specific  
23 concerns, I told Mr. Choudhri that I would listen to  
24 whatever sort of complaints he might have about the plan,  
25 about the plan proponents, about fairness, and I'm more than

1 happy to hear that. I don't want to hear him give a  
2 narrative. Okay? And part of it is what happened on  
3 Monday. Okay?

4 MR. BURKS: That's what I'm balancing.

5 THE COURT: So ask your questions. Be specific.  
6 Get an answer to the questions, and I'm happy to hear it,  
7 and I'm happy to give you as much time as that takes.

8 MR. BURKS: Thank you. Subject to Your Honor  
9 cutting off any non-responsive answers.

10 THE COURT: Well, we'll get to a point where I'll  
11 ask you to sit down, so just be warned.

12 MR. BURKS: All right.

13 THE COURT: Okay?

14 BY MR. BURKS:

15 Q Mr. Choudhri, do you believe that \$3.7 million for the  
16 causes of action that you believe the Debtor or 2425 WL or  
17 you -- do you believe that that is a fair purchase price for  
18 those three causes of action?

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: So Your Honor, I think that  
20 mischaracterizes -- objection, I think that mischaracterizes  
21 the plan of what it does in its provisions. I also think  
22 that Mr. Choudhri's view is that issue is not relevant to  
23 whether or not the plan is confirmed --

24 THE COURT: I'm going to give, in fairness to Mr.  
25 Choudhri, because I know that he already believes it, I

1 don't like him, and then I'm prejudiced against him. I'm  
2 going to give him a little bit of leeway.

3 So go ahead, answer the question, Mr. Choudhri.

4 THE WITNESS: I believe it's absolutely unfair.  
5 There's no -- it's -- I'll stop. I'm going to keep going,  
6 Your Honor. I don't want to upset you any more than I have  
7 already.

8 THE COURT: No, Mr. Choudhri. I want to know why  
9 you think it's unfair.

10 THE WITNESS: I'm telling you, Your Honor. It's  
11 unfair, because everything has been (indiscernible) in the  
12 whole process. There's been no transparency. Mr. Murray  
13 has ignored me, not responded. I've made multiple offers to  
14 buy the claims. I've even drawn the litigation finance  
15 funds for \$2 million. (indiscernible) or there's more than  
16 the debt, and you take claims.

17 NBK wants desperately out of -- they want to buy a  
18 (indiscernible), but they don't want to market test it. It  
19 should -- just like the property should be market tested,  
20 the claims should be market tested. I know people that are  
21 willing to buy the claims. I know -- I have investors. The  
22 claims are very, very valuable. There's a lot the bank has  
23 done, and what the bank has done is they they've given the  
24 Trustee an unlimited admin amount, unlimited.

25 (indiscernible) admin claims, so I could take hold

1 of the offer then, but the response in writing from Mr.  
2 Murray -- Mr. RJ was, "It's irrelevant. We're not going to  
3 tell you that it's unlimited." The -- these plans are  
4 originally, defenseless, completely defenseless, and you're  
5 trading the biggest asset of the estate for one creditor,  
6 which is not appropriate. It's not fair.

7 The reason we're in bankruptcy is because of NBK.  
8 NBK (indiscernible). They didn't approve offers. They  
9 didn't respond to it. The loan agreement says you're  
10 supposed to provide SNDA. The settlement agreement says  
11 you're supposed to provide SNDA. I worked hard day and  
12 night. It is completely unfair.

13 Mr. Murray got on the stand today and told Your  
14 Honor that he so considered the offer (indiscernible)  
15 rejected. (indiscernible) rejected it. I have emails of  
16 them responding. I have emails from Kyung Lee, who is Mr.  
17 Murray's lawyer, saying "I don't care if Mr. Choudhri lives  
18 or dies." I had a stroke because of the stress because of  
19 the stress here, Your Honor. And it's so unreal that the  
20 Bank of Kuwait, that the Trustee --

21 THE COURT: Okay, I'm going to -- Mr. Choudhri,  
22 I'm going to cut you off now and go back to Mr. Burks --

23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm --

24 THE COURT: No, that's fine. I'm going to go back  
25 to Mr. Burks.

1 THE WITNESS: -- really sorry, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: No, I need you to stop. Okay? Just  
3 listen and stop.

4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

5 THE COURT: I'm going to go back to Mr. Burks and  
6 let Mr. Burks ask you a follow-up question or questions  
7 about what you just said.

8 Mr. Burks?

9 MR. BURKS: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. Mr.  
10 Choudhri, please take a deep breath, sir. Please, just take  
11 a deep breath. Sit up --

12 THE WITNESS: I'm really sorry. (indiscernible) -  
13 -

14 MR. BURKS: -- straight so we can see your face.  
15 You don't have anything to apologize for. You don't. Now,  
16 I'm going to ask the next question, all right? All right.

17 BY MR. BURKS:

18 Q Why do you think the causes of action should be market  
19 tested instead of simply sold for the amount that the  
20 Trustee thinks is a good price, whatever that price is?

21 A Because --

22 Q And limit your answer to why. Why do you think that?

23 A -- because it maximizes the value to everybody, not  
24 just one Creditor, to all the Creditors, everybody. Instead  
25 of discriminating and just not a lot of due process to me or



1 my claim, if people got (indiscernible) not giving them due  
2 process and discriminating against them in the plan,  
3 allowing -- if a recovery can be made, let's say  
4 (indiscernible) --

5 Q Wait a minute, if a recovery can be made, if a recovery  
6 can be made?

7 A I'm sorry, my blood pressure is my low -- my systolic  
8 is over 110. And I'm sorry I'm not 100-percent myself. So  
9 please forgive me. If a recovery can be made against the  
10 Bank of Kuwait, whether it's a contingency (indiscernible)  
11 like wasn't -- just like with Baker Botts and just like with  
12 Jerry Alexander, the contingency was not a million at first,  
13 and the cash could be used for the estate to stabilize or  
14 maximize it. In essence, sell the assets (indiscernible)  
15 for more, but the biggest asset of the estate is the lawsuit  
16 against the Bank of Kuwait. It is the biggest asset.

17 I had many conversations with large law firms that had  
18 evaluated these claims, and I believe the claims are very,  
19 very valuable, not just for me, but they have -- they're an  
20 asset of the estate, and they should be market tested and  
21 auctioned, just like anything else. It should be fair and  
22 open, and people should be able to bid on those things and  
23 value them.

24 Q All right, I'm going to ask you the next question.

25 Thank you, sir. That's very lucid. I'm going to give you

1 about 30 seconds just to -- please, breathe. I've seen what  
2 happens when you get this excited right now. Please, one  
3 moment, 15 seconds. All right? Just relax, please.

4 A Okay.

5 Q All right. Did you hear the testimony of Mr. Carter  
6 this morning?

7 A Yes.

8 Q All right, when you -- did you sit in a deposition with  
9 Mr. Carter?

10 A Yes.

11 MR. BURKS: All right, Mr. Baker, what is the ECF  
12 of that deposition, please? Do we have it?

13 THE WITNESS: I believe it is on 499-22 if I'm --  
14 if memory serves me correctly.

15 MR. BURKS: Maybe it's 499-22.

16 THE WITNESS: I think so. (indiscernible) a lot  
17 of these memorized. I know Mr. Fitzmaurice may think I'm  
18 looking at something, but I actually know it by heart.

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: And we're going to have this  
20 (indiscernible).

21 MR. BURKS: I don't know why (indiscernible) so  
22 Mr. (indiscernible) and Mr. Choudhri can --

23 THE COURT: The only way is for me to try and pull  
24 it up for you.

25 MR. BURKS: Okay. One moment, Mr. Choudhri.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, it's --

3 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri, you just stated a moment  
4 ago that you listened to the --

5 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible).

6 MR. BURKS: -- testimony of the gentleman this  
7 morning. You've also sat through the deposition. I think  
8 it was one document.

9 BY MR. BURKS:

10 Q Did you ask a lot of questions at that deposition?

11 A I did.

12 Q All right. Subject to, and let the other side object  
13 before you start talking, do you believe that the testimony  
14 today was inconsistent with what you heard? And this is a  
15 yes or no answer, inconsistent with what you heard at the  
16 deposition about ten days ago?

17 A Absolutely. Absolutely.

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, objection.

19 MR. BURKS: All right, (indiscernible) objection.

20 THE COURT: I think that's so general, I can't let  
21 that in.

22 MR. BURKS: Yes or no?

23 THE COURT: He can't testify to that, no.

24 MR. BURKS: All right.

25 THE COURT: I'm giving -- willing to give him some

1 leeway. I'm not willing to give him that much leeway.

2 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

3 BY MR. BURKS:

4 Q So in the deposition, did, in your opinion, did Mr.

5 Carter seem to know anything about the Chapter 11 plan?

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor.

7 Relevance? Mr. Carter?

8 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to  
9 relevance. I don't want to hear that. I want to hear what  
10 Mr. Choudhri thinks about the plan. And I'll give you some  
11 leeway for that to happen. He's expressed that it's unfair,  
12 that it doesn't evaluate claims properly, that it hasn't  
13 been fair and open. That's what I want to hear, Mr. Burks,  
14 over their objections. Okay?

15 MR. BURKS: Understood.

16 THE COURT: All right, now, if you have other  
17 evidence that will survive their objections, I want to hear  
18 that, too.

19 MR. BURKS: Yes, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q Mr. Choudhri, I want to turn to Exhibit 499-98.

23 A Eight, it's very small.

24 THE COURT: We're not there yet, Mr. Choudhry.

25 Bear with me.

1 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible). Okay.

2 THE COURT: You said 499-88?

3 MR. BURKS: No, I did, but I meant 546-98, one of  
4 the exhibits amended this morning, Judge, 546.

5 THE COURT: It doesn't go to 98. It's got 1  
6 through 6.

7 MR. BURKS: One, two, three, four, five, six,  
8 okay.

9 MR. FITZMAURICE: Is that an order?

10 THE COURT: That is the order granted, Trustee's  
11 motions for an order.

12 MR. BURKS: Okay, just so -- all right, Mr.  
13 Choudhri, when you have a -- when you can view that, if you  
14 wouldn't tell me what it is, please.

15 THE WITNESS: Right, this is the (indiscernible)'s  
16 order.

17 BY MR. BURKS:

18 Q And is that the order we're authorizing you to buy the  
19 claims against Sonder?

20 A Yeah, an entity, yes. Not me personally.

21 Q All right.

22 A I know there's confusion about it, so I just want to be  
23 clear when we say that. That's correct. I think this is --  
24 yes, short answer, yes. All right.

25 Q All right, and is it your understanding that the plan

1 was proposed and filed before you bought those claims or  
2 your entity bought those claims?

3 A Correct.

4 Q And is it your understanding that the plan currently  
5 provides that only the Trustee can pursue that claim, and  
6 that no other creditor or party in interest can pursue that  
7 claim? Is that your understanding?

8 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Mr.  
9 Choudhri's understanding is not relevant to what --

10 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible).

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: -- the order actually provides.  
12 We dealt with this on Monday.

13 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. What he  
14 believes really doesn't make any difference to me as it  
15 relates to this order and the plan, Mr. Burks.

16 MR. BURKS: All right, I have to find it in the  
17 plan. One moment. I think we've -- we all know about it.  
18 Counsel and I discussed it. We need to exclude the Sonder  
19 claim from the plan, but one moment, and I'll find it,  
20 Judge.

21 BY MR. BURKS:

22 Q What is the entity that purchased the --

23 MR. TROOP: Yeah, Your Honor, we'll stipulate that  
24 the Sonder claim has been sold pursuant to a court order at  
25 this point. It is not property of the estate that's going

1 to be purchased by the APA or administered under the plan.

2 THE COURT: I'll take that stipulation, Mr. Burks.  
3 It's not really an issue for me, based on what I've read.

4 MR. BURKS: As long as we -- it's in the plan  
5 paragraph, on Page 16, Paragraph J, causes of action and  
6 avoidance actions. As long as any confirmation order, if  
7 you decide to confirm the plan, if the stipulation is that  
8 the confirmation will specifically exclude or reserve that  
9 Sonder claim pursuant to your order, then I can move on,  
10 Judge.

11 MR. FITZMAURICE: Okay, Your Honor, we understand  
12 that the draft confirmation order we've submitted transfers  
13 claims that are owned by the estate into the liquidation  
14 trust by definition.

15 THE COURT: It's not a state claim anymore.

16 MR. BURKS: Okay, I sure would like that to be as  
17 clear as possible, Judge.

18 THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

19 MR. TROOP: Okay, Your Honor. We'll stipulate to  
20 it for (indiscernible).

21 THE COURT: That's fine.

22 Go ahead.

23 BY MR. BURKS:

24 Q Mr. Choudhri, do you understand what the plan would do  
25 -- first of all, did 2425 WL file a proof of claim? Let's

1 focus in. This may be one of my last areas, sir. Let's  
2 focus in on the 2425 WL claim, okay?

3 A Yes.

4 MR. BURKS: All right, Mr. Baker, will you put the  
5 proof of claim up?

6 (indiscernible).

7 MR. BAKER: Claim 14. I actually think 13 and 14  
8 -- 13 is tax claims, 14 is the big claim.

9 MR. BURKS: 499-4, Your Honor, please. That's 3,  
10 499-3. Wait, these claims were not --

11 MR. BAKER: That's the amended objection. Is that  
12 what you want?

13 MR. BURKS: Yes, 3, Proof of Claim 14.

14 MR. BAKER: You want the proof of claim?

15 MR. BURKS: Yeah.

16 THE COURT: What do you want to see, Mr. Burks?

17 MR. BAKER: The tax claim or the big claim? Okay,  
18 13 --

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: You're looking for the 2425  
20 proof of claim? Proof of claim --

21 MR. BAKER: Thirteen --

22 MR. BURKS: The one with the lien on it.

23 MR. FITZMAURICE: Proof of Claim Number 7 by your  
24 client?

25 THE COURT: Either your claim's registered or both



1 claim's registered. I can get that with you. Is that  
2 easier?

3 MR. BAKER: Here, look.

4 MR. BURKS: The WL claim with the lien attached to  
5 it, the one (indiscernible).

6 MR. SATHER: Seven.

7 MR. BURKS: Seven, so Proof of Claim Number 7. Do  
8 you have it?

9 (indiscernible).

10 MR. BURKS: I feel so compromised.

11 THE COURT: Well, this is just a horrible way to  
12 try a case. Okay, and that's the reason I enter the orders  
13 that I enter and say that technological problems are your  
14 problems. They're not my problems. And I'm trying to be  
15 really, really nice, but my patience is about to wear real  
16 thin. Okay? So what do you want to do --

17 MR. BURKS: Understood.

18 THE COURT: You would pull up what?

19 MR. BURKS: Proof of Claim Number 7.

20 THE COURT: Do you want me to pull up the claims  
21 register and then look at the claim?

22 MR. BURKS: Yes, please.

23 THE COURT: Claim 7?

24 MR. BURKS: Yes, please. And thank you for  
25 (indiscernible).

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: What page do you want to go to?

2 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri, we're here, and then  
3 we'll just go to the deed of trust.

4 BY MR. BURKS:

5 Q Mr. Choudhri, do you see this claim, proof of claim?  
6 Can you enlarge the screen so you can see it?

7 A Yes.

8 Q All right, the judge is scrolling down to the attached  
9 deed of trust.

10 A Yes.

11 Q It has been posited by one witness or more that that is  
12 a fictitious lien that has no underlying basis. How do you  
13 respond to that?

14 A Mona Dajani with Pillsbury approved this transaction  
15 and the closing statement. This transaction was initially  
16 supposed to be a refi, and then the Bank of Kuwait wanted it  
17 to be a sale because of the lis pendens sales by Osama  
18 Abdullatif during litigation. And this is reflected on the  
19 closing statement from May 2020 -- May 2018.

20 (indiscernible) --

21 Q How much money --

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, Your Honor,  
23 objection. The witness is testifying, first of all, far  
24 beyond the scope of the question, but also, the contents of  
25 documents that we haven't seen and testifying -- I don't

1 want to represent -- testifying to the contents of documents  
2 that are not in evidence and that need to be, if that's what  
3 he's -- that's -- if he wants to testify about.

4 THE COURT: I'm not going to let him testify about  
5 dockets that are not before the Court that I haven't seen.

6 MR. BURKS: Mr. Choudhri --

7 THE COURT: He can answer your basic question as  
8 to why he disagrees with Mr. Murray's claims.

9 MR. BURKS: -- Mr. Choudhri, this is the last  
10 area, I believe, I'm going to ask you questions on, so I  
11 want -- the judge is going to scroll to show you what is  
12 attached to the proof of claim.

13 THE COURT: I'm there, but do you want to go  
14 further down? That's the deed of trust.

15 MR. BURKS: Right.

16 THE COURT: You want me to go further?

17 MR. BURKS: Yes, please. I want to see what's on  
18 this thing. All right, what's that?

19 THE COURT: Property description. Your list that  
20 they sent -- he's -- because he can't see it, but I can show  
21 it to you in a better way that you can tell me what you --

22 MR. BURKS: There, thank you.

23 THE COURT: He's not seeing this, though --

24 MR. BURKS: That's okay, that's okay.

25 THE COURT: So that's the end.

1 MR. BURKS: That's the property description I'm  
2 saying, so we have a proof of claim and note and a deed of  
3 trust, right?

4 THE COURT: Yes, proof of claim and the deed of  
5 trust is basically it. It's all that's attached to it. You  
6 tell me where you want me to go. I'll move it back over.  
7 Then he can see it.

8 MR. BURKS: And is there anything above that?

9 THE COURT: Just the proof of claim.

10 MR. BURKS: Okay, that.

11 BY MR. BURKS:

12 Q Mr. Choudhri, the judge is about to show you, and this  
13 is our last area, Mr. Choudhri, what happened in the  
14 transaction that's reflected in the document directly in  
15 front of you with the numbers on it? Was that real money?  
16 What happened?

17 A 2425 WL was the seller, the grantor of this property  
18 that went to Gallery 2425 Owner, LLC in May 2018. The --  
19 there was zero cash that came from the (indiscernible)  
20 Galleria 2425. The funds went towards a seller carry of  
21 \$14,780,000. That's reflected on the settlement statement  
22 in the closing of the underlying transaction, on the close.

23 Q So are you saying that before this transaction, the  
24 Debtor did not own 100 percent of the building? Is that  
25 what you're saying?

1 A No, 2425 WL did. 2425 WL is the seller in -- as of May  
2 2018, and then the buyer is Galleria 2425 Owner  
3 (indiscernible).

4 Q Understood, and this is the claim, this is the note and  
5 deed of trust --

6 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of  
7 foundation. There is no note.

8 THE COURT: There is no note, yeah. And he can't  
9 talk about the settlement statement, either.

10 MR. BURKS: Okay. Now I'm in the deed of trust,  
11 please.

12 BY MR. BURKS:

13 Q So don't refer -- the judge doesn't want you talking  
14 about documents that aren't in evidence. He's heard, the  
15 judge has heard you, as to what the basis for that deed of  
16 trust is. Is there anything else you want to say about that  
17 deed of trust, Mr. Choudhri?

18 MR. FITZMAURICE: Yeah, objection, Your Honor.  
19 Witness can't testify generically in the narrative just  
20 about whatever it is he wants to talk about a given topic.

21 MR. BURKS: On the deed of trust?

22 THE COURT: Again, I'm going to give him a little  
23 bit of leeway. Go ahead. Tell me, Mr. Choudhri.

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. It's what  
25 (indiscernible) -- its own (indiscernible) file, and they're

1 underlining, and there's a one memo that they did  
2 (indiscernible), and I believe it's one of the ECF numbers -  
3 -

4 THE COURT: And again, you can't testify as to  
5 stuff that's not in front of me.

6 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible).

7 THE COURT: He asked a generic question, so ask --  
8 answer it if you can, and if you can't, that's fine, too.

9 MR. BURKS: And speak as distinctly as you can,  
10 please, sir.

11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I apologize, Mr. Burks.  
12 Can you repeat the question so I can get it right?

13 MR. BURKS: Right, so there's a deed of trust.  
14 I've heard what you said so far. I'm satisfied, but I don't  
15 count.

16 BY MR. BURKS:

17 Q Is there anybody -- is there anything else you want to  
18 say regarding a transaction, without referring to the  
19 documents, that underlies the granting of this deed of trust  
20 2425 WL? I understand what you've already said. Is there  
21 anything else?

22 A If I recall, it's like something like -- it's in one of  
23 the ECF numbers that are filed like 4 or 2 or something.  
24 (indiscernible) the objection. It's a settlement statement  
25 that the consideration (indiscernible) --

1 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. He's  
2 doing exactly --

3 THE COURT: You're doing exactly what I asked you  
4 not to do.

5 MR. BURKS: I know, I know.

6 THE COURT: So I'm going to cut you off. Ask the  
7 next question. I gave you leeway.

8 He blew it.

9 MR. BURKS: No further questions, Judge.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 Mr. Fitzmaurice. Okay, and I'll offer you the  
12 same thing I offered Mr. Burks. If you need me to put  
13 something on the screen for you, I'm happy to do that.

14 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, Your Honor. Well,  
15 I've been challenged all day, so I was going to give it a  
16 shot. If I lock into GoTo Meeting --

17 THE COURT: You should be able to share your  
18 screen.

19 MR. FITZMAURICE: And I can -- Mr. Choudhri will  
20 be able to hear me through this microphone or do I need to  
21 be on a phone?

22 THE COURT: No. No, no. That's everything. So  
23 if you look -- just follow me on the screen --

24 THE WITNESS: I can hear you very well.

25 THE COURT: All right, there -- here it says

1 "share." You'll click on "share." It'll show "share  
2 screen," and then you'll just hit that button, and you'll be  
3 able to share.

4 There you go.

5 MR. FITZMAURICE: All right.

6 THE COURT: You're the wizard today of technology.

7 MR. FITZMAURICE: Well, all evidence to the  
8 contrary this morning, Your Honor.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ALI CHOUDHRI

10 BY MR. FITZMAURICE:

11 Q Mr. Choudhri, I'm showing you a copy of the proof of  
12 claim for Claim 7-1. Do you see that on the screen?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And this is a proof of claim that was filed by 2425 WL.  
15 Is that right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q So I'm going to scroll through the -- it looks like 18  
18 pages of this PDF. And my question at the end is, I'm going  
19 to ask you if you've seen, in any of the documents that are  
20 here attached to the proof of claim, a promissory note.

21 Okay?

22 A No.

23 Q I'll keep going. We'll go all the way to the end. And  
24 I'll pause here for a second. Is that your signature?

25 A Yes.



1 Q At the time that -- at the time this proof of claim was  
2 signed by you, were you the manager of 2425 WL?

3 A Yes.

4 Q I'll keep scrolling. We'll look for the note.

5 A I don't believe the note is there.

6 Q So you think there's no note attached to the deed of  
7 trust. Is that right?

8 A There's no note here on this proof of claim.

9 Q Okay. So let me ask you another question about the  
10 deed of trust that's attached to the proof of claim. And I  
11 apologize. I'm just going to scroll to the point that I'm  
12 allowed to show you. Okay, is that your signature here, Mr.  
13 Choudhri, under grant -- ultimately under "grantor?"

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do you see here it says, the text on the document says  
16 "this instrument was acknowledged before me this," blank,  
17 "day of," blank, "2021?" Do you see that?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And then it's signed?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Is that because this group -- this deed of trust was  
22 executed in 2021?

23 A Yes, that's why.

24 MR. FITZMAURICE: Thank you, sir. No further  
25 questions.

1 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

2 Mr. Burks?

3 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ALI CHOUDHRI

4 BY MR. BURKS:

5 Q Mr. Choudhri, on that proof of claim, does the note  
6 exist?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Did you give a copy of it to Mr. Sather?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Did you give a copy of it to Mr. Sather in time to file  
11 the amended proof of claim?

12 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of  
13 foundation. There is no amended proof of claim on file.

14 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

15 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible) --

16 BY MR. BURKS:

17 Q Have you provided Mr. Sather a copy of the note to put  
18 forth as an exhibit in the proof of claim objection  
19 proceeding?

20 MR. FITZMAURICE: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of  
21 foundation.

22 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

23 BY MR. BURKS:

24 Q Have you provided a copy of the note to Mr. Sather for  
25 use in the objection of claim proceeding?

1 A Yes. Yes.

2 MR. BURKS: Nothing further, Judge.

3 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Fitzmaurice?

4 MR. FITZMAURICE: Nothing, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

6 Mr. Burks, you have a witness.

7 MR. BURKS: Who's on the screen other than Mr. --

8 THE COURT: Mr. Choudhri.

9 MR. BURKS: And that's it?

10 THE COURT: That's it.

11 MR. BURKS: May I look in the hallway, please?

12 THE COURT: No, I mean, I've been really, really  
13 patient. Do you rest?

14 MR. BURKS: I rest --

15 THE COURT: Mr. Baker, do you rest?

16 MR. BURKS: -- Your Honor.

17 MR. BAKER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Thank you. All right, I think that  
19 concludes at least the evidence, which allows me to give you  
20 some time to argue. Mr. Troop and Mr. Fitzmaurice, he's  
21 going to argue for --

22 MR. FITZMAURICE: Your Honor, Mr. Troop will, I  
23 think, begin and Mr. Akuffo as well will present with  
24 respect to the confirmation standards.

25 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. I'd like to limit

1 argument. I think I've heard what I need to hear, but I  
2 want to give you time. I'm going to limit each side to 15  
3 minutes. All right?

4 MR. BURKS: Thank you.

5 THE COURT: I'll give you five minutes to close.  
6 All right? Thank you. So if you want to start now, you  
7 may, or do you want a few minutes?

8 MR. BURKS: Wait, did you say 15 or five?

9 THE COURT: Fifteen.

10 MR. BURKS: Is there any way that we can take a  
11 very quick break that I need? I --

12 THE COURT: I'm fine breaking if you guys want to  
13 break.

14 MR. BURKS: I just have to run to the restroom,  
15 frankly.

16 MR. TROOP: I don't mind, Your Honor, but I  
17 thought when we spoke this morning we decided that as the  
18 moving party I would go last.

19 THE COURT: Mm hm, well, you can do that, or you  
20 can open and close if you want. It's your choice.

21 MR. TROOP: Oh, that's what you meant by open and  
22 close, by 15 and five?

23 THE COURT: Yeah.

24 MR. TROOP: And it won't further (indiscernible).

25 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. And let's do this,

1 it's 3:53. I'll come back and four o'clock. I'll let Mr.  
2 Troop start, and then we'll go from there. Thank you.

3 CLERK: All rise.

4 (Recess)

5 CLERK: All rise.

6 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record  
7 in 23-34815. Mr. Troop, you may proceed.

8 MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, Andrew  
9 Troop from Pillsbury on behalf of National Bank of Kuwait.

10 Your Honor, we're going to try to stick to three  
11 minutes and get Mr. Akuffo the first opportunity he's ever  
12 had to present a confirmation order.

13 THE COURT: That's fine. I'd be happy to have him  
14 present.

15 MR. TROOP: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: That said, it's always an exciting  
17 time when you do it for the first time. Go ahead.

18 MR. TROOP: He's getting an interesting case as  
19 well.

20 Your Honor, when we started this morning, I talked  
21 to you primarily about two things, the two things of focus.  
22 The first thing that I talked about is that I thought that  
23 there was an objection that was going -- that was being  
24 raised with regard to good faith. And I don't think there's  
25 been any evidence presented with respect to a lack of good

1 faith, and -- to the contrary -- only evidence that supports  
2 a finding of good faith.

3 A plan was proposed in good faith. It was not  
4 proposed in any means prohibited by law. It was  
5 transparent. It was negotiated with the Chapter 11 trustee.  
6 It was sent out to both -- it was sent out to both properly.  
7 The only witness with regard to notice, I believe it was  
8 clearly shown that he actually received our plan, not  
9 theirs. Your Honor, nothing against him. It's just -- Your  
10 Honor, it's a matter of circumstance.

11 Your Honor, the plan is in good faith because it  
12 seeks to maximize what would otherwise be a truly insolvent  
13 state. And yes, are there things that NBK gets in exchange  
14 for that? It's a release of estate claims. It gets  
15 protection against anyone breaking estate claims. The  
16 argument that their releases are broader than that, I  
17 disagree with, but if there's concern about that, Your  
18 Honor, we'll make it as crystal clear as you want it in the  
19 confirmation order.

20 Your Honor, there are also other objections  
21 raised, and I talked this morning about three that were made  
22 untimely. One of the ones that was made untimely had to do  
23 with, indirectly, 1129(a)(10) and whether there is  
24 (indiscernible) impaired class.

25 Mr. Burks, I think, tried to make two points

1 today. He tried to say that NBK's claim was not impaired  
2 because it accepts its treatment under the plan, but he'd be  
3 -- that's just nonsensical Your Honor. You'd remember  
4 having an impaired accepting class. You would never have an  
5 impaired accepting class. And the fact that (indiscernible)  
6 doesn't change the fact that our legal rights are being  
7 altered under the plan. Our payment rights are being  
8 altered under the plan. (indiscernible) not we're impaired.

9 The second thing is there's no doubt that the  
10 votes were cast, and cast affirmatively and cast timely. So  
11 the argument is that there was an objection pending, which  
12 shouldn't involve the case here, I if can call it that, is  
13 that there is a valid claim held by National Bank of Kuwait  
14 or you would not have allowed it to credit it on Monday.

15 To move forward, Your Honor, a proof of claim is  
16 prima facie evidence of the validity of the claim. And yes,  
17 an objection raises that question, but it has to raise  
18 sufficient issues to rebut the prima facie validity of the  
19 claim. And again on Monday, you effectively found it does  
20 not. It does not.

21 A technical issue -- which it may be a technical  
22 issue at best -- to not stand in the way of confirmation of  
23 this plan. But if you have any concern at the end, Your  
24 Honor, Bankruptcy Rule 3018 allows you to estimate for  
25 voting purposes the claims. It is on notice in hearing, but

1 we all know the code says that notice in hearing -- it's  
2 notice in hearing justified by the circumstances. Here  
3 there's no question that these parties, who are now more  
4 than two full days of trial, that tried to raise disputes  
5 about those claims. And Your Honor, I think they did so  
6 unsuccessfully.

7 So if there's any concern, I believe you can just  
8 allow the claims for voting purposes in the form in which  
9 they were presented for the votes. And then if you confirm  
10 the plan, the impact of the plan will be what it is with  
11 respect to those claims.

12 I went way over my three minutes, Your Honor. I  
13 apologize.

14 THE COURT: That's fine.

15 MR. TROOP: Mr. Akuffo.

16 MR. AKUFFO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kwame  
17 Akuffo from Pillsbury on behalf of the National Bank of  
18 Kuwait. (indiscernible) and secured lender to the debtor.

19 Mr. Troop already, you know, went through a couple  
20 of plan confirmation requirements, and so I'm going to be  
21 brief with my presentation and just focus on a couple of  
22 issues that were discussed today, one being clarification,  
23 the other being cram down with respect to whether or not  
24 there's unfair discrimination against the rejected classes,  
25 which include Class 6 and 8; and lastly the permissive



1 provisions that -- excuse me -- the permissive provisions  
2 related to Section 1123(b).

3 So first with respect to classification, our  
4 requirements, Your Honor, we believe that Section 1122's  
5 classification requirements are met because our Goal 3 of  
6 the plan designates a class of claims and interest under the  
7 plan.

8 Briefly, I'm just going to take us through these  
9 classes. Again, Class 1 is other secured claims. Class 2  
10 is other priority claims. Class 3 is the NBK tax claim.  
11 Class 4 is NBK secure claim. Class 5(a) and 5 -- Class 5(a)  
12 is general -- trade general unsecured claims. Class 5(b) is  
13 other general unsecured claims. Class 6 is insider claims.  
14 Class 7 is subordinated claims, and Class 8 is interest of  
15 the debtor.

16 We believe that the classifications in the plan is  
17 rational, and they're valid, legal, and factual reasons that  
18 justify classification.

19 With respect to Class 1, again, these classes hold  
20 secured claims against the estate, and particularly they  
21 cover secured real estate tax claims held by certain taxing  
22 authorities and (indiscernible).

23 Class 2 claims consist of non-administrative  
24 expense claims that I'll classify separately because they  
25 are priority claims under Section 507 of the bankruptcy

1 code.

2 And Class 3 advocates the sole holder of that  
3 claim and onto the plan. NBK will receive -- will receive  
4 value for its claim under Class 3 if it's not the winning  
5 bidder of the property. But to the extent that it is the  
6 winning bidder of the property at the auction on Friday,  
7 then it has agreed to waive its claim.

8 NBK is also the sole holder of our claim in Class  
9 4, which is based on unsecured claim under the loan  
10 documents that were -- under the loan documents between NBK  
11 and the debtor.

12 Class 5, as you've heard throughout today,  
13 consists of trade, unsecured claims arising from maintenance  
14 and related work. We particularly classed 5 as claims  
15 separately because the trustee recommended that we do so,  
16 and these claims are, again, based on secure claims that  
17 were payable to trade to allow them to continue to provide  
18 services to the estate's main assets, which are other  
19 property.

20 With respect to Class 5(b), these are non-trade  
21 unsecured claims, and they consist of the debtor's former  
22 attorneys as well as NBK's deficiency claim based on its  
23 loan to the debtor.

24 With respect to Class 6, which has been a hot-  
25 button issue, they were separately classified because they

1 applied to the debtor's affiliates who are insiders,  
2 particularly 2425WL and general.

3 Your Honor, it makes no sense to place these  
4 entities into classes under the subclasses -- under the  
5 subclasses, meaning Classes 5(a) and 5(b), because these  
6 classes -- again, meaning 5(a) and 5(b) -- are going -- part  
7 of their recovery are pro rata share interests and  
8 litigation trust assets, and to the extent -- and they're  
9 litigation targets. And to the extent that recovery -- to  
10 the extent that there are recoveries against these potential  
11 litigation targets -- again, meaning Jetall and 2425WL -- it  
12 makes no sense to recover money against them and then, you  
13 know, have them actually receive recovery from -- under  
14 those classes.

15 And so that's the basis because we separately  
16 classified them. And as you've heard all throughout today,  
17 Your Honor, there are pending objections to these claims,  
18 which are highly suspect. And those objections are found at  
19 ECF Number 402 and 404.

20 Moving on to Section 1129(d)'s cram down  
21 requirements, we believe that there's no inferred  
22 discrimination here against the rejecting classes,  
23 particularly Classes 6 and 8, again for the same reasons  
24 that we separately classified Class 6, there's no inferred  
25 discrimination against them.

1           Again, these are -- this class covers -- this  
2    class includes Jetall and 2425WL's claims. And again,  
3    placing these insiders in classes 5(b), for example, does  
4    not make sense because to the extent that there are  
5    recoveries against them through a litigation, they shouldn't  
6    be able to share those recoveries for that reason.

7           And in terms of distribution to creditors in Class  
8    5(a), it's not unfair to do so because, again, these Class  
9    5(a) trade creditors are receiving value because they  
10   provided critical services to the estate by helping maintain  
11   its -- by helping to maintain the value of the property.

12           And the bottom line here is that NBK can decide  
13   who gets to get paid under its plan, especially after the  
14   debtor failed to pay the loan back to NBK a couple of years  
15   ago. That was the issue out of the loan documents, Your  
16   Honor.

17           I'm sorry if I'm moving too fast.

18           THE COURT: That's fine. You still have four  
19   minutes. You're good to go.

20           MR. AKUFFO: Okay. With respect to fair and  
21   equitable, again, simply the plan is not for -- the plan is  
22   fair and equitable to holders of claims in Classes 6 and 8  
23   because there's no value available to make distributions to  
24   these creditors, and the plan does not provide for  
25   recoveries for claims or interests junior to Classes 6.

1           Lastly, the permissive requirements of Section  
2   1123(b) are met, particularly with respect to Section  
3   1123(b) (3) (a), it requires that a plan may settle an action  
4   that belongs to the estate.

5           For the estate release, as you've heard today, the  
6   debtor commenced a loss against NBK in state court based on  
7   breach of a settlement agreement and has alleged tortious  
8   interference and lender liability claims agent NBK. That  
9   state court lawsuit was removed -- excuse me. That lawsuit  
10   was removed from state court to this court, and after the  
11   trustee was appointed in February, he was substituted as  
12   Plaintiff in this lawsuit.

13           Today, Your Honor, you heard testimony from the  
14   trustee that after you entered the cash collateral order  
15   that allowed him to investigate claims and challenge the  
16   amount in allowance of NBK's claims against the debtor under  
17   their own documents, he conducted an exhaustive  
18   investigation and assessment of claims against NBK.

19           For example, he spoke to Debtor's current and  
20   former counsel. He spoke to other parties, including Mr.  
21   Caldwell, Ms. Azeemeh; and he requested documents from the  
22   debtor. And I believe he mentioned that they did not  
23   produce certain documents. And to the extent that they did  
24   produce certain documents, they did not provide any factual  
25   support to -- any factual support to support the lawsuit

1 that is pending against NBK.

2 And so after his exhaustive investigation of NBK  
3 into its -- into the debtor's claims against the bank as  
4 well as to determine whether or not NBK has a valid claim  
5 under the loan documents, he determined that there was no  
6 basis -- there was no -- excuse me -- he determined that  
7 there was -- the claims asserted by the debtor lacked any  
8 sufficient merit and that it was the best interest -- it was  
9 not in the best interest of the estate to pursue claims  
10 against the estate.

11 Now, even if the trustee had pursued litigation  
12 against NBK, he would've had to rely on testimony from Mr.  
13 Choudhri, and you've heard today that the trustee determined  
14 that any testimony by Mr. Choudhri is not truthful.

15 And so in return for the estate release, NBK has  
16 agreed to reduce its deficiency claim to 90 percent of -- 90  
17 percent of that amount in Class 5(b). It has also agreed to  
18 pay administrative expenses -- expense claims in full, and  
19 has also agreed to fund the liquidation trust for, I  
20 believe, \$150,000.

21 And lastly, based on the trustee's testimony  
22 today, he determined it's reasonable business judgment that  
23 the estate release is fair and equitable to the estate. And  
24 again, it's in the best interest of the estate. And for  
25 those reasons, Your Honor, we believe that the estate

1 release should be approved.

2 With respect to exculpation, we -- exculpation in  
3 our goal 9.C of the plan should be approved. Again, today  
4 you heard that we received comments from the U.S. Trustee  
5 regarding the breadth of the exculpatory party definition  
6 under the plan that included NDK and related parties. This  
7 exculpation definition has been modified to apply to the  
8 trustee and its related parties only, and that modification  
9 is reflected in Paragraph 59 of the revised proposed order  
10 filed at ECF Number 528. So for that reason, Your Honor, we  
11 believe that the exculpation under the plan should be  
12 approved.

13 And lastly, the injunction and gatekeeper  
14 provision, we believe that these injunction provisions  
15 should be approved.

16 With respect to the gatekeeper provision, it  
17 simply requires that any party with a colorable claim come  
18 to this Court and confirm that that colorable claim --  
19 excuse me. Let me retract.

20 The gatekeeping provision basically is designed to  
21 enforce the estate release that are being -- that is being --  
22 - the estate release under the plan, and to the extent that  
23 any party has a colorable claim, it requires that party to  
24 come to this court to determine whether or not there's a  
25 basis to go ahead and pursue that claim against a release

1 party. And to the extent that those claims, again, are  
2 released under the plan, there's no reason to do so.

3 I also want to point out that the gatekeeper  
4 provision is not a third-party release. The injunction and  
5 the gatekeeper provision is consistent with Fifth Circuit  
6 law.

7 And so for the brief reasons that I've explained  
8 on the record, Your Honor, I believe that -- excuse me --  
9 NKB believes that the plan should be confirmed.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

11 All right. Mr. Burks?

12 MR. TROOP: Your Honor, we were asked to advise  
13 you that Ms. Whitworth, the U.S. Trustee, might want to  
14 speak.

15 THE COURT: She's on the phone, and she's unmuted,  
16 and I'm sure she'll want to say something at the end, and  
17 I'm going to give her the opportunity. Thank you.

18 MR. TROOP: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Burks, they did run over by a few  
20 minutes. If you run over by a few minutes, I'll give you  
21 the same courtesy.

22 MR. BURKS: How much time do I have, Your Honor?

23 THE COURT: 15 minutes plus a couple of minutes  
24 grace.

25 MR. BURKS: It's been a while since I heard an



1 accomplished bankruptcy attorney tell the Court that any  
2 requirement for confirmation is just technical. It's not  
3 technical. It's a requirement, 1129(a)(1) through (16) have  
4 to be met. Period.

5 I don't know why. I assume that Counsel had a  
6 reason for not estimating the claim. I assume that they  
7 read *In re Bressler*, which is the only opinion in this  
8 district regarding whether or not -- not whether or not you  
9 credit bid, not whether or not you have standing, but  
10 whether your vote can be the sole accepting vote for  
11 purposes of 1129(a)(10).

12 It's more than a technicality here. In *re*  
13 *Bressler*, which is written by Judge Rodriguez at 2021 Bankr.  
14 LEXIS 64, says, "Here's what you have to do if you have a  
15 claim -- proof of claim that is objected to." You don't  
16 have to try the proof of claim. You don't get to try the  
17 proof of claim. It's an objected-to claim. It's not a  
18 deemed-allowed claim.

19 Why they didn't come to you already and ask you to  
20 estimate it -- it's a strategy, I guess. But to somehow  
21 deem the fact that they're entitled to credit bid to somehow  
22 mean that they are -- you know, one allowed claim for  
23 purposes of 1129(a)(10), that's going to be new law, and  
24 they're asking you to make new law. It's not a  
25 technicality. It's a requirement under 1129(a).

1 THE COURT: So let me understand your arguments  
2 first. Your argument is that because the claim was objected  
3 to, they simply cannot go without me making some sort of  
4 claims estimation?

5 MR. BURKS: After notice in hearing, they need an  
6 allowed -- they need an estimated claim in some amount after  
7 notice in hearing. They make the motion. We get a fair  
8 opportunity to object to that motion. Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead.

10 MR. BURKS: Additionally, I was interested by  
11 Counsel's opening argument where he said that somehow, the  
12 fact that the proponent accepted the plan, somehow that  
13 makes them unimpaired. I never argued that. That would be  
14 an astonishing argument.

15 What I said was that if you only have one  
16 "impaired claim," and it's not that the bank accepted it --  
17 that's not what I'm talking about -- it's that they proposed  
18 their own treatment under the note, which they're allowed to  
19 do. They can discount that note. They can modify that  
20 note. They can propose anything they want, and it's under  
21 the note that they're doing it.

22 So I'm not saying that there's a proponent who  
23 accepted it. I'm saying the only accepting class is the  
24 proponent who's voluntarily pursued to the note, said,  
25 "Here's how we're going to get paid to the note," and I'm

1 saying that is not impairment. That's -- that is somebody  
2 discounting the note just like anyone can -- the bank can  
3 settle the note. You know, the bank can waive foreclosure.  
4 The bank can call off the auction. They can do anything  
5 they want under that note and deed of trust, and they've  
6 done it. That's not impairment.

7 Moving on to the next issues, I'm really  
8 interested by Counsel's portrayal of the abandonment. He  
9 said, "Gee, they just haven't made any argument that it  
10 wasn't proposed in good faith."

11 To the contrary. The entire plan is based on bad  
12 faith proposal, and here's why. I don't know what the  
13 counsel here in this room or the Court thought the purpose  
14 of my questions to Mr. Carter and Chapter 11 trustee were  
15 regarding the -- were regarding the negotiation of the plan  
16 terms, but I'll tell you what it was. We all read In re  
17 Cajun Electric. We know what the three prongs from 9019,  
18 and we know what the Fifth Circuit said. A balance to  
19 leverage negotiation, a balanced negotiation is critical for  
20 acceptance of any settlement.

21 This was a highly, highly leveraged negotiation  
22 where the trustee, frankly, didn't have a chance. He  
23 bravely testified as to how he fought for things that he  
24 wanted to, but the bottom line was he had once choice, and  
25 one choice only for a plan.

1           You talk about an uneven playing field. It  
2       doesn't even come close. He wanted different provisions for  
3       the settlement and the payment amount. He wanted different  
4       provisions for the credit bid amount. He even caved in, in  
5       terms of not testing the true market value of these three  
6       claims against the estate. And we can all speculate whether  
7       or not it was reasonable for him to do so.

8           In this highly leveraged and charged situation  
9       that he was in, in negotiating the plan, reasonable or  
10      unreasonable, no choice. No choice. And that is one of the  
11      biggest arguments or problems that we have with this plan.

12           Look at the classifications. Let's just  
13      understand what the classification problems here are. You  
14      have a WL lien -- second lien. Well, first -- here's the  
15      first problem. You have a lien from -- a second lien from  
16      2425WL. You have proof of claim with a deed of trust  
17      attached. It's filed. Right now, you couldn't go to a  
18      closing -- a title company right now and sell that first  
19      lien if you tried because there's deed of trust on it. What  
20      would you do in state court? You could only file a petition  
21      for quiet title. Then the party would -- Mr. Choudhri  
22      would, on behalf of the entity, bring the note. You'd have  
23      a jury trial as to the validity of the claim and liquidity  
24      of the lien, and whether or not you can quiet title.

25           In bankruptcy court, what do you do? You file a

1 proof of claim. It's deemed allowed until it's objected to.  
2 Then you have a trial. You get to try it. You try whether  
3 or not on all the evidence that's available, that's  
4 admissible, relevant evidence. You get to determine whether  
5 or not that lien is valid, whether or not there's a debt  
6 owed, and then ultimately whether or not there's cause to  
7 subordinate it. They want to pass all that. They want to  
8 cut through all that in this plan. No way.

9 But it goes more. It goes further than that,  
10 Judge. They don't want any unsecured claim allowed at all.  
11 We not only void the lien. Void the claim, and don't dare  
12 put it in with a deficiency claim of the first lienholder  
13 because that will then actually split the pool that's  
14 available for the first lien deficiency. That's unfair  
15 discrimination at its quintessential basis. At least,  
16 that's my view of it.

17 Let's look at the trade creditors. You have -- we  
18 have the claim of (indiscernible) Inc. It was at Document  
19 Number 496-1. We talked about the proof of claim. It had a  
20 lien attached to it. We talked about that that lien was  
21 filed after 2425WL's lien -- excuse me -- after the Bank of  
22 Kuwait's lien but before -- excuse me -- after both the  
23 first lien and WL's lien, yet the response of the trustee  
24 was -- or Mr. Carter was, "Yeah. That's going to be paid as  
25 another security claim."

1                   Now, wait a minute. A claim filed after 2425L is  
2     going to be paid before 2425L. Hm. All right. And in  
3     full. What about those trade creditors?

4                   See, the trade creditors are different than a  
5     lienholder. They're not being treated as a secure claim,  
6     but they're getting 70 cents on the dollar. Why's that  
7     important to me representing 2425L? Well, it's important to  
8     me because my client's getting zero on their unsecured  
9     claim, and trade creditors without liens are getting 70  
10    percent. Unfair classification.

11                  Now, they can say, "Wait a minute. We're giving  
12    the insiders zero." You can't go through due process of  
13    claim allowance and claim trials and just say this lien is  
14    invalid, and the claim underneath it is invalid. There  
15    isn't hope. You'll hear it. You'll hear the evidence and  
16    decide. But they're asking you to forgo all of that. You  
17    have a hearing on the subordination. You have a hearing on  
18    the removed case, whether or not to remand it. There's  
19    litigation to be done.

20                  Is it doubtful in anybody's mind? Not in my  
21    client's and not in my client's attorney's. Of course, the  
22    bank will tell you it's frivolous. Rule that way, but don't  
23    cut off the litigation rights right here and now today. But  
24    they want you to, and they told you that that release is  
25    pretty darn important for \$3.7 million.

1           Now, do we think -- do we know what the value of  
2     the causes of action are? No. Nobody does. We have  
3     thoughts. Trustee has an opinion, but he never tested that  
4     on the market, and the reason he didn't test it is because  
5     he can't because otherwise, he doesn't get the NBK to play  
6     ball. That's bad faith. Excuse me. That's not proposing a  
7     plan in good faith. That's restricting the trustee's time  
8     and the trustee's hands on what to do.

9           Classification is fatal here. Could it be fixed?  
10    Yeah, probably. Could you estimate a claim procedurally,  
11    just file a motion, give a few days' notice, have a hearing  
12    on estimation, and you fix these technicalities? Maybe.  
13    Probably some. The classification though would look very  
14    different. You'd have the NBK claim paired other claims.  
15    You'd have the trade creditor claims in the pool of other  
16    unsecured creditors. You'd have a different looking plan on  
17    distribution, Judge.

18           These aren't technicalities. Unfair  
19    discrimination, fair and equitable as far as the liquidation  
20    test. You have no idea what the value of those claims are,  
21    and frankly, neither do I, and if you confirm this plan,  
22    you'll never find out.

23           I hope -- now, what do you do? What do you --  
24    what would you do here? I mean, we have technical problems.  
25    I'm not going to reread Mr. -- Steve's objection or the

1 debtor's objection, but what I know you're going to do is  
2 you're going to go down -- you're going to take -- go in  
3 Chambers, and you're going to go down 1 through 16 and look  
4 at 29(a). And if you can't check the 16 boxes, the code  
5 says you can't conform. If you can check the 16 boxes, you  
6 can confirm. As a matter of fact, you shall confirm if you  
7 can check the boxes.

8           It's not that a plan isn't confirmable. The  
9 auction can go forward. The trustee said that it's going to  
10 look the same one way or the other on the auction, but the  
11 releases shouldn't go forward. No way. I mean, I'm sorry.  
12 That just violates Fifth Circuit law. You can say it  
13 doesn't, but just read the releases. Gatekeeper?  
14 Gatekeeper for a non-debtor or somebody who's not essential  
15 to reorganize the debtor, never been done. You'll be  
16 creating new law against this circuit precedent.

17           These are not technical problems. These are real.  
18 Follow the law of the Fifth Circuit. Follow the law in  
19 (indiscernible).

20           Lastly, I want to thank you personally for hearing  
21 all the evidence, including Mr. Choudhri's opinions. Unlike  
22 anyone else, I think they were critical in terms of there's  
23 more than one side. There's more than one story on these  
24 causes of action. But if you confirm today, we'll never  
25 know what their actual value is. That release is the



1 problem of this plan. That classification is the problem in  
2 that plan. 1129(a)(8) -- (10) is a problem with this plan.

3 Thank you, Judge. I'm out of time.

4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Burks.

5 I'm going to turn now to Ms. Whitworth who  
6 indicated she may have something to say. I'll let her say  
7 whatever she needs to say before I go back to Mr. Troop.

8 Ms. Whitworth?

9 MS. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Judge. Yes. Thank  
10 you, Judge. Jana Whitworth on behalf of the United States  
11 Trustee.

12 Your Honor, I just wanted to make a short  
13 announcement on behalf of my client. We did not file a  
14 formal objection. We did however submit a series of  
15 requested revisions. Those are reflected as counsel  
16 (indiscernible) out in Paragraph 59 of the proposed orders  
17 uploaded at 528 that correctly in the trustee's opinion  
18 limits the definition of exculpated party to Chapter 11  
19 trustee (indiscernible) professionals and people who were  
20 working on his behalf.

21 There were a couple of other revisions in  
22 Paragraph 59, 29 -- or excuse me -- 29 and 73 that relate to  
23 post-effective date reporting by the liquidation trustee and  
24 his responsibility for paying quarterly fees.

25 Other than that, Your Honor, the U.S. trustee does

1 not oppose entry of the -- a proposed confirmation order at  
2 528. Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate your time.

3 THE COURT: I'm just going to look at something  
4 really quick to make sure that I have that real quick.

5 MS. WHITWORTH: I believe it was attached at the  
6 exhibit to the --

7 THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I want to make  
8 sure. I saw the --

9 MS. WHITWORTH: -- document.

10 THE COURT: I'm sorry. The bandwidth is taking a  
11 minute to come up. It must be pretty large.

12 MS. WHITWORTH: It's 100 pages.

13 THE COURT: Yeah. So it's going to take a minute.  
14 Okay.

15 MR. BURKS: Your proposed order is 100 pages?

16 MS. WHITWORTH: No. It's the notice that attaches  
17 --

18 THE COURT: It's the plan, and everything's  
19 attached to it.

20 MS. WHITWORTH: Correct.

21 THE COURT: It's 95 pages long. Can you tell me  
22 where -- what page your language starts on? Oh, there it  
23 is. I found it. Don't worry. Okay. All right. Thank you  
24 for the announcement. I appreciate it.

25 MS. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Judge.

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker, is there  
2 something you want to say?

3 MR. BAKER: Just a very short close, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Okay. You've silently made it till  
5 the very end, but go ahead.

6 MR. BAKER: I apologize. I was somewhat surprised  
7 because Mr. Troop got up here and said, "Well, you can  
8 estimate the claims," but they've never filed a motion to  
9 estimate the claims. They're just showing up here today  
10 saying, "Please estimate the claims," without ever filing a  
11 motion. That's --

12 THE COURT: I have to tell you that I have found  
13 at least a couple of cases from the early '90s that say I  
14 don't need to. So I think that potentially, there's a 1991  
15 case that says -- so I'm not sure I agree with that  
16 proposition, but I have looked at case -- I looked at some  
17 on the break. I'll have to do a little bit more digging on  
18 it, but there is some Southern District caselaw from the  
19 early '90s that says I can basically confirm the plan  
20 without estimating the claims.

21 MR. BAKER: Okay.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

23 MR. BAKER: The other thing that I would point  
24 out, under the plan, tax claims that NBK is claiming they  
25 own would get paid to NBK. Now, under the confidential --

1 and this hasn't been pointed out. Confidential settlement  
2 agreement, which is part of the record, goes through and  
3 says, "Okay. There's a liquidated damages provision if it  
4 is breached." What is it? NBK, it's \$800,000. They're not  
5 supposed to keep the tax claims. Those are supposed to go  
6 back to Mr. Choudhri. So the plan doesn't comply with  
7 what's in the confidential settlement agreement.

8           There is no payment based on the testimony to any  
9 of the creditors with rejected leases. It was asked --  
10 there's nothing. So what happens to the rejected leases  
11 that are deemed rejected if NBK is the bidder, and the plan  
12 provides that they all are rejected? They just get tossed  
13 out in the window.

14           It's interesting. There are only three classes  
15 that can vote: NBK on its secured claim, the general  
16 unsecured creditors of which -- the way NBK has drafted it -  
17 - they have 90 percent of it because they've excluded  
18 2425WL, and the trade creditors. That's it. That's -- two  
19 of the three classes are controlled by NBK. Again, you go  
20 back to what Mr. Burks's argument. That seems to be a real  
21 problem. Was this proposed in good faith?

22           And I'm going to go back and just tie in a little  
23 bit into what Mr. Burks was talking about as far as the  
24 claims against NBK.

25           The other thing I found interesting is that Mr.

1 Murray did not get any damage models from either Mr.  
2 Alexander or Mr. Wetwiska, specifically Mr. Alexander. So I  
3 didn't get any. I don't know. He never asked him for any.  
4 He never got far enough into his investigation to determine  
5 that.

6 At some point, if Mr. Alexander really is the  
7 expert that he appears to be, the question should've been  
8 asked by Mr. Murray to him, "What do you think we could  
9 recover on this?" He didn't make any effort to ask that  
10 question. Never probed. Never even went into talking about  
11 it.

12 And that's not an issue of Mr. Choudhri. That's  
13 an issue of a gentleman who was one of the two people  
14 nominated to be president of the State Bar of Texas last  
15 year who has the largest lender liability judgment in the  
16 last 10 years who said this is a good claim, but he never  
17 bothered to ask, "How much do you think you could recover on  
18 it?" That's surprising to me.

19 And Your Honor, I'll just conclude again with the  
20 idea whether you estimate their claims or not, there is a  
21 huge issue about what are the value of the claims against  
22 NBK? Thank you.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.  
24 Mr. Shannon, since the trustees had their -- the people  
25 appointed the figure of the trustee, do you want to say

1 anything in response? Then I'll go back to Mr. Troop, and  
2 then I think we're done.

3 MR. SHANNON: Your Honor, I'm just going to  
4 reiterate that the trustee does support confirmation. I  
5 believe that the evidence both yesterday and today confirmed  
6 that the trustee engaged in an investigation that was  
7 serious, that was focused, and that was determined to make  
8 an evaluation. That's what he's done here.

9 As far as the particular -- you know, the  
10 particular requirements of confirmation, I'm going to leave  
11 that to NBK. It's their plan. But I do just want to say  
12 that for the record.

13 THE COURT: Thank you.

14 All right. Mr. Troop.

15 MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I  
16 think that this case feels as though it has had a life that  
17 has extended beyond its many months before you, and that is  
18 particularly true with regard to NBK in this matter.

19 This Chapter 11 case is the result of -- and the  
20 evidence clearly shows this -- repeated efforts by the  
21 debtor and its principals to get this property for less and  
22 less and less than what they promised they would pay back on  
23 the loan.

24 And we're here, Your Honor, because Chapter 11 --  
25 or had you converted the case at that point, Chapter 7 --

1 would've provided a pathway to an end. And that's what this  
2 plan does, and it does it fairly for this all the reasons  
3 that we've discussed.

4 Your Honor, when you evaluate the issues that have  
5 been raised, for example, about the NBK claim -- and the  
6 claims against NBK. And Your Honor, I thought about raising  
7 my hand and saying it's huge, but it seemed a little too  
8 theatric.

9 But what we know from a factual matter is that the  
10 person who thinks they are the most valuable offered  
11 \$700,000 for them, not even two full business days before  
12 the confirmation hearing was about to begin. And the  
13 trustee told you he evaluated that amount against what's  
14 here for the benefit of creditors and the estate, and  
15 concluded that this was a better deal.

16 Talk about it in 9019 terms, right. It was within  
17 the range of appropriate settlements, although the real  
18 question is ultimately was it in the best interests of the  
19 estate, and the trustee concluded that was true. And if I  
20 heard his testimony correctly, it's because he looked at the  
21 facts that were alleged, and he was told, and he said,  
22 "Ultimately, I find them -- some of them may be okay, but  
23 overall -- not credible. Not really strong claims." Those  
24 are factual claims. You could create a damage model that  
25 could say the claims are worth \$1 billion.

1 But that's not the question. The question is  
2 whether the claims are likely to return something to the  
3 estate. This plan does, and those claims have to be based  
4 on facts. The trustee did his job to confirm whether he  
5 thought there were facts to do that.

6 And, Your Honor, this case needs to come to a  
7 close. And I don't want to be -- you've got our  
8 confirmation brief. You'll see every element of 1129 laid  
9 out clearly there to the extent not addressed here. But  
10 there was this impassioned plea that somehow proof of claim  
11 number seven that only has a deed of trust attached to it  
12 should be given some more weight, and the fact that they  
13 didn't attach a note to it, well, it shouldn't mean all that  
14 much.

15 But just look at the chronology here. That deed  
16 of trust was allegedly executed in 2018. Didn't get  
17 notarized until 2021 and recorded later at a time when, you  
18 know from the evidence before you, the debtor was in  
19 default, and NBK was pursuing its rights.

20 And what you also know simply from looking at the  
21 document was Mr. Choudhri gave a lien to himself. Your  
22 Honor, it would be perfectly appropriate for you to discount  
23 any validity to that claim in addition to everything else  
24 raised by the trustee in his objections in his complaints.

25 Your Honor, there's also no dispute there's no



1 value here beyond the first lien. So anything that anyone  
2 gets under this plan is in effect -- you know, gifting is  
3 out of vogue, right? But it's effectively a gift from the  
4 secured creditor. And the secured creditor's determination  
5 about how it was going to take its value, not the estate's  
6 value, its value -- and spread it out amongst creditors was  
7 to say that trade creditors -- like 260,000 of them --  
8 dollars' worth of them, Your Honor -- who may do work for  
9 the building in the future, they should get the least amount  
10 of hurt.

11 The next group of people who should get the least  
12 amount of hurt but a completely contingent recovery because  
13 it's completely contingent on litigation results should be  
14 those third parties who weren't trade creditors who extended  
15 credit to the debtor.

16 And then finally, you've got the people who caused  
17 this problem who acted inappropriately. Again, I'll go back  
18 to proof of claim number seven. Look at its timing. Look  
19 at the party giving himself a benefit to put himself into  
20 the capital structure on a secured basis for an obligation I  
21 note -- although the document -- I meant are not relying on  
22 the document itself, the settlement statement. But he  
23 described -- Mr. Choudhri described has a credit from the  
24 seller -- not a loan from the seller. A credit. A credit  
25 against the purchase price. Comes back three years later

1 and decides, "I'm going to but a lien on the property," and  
2 you look at the loan documents, Your Honor, and there's no  
3 permitted lien. There's no permitted note. There's no  
4 permitted encumbrance for 2425WL.

5 So when the trustee described it as fake, I think  
6 there is a strong amount of evidence to support that  
7 description. And so the question at the end of the day  
8 becomes because the plan satisfies all the requirements of  
9 1129, should there nonetheless be additional delay to allow  
10 parties to further allow this property to deteriorate -- you  
11 heard evidence about that on Monday -- to further hamstring  
12 a transfer of the property on the hope and the prayer of  
13 claims that the trustee evaluated independently, that the  
14 party is trying to prove up -- at the very least created a  
15 bona fide dispute -- and that you found really basically  
16 lacked no merit -- lack of merit. I'm sorry, Your Honor.  
17 Double negative there. Lack of merit.

18 On those conditions, Your Honor, not like other  
19 judges -- I'm sorry -- similar to how other judges have  
20 ruled in this district from time to time, enough is enough.  
21 It is time to permit this process to end, the plan to be  
22 confirmed.

23 And the last thing I will just underscore, again,  
24 Your Honor. If in fact Mr. Choudhri -- two things, Your  
25 Honor. Go back and look at the confidential settlement

1 agreement. It does not say what Mr. Baker says. It says  
2 (indiscernible) liquidated damages. It is a misreading at  
3 best of that agreement.

4 But here, Your Honor, it is time to bring this  
5 case to an end. It is time for it -- it doesn't violate  
6 (indiscernible). It doesn't extend exculpation provision  
7 protections and non-fiduciaries or (indiscernible) doesn't  
8 even really talk about the gatekeeping function other than  
9 to say in that case, it was a fair implementation tool for  
10 the issue that was before the Court, whether the directors  
11 of the liquidating trust were to get some protection. But  
12 here, it's a fairer limitation of a release of estate claims  
13 and (indiscernible) estate claims.

14 Your Honor, I will just end with two other things.  
15 The first is that notwithstanding some of the  
16 contentiousness that you have seen in the courtroom over the  
17 last few days, I really want to thank in particular Mr.  
18 Burks for his time and his efforts over the last few days to  
19 try to address those things that we could do consensually.

20 And then as he said, and we echo, I can't believe  
21 it's a federal holiday, but we thank you all for your time  
22 and effort here. And obviously I hope it comes to a  
23 particular conclusion, but I also thank you regardless of  
24 the conclusion. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 All right. So I'll just make a couple of comments  
2 on the record. First of all, the air conditioning does seem  
3 to be working after all this time at this point in time,  
4 which I'm very happy for.

5 I want to address not really the merits of the  
6 case, but I want to make some comments to Mr. Choudhri. For  
7 whatever reason, he believes that this process has been  
8 unfair, and I would disagree very, very highly; that he may  
9 not like the results, he may not like my determination of  
10 the facts, but I think that he's been given every  
11 opportunity to present his evidence and present his case,  
12 and if he claims due process has not been met in this case,  
13 I disagree with him very, very highly.

14 I also disagree very highly with him that we  
15 haven't done what we need to do to evaluate claims in this  
16 case. The trustee's testimony is compelling as to his  
17 evaluation of the claims. It also lines up with my limited  
18 evaluation of the claims based on what's before me in the  
19 evidence that's before me.

20 A lot of lawyers tell me that their clients have  
21 great claims. When I have lawyers tell me they have great  
22 claims and I don't hear any sort of evidence that tells me  
23 why they have great claims, I start to wonder. All right.

24 I think the process has been fair and open. I  
25 wish Mr. Choudhri the best of luck depending upon what I do.

1 But quite clearly, this is a case that is now over 18 months  
2 old, and I agree that it is a case that needs to come to a  
3 conclusion one way or the other.

4 I am hopeful, Mr. Murray, that I will get some  
5 findings of fact and conclusions of law and an order either  
6 confirming the plan or not to you by the time that you have  
7 your auction. That's the goal. All right.

8 It's just been a really, really bad week. I mean,  
9 I will say that I'm not typically as busy as I've been this  
10 week. I have a huge panel tomorrow that's 61 pages. I  
11 contested confirmation hearings in the afternoon. And I  
12 have a Galveston docket on Friday. So I will work as I can  
13 and as I'm allowed to given what limited time I have, but I  
14 promise you that I'll get something to you that you can look  
15 at, agree with, disagree, and appeal as you feel necessary.

16 All right. Thank you. We're adjourned today.

17 CLERK: All rise.

18 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at  
19 4:54 PM)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sonya M. Ledanski Hyde". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned between the 5th and 7th lines of the document.

Sonya Ledanski Hyde

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

Date June 25, 2024